

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/322818490>

Esusu – Global Encyclopaedia of Informality

Chapter · January 2018

DOI: 10.14324/111.9781-7873.51899

CITATIONS

0

READS

9

2 authors:



Oluymi Ola-David

Covenant University Ota Ogun State, Nigeria

31 PUBLICATIONS 53 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Evans Osabuohien

Covenant University Ota Ogun State, Nigeria

77 PUBLICATIONS 338 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Agricultural Economics [View project](#)



International Trade and Protectionism [View project](#)



**F
R
I
N
G
E**

VOLUME 2

**THE GLOBAL ENCYCLOPAEDIA
OF INFORMALITY**

EDITED BY ALENA LEDENEVA

UCLPRESS

First published in 2018 by
UCL Press
University College London
Gower Street
London WC1E 6BT

Available to download free: www.ucl.ac.uk/ucl-press

Text © Contributors, 2018

Images © Contributors and copyright holders named in the captions, 2018

The authors have asserted their rights under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 to be identified as the authors of this work.

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from The British Library.

This book is published under a Creative Commons 4.0 International license (CC BY 4.0). This license allows you to share, copy, distribute and transmit the work; to adapt the work and to make commercial use of the work providing attribution is made to the authors (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work). Attribution should include the following information:

Ledeneva, A. (ed.). 2018. *The Global Encyclopaedia of Informality: Understanding Social and Cultural Complexity, Volume 2*. London: UCL Press.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.14324/111.9781787351899>

Further details about Creative Commons licenses are available at
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

ISBN: 978-1-78735-191-2 (Hbk.)

ISBN: 978-1-78735-190-5 (Pbk.)

ISBN: 978-1-78735-189-9 (PDF)

ISBN: 978-1-78735-192-9 (epub)

ISBN: 978-1-78735-193-6 (mobi)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.14324/111.9781787351899>

same time this was accompanied by peer pressure and a desire or necessity to create dependency relations in order to have people to rely upon.

Interestingly, this informal practice has survived and can be found in present-day Albania, albeit in different forms and in spite of the fact that commercial loans are available and interest rates on deposits have increased. The modern version of the *loteria* still rests on cash-only contributions (bank transfers are rarely, if ever, used) made by a group of people into a common pool that is smaller than that used in socialist times. One explanation for the smaller groups may be the desire to compensate for the higher risk associated with the scheme, as participants no longer always come from the same neighbourhood or workplace. They might not know one another well and may be dependent on the *loteria* organiser knowing and trusting them all individually.

The goal is no longer the purchase of household equipment, but rather having an available sum of money that would allow an extraordinary purchase. The money might be used for a variety of items ranging from the purchase of a mobile phone or an ipad, to paying for a holiday. Because salaries are now paid at different times, and participants may come from different environments, they need to agree on a specific payday and a draw day. They might also on occasions decide not to apply the lottery scheme at all, but simply agree consensually on when each participant will receive their money. Should they fail to agree, if, for instance, too many people want the money in the same month, then they would revert to drawing tickets and get back to the lottery-like method of allocating the savings.

5.18 **Esusu** (Nigeria)

Evans Osabuohien and Oluyomi Ola-David

Covenant University, Nigeria

Esusu describes traditional forms of cooperation in African societies whereby groups of individuals contribute to informal savings and credit associations for their mutual benefit. These associations are found mainly in agricultural production and credit financing and they substitute for and complement modern cooperative institutions and formal financial systems. The practice is believed to have originated among the Yoruba people of Nigeria and to have spread from there to Liberia, the Democratic Republic of Congo and most of the West African countries (Seibel 2004). While known as *esusu* or *esu* among the Yorubas in south-western Nigeria, the practice is called *etoto* by the Ibos in south-eastern Nigeria; *adashi* by the Hausa people in northern Nigeria; *dashi* by the

Nupe people of Nigeria's Kwara and Niger States; *osusu* by the people of Ogoja in Cross River State; *isusu* by the Igbos from Abia, Anambra, Ebonyi, Enugu and Imo States; *asun* by the Ishans of Edo State; *etoto* by the Ibibios of Akwa Ibom State (Nigeria Real Estate Hub (NREH) 2014); *bam* by the Tivs of Benué State (Seibel 2004); *tortine* in Cameroon and Niger; and *susu* in Ghana (Iganiga and Asemota 2008). The term refers to the funds collected, not to the contributors themselves (Bascom 1952; see *obshchak*, 5.22 in this volume).

Outside Africa, *esusu* practices can be found in the Caribbean Islands, where they presumably migrated at the time of the transatlantic slave trade. Maynard (1996) documents the translocation of the Yoruba *esusu* rotating-credit association in Anglophone Caribbean. In Jamaica, the practice is called *partner*, while in other Caribbean Islands it is called *syndicate*. Migrants were also instrumental in establishing contribution societies in several American cities (Bascom 1952).

Among the Yorubas, traditional cooperatives known as *aaro*, *owe*, *esusu* and *ajo* are common. *Aaro* refers to a cooperative agricultural arrangement in which peer farmers form ad hoc groups and work on one another's farms at peak periods on tasks such as land preparation, planting, weeding and harvesting, until all the members of the group have been serviced (see *pomochi*, 5.13 in this volume). *Owe* is another form of agricultural cooperation whereby physically capable members of the community (usually young or middle-aged men) unite to assist the needy, elderly and chieftains on their farms.

Esusu and *ajo* describe means of informal financing, whereby individuals come together to further their individual and collective interests. This may take several forms. First, there are units that are aimed at mobilising savings but that engage in little or no lending. Second, there are lending units that engage in little savings mobilisation. Third, there are groups that engage in self-help finance and involve various types of savings, including rotating ones as well as those provided by licensed cooperatives (Ojenike and Olowoniyi 2013). In the literature on informal finance, *esusu* is generally associated with rotating savings and credit associations (Seibel 2004).

Among the Yorubas, *esusu* cooperatives operate as follows: a group of people team up to contribute a fixed and equal sum of money at specific intervals – daily, weekly, fortnightly, monthly or bi-monthly – enabling each member to collect the entire sum in rotation. When everyone in the group has benefitted from the pool, a new rotation cycle is launched. The order in which people get to draw the money is usually decided by means of a ballot or by consensus.

In urban areas, the Yorubas distinguish between *esusu* and *ajo*. In *ajo*, a professional collector, the *alajo*, goes round to collect contributions, usually on a daily or weekly basis, and is paid a small commission for this service. This is more personal than the formal financial system, since no one needs to go to the bank to make a deposit. At the same time, it is less personal than *esusu* associations, since *ajo* contributors do not necessarily know the other contributors. Rather, their relations are mediated by the *alajo*, who keeps a record of contributions. Each contributor must make regular contributions within a given period, but is at liberty to contribute according to their budget. In certain circumstances, such as an emergency, a contributor may ask the *alajo* to return a certain amount of their contribution; this is what distinguishes *ajo* from *esusu*. In some cases, the *alajo* has a bank account, in which he or she deposits the funds until the end of the collection cycle, at which point the money must be paid to the chosen contributor. The decision on how and when the contributor gets the money from the *alajo* is mutually agreed between the two of them. For instance, if the contributor contributes daily (which is popular among traders and small business owners) and the contribution ‘matures’ at the end of the month, the *alajo* will, after subtracting his or her fee, return the rest of the contribution to the contributor.

It is left to the *alajo*’s discretion whether or not to use a bank account for the collected funds. Many Nigerians are reluctant to subscribe to formal financial services. In southern and northern Nigeria, for example, street traders resort to informal means of credit and savings mobilisation because they do not trust most formal microfinance institutions (Oloyede 2008). When an *alajo* chooses to use a bank account, however, this means that informal finances enter the formal financial system, and creates a link between the formal and the informal systems. This coexistence has been recognised under the concept of financial dualism (Osabuohien and Duruji 2007).

Esusu operates outside the formal legal and financial systems and tends to function solely on an oath of allegiance and mutual trust. This ensures that members of the association who have collected their funds early do not pull out of the system, causing other members to lose some or all of their contributions. As for *ajo*, the credibility of the *alajo* plays the key role in preventing risk and ensuring continued patronage.

Esusu remains popular despite the establishment of formal microfinance institutions in Nigeria. It is used by workers of the informal sector, market places, rural and urban communities and religious groups. It is

particularly popular among low- and middle-income earners. Many rural workers rely on it because they are poorly paid and therefore do not have access to the formal financial system. *Esusu*, by contrast, tailors its financial services to the real, day-to-day needs of each member of the group. With *esusu*, saving is more convenient and credit is less costly than it would be in the formal financial system (Oloyede 2008). There are also cost-related incentives for joining an *esusu* group: it is interest free. Gender also plays a role: Nigerian women are more likely to use *esusu* as an informal means of saving than men (National Bureau of Statistics 2013).

While *esusu* is largely an informal practice, it also penetrates formal work settings and serves individuals and groups within business organisations. Engaging in *esusu* is often seen as supplementary to other means of obtaining credit (such as cooperatives). Many people engage in *esusu* in order to pursue a specific objective such as purchasing assets, starting a business or expanding their trade. Informal business operators often resort to *esusu* since they find it hard to obtain loans from banks.

Empirical studies of informal finance, financial exclusion, modern cooperatives, poverty alleviation, micro-financing and savings mobilisation in Nigeria recognise the significance of *esusu* and its variants and acknowledge its impact. Legal aspects and trust issues around the practices of *esusu* are less straightforward. Nonetheless, *esusu* practices in Nigeria and beyond are based on trust and on the integrity of the contributing members (Hofstede 1980; Fukuyama 1996). Comparative research into the origins and instruments of informal financing in a cross-country or a cultural-type perspective may bring interesting, if unconventional, results.

5.19 **Mahalla** (Uzbekistan)

Rustamjon Urinboyev

Department of Sociology of Law, Lund University, Sweden

Derived from the Arabic *mahali*, meaning 'local', the term *mahalla* is formally used in Uzbekistan to mean neighbourhood, local community, or state administrative unit. There are today some 12,000 *mahallas* in Uzbekistan, each of which consists of anything between 150 and 1,500 households (Micklewright and Marnie 2005: 431). However, the word's rich cultural roots mean that *mahalla* has multiple meanings and definitions. In Uzbekistan it is also used by local people to describe community-based, informal economic practices (Sievers 2002; Urinboyev 2013). In this sense, *mahalla* denotes the means whereby people obtain access to public goods, services and social protection while bypassing the state. It is therefore necessary to distinguish between the 'administrative' (formal)

- Le, N. and Nguyen, T. 2009. 'The Impact of Networking on Bank Financing: The Case of Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises in Vietnam'. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* 33(4): 867–87.
- Nguyen, H. and Nordman, C. 2014. 'Household Entrepreneurship and Social Networks: Panel Data Evidence from Vietnam'. Working document DT-22. Accessed July 2017.
- Nguyen, H., Nordman C. and Roubaud, F. 2013. 'Who Suffers the Penalty? A Panel Data Analysis of Earnings Gaps in Vietnam'. *Journal of Development Studies* 49(12): 1694–710.
- Szalontai, B. 2008. 'The Diplomacy of Economic Reform in Vietnam: The Genesis of Doi Moi, 1986–1989'. *Journal of Asiatic Studies* 51(2): 199–252.
- Tenev, S., Carlier, A., Chaudry, O. and Nguyen, Q. 2003. *Informality and the Playing Field in Vietnam's Business Sector*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- World Bank. 2015. *World Bank Publications*. Accessed July 2017. <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/FR.INR.LEND?locations=VN&view=chart>

5.17 Loteria/Lloteria (Albania)

Drini Imami, Abel Polese and Klodjan Rama

- Boka, M. and Torluccio, G. 2013. 'Informal Economy in Albania'. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies* 2(8): 212–21.
- Gërxhani, K. and Van de Werfhorst, H. G. 2013. 'The Effect of Education on Informal Sector Participation in a Post-Communist Country'. *European Sociological Review* 29(3): 464–76.
- King, R. and Vullnetari, J. 2016. 'From Shortage Economy to Second Economy: An Historical Ethnography of Rural Life in Communist Albania'. *Journal of Rural Studies* 44: 198–207.
- Kovacs, B., Morris, J., Polese, A. and Imami, D. 2016. 'Looking at the "Sharing" Economies Concept Through the Prism of Informality'. *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society* 10(2): 365–78.
- Muceku, H. and Muça, A. 2014. 'Informal Economy in Albania: Its Costs in the Country Development'. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences* 5(9): 642–9.

5.18 Esusu (Nigeria)

Evans Osabuohien and Oluyomi Ola-David

- Abdulraheem, A. 2000. 'Rural Banking and Savings Mobilization in Nigeria: A Case Study of Asa Local Government Area of Kwara State'. *Ilorin Journal of Business and Social Sciences* 7(1): 79–90.
- Akanji, O. O. 2002. 'Micro-Finance as a Strategy for Poverty Reduction'. *CBN Economic and Financial Review* 39(4): 18–28.
- Bascom, W. R. 1952. 'The Esusu: A Credit Institution of the Yoruba'. *The Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland* 82(1): 63–9.
- Fukuyama, F. 1996. *Trust: The Social Virtues and the Creation of Prosperity*. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Hofstede, G. 1980. *Culture's Consequences: International Differences in Work-Related Values*. Beverly Hills: Sage.
- Iganiga, B. O. and Asemota, A. 2008. 'The Nigerian Unorganized Rural Financial Institutions and Operations: A Framework for Improved Rural Credit Schemes in a Fragile Environment'. *Journal of Social Sciences* 17(1): 63–71.
- Maynard, E. S. 1996. 'The Translocation of a West African Banking System: The Yoruba Esusu Rotating Credit Association in the Anglophone Caribbean'. *Dialectical Anthropology* 21(1): 99–107.
- National Bureau of Statistics. 2013. *Use of Financial Services in Nigeria*. Abuja: NBS and World Bank.
- Nigeria Real Estate Hub (NREH). 2014. *History of Cooperative Societies*, 14 February. Accessed July 2017. <http://nigeria realestatehub.com/historyofcooperativesocieties>
- Ojenike, J. O. and Olowoniyi, A. O. 2013. 'Estimating Growth in Investment of Micro and Small Scale Enterprises in Nigeria'. *Asian Economic and Financial Review* 3(1): 111–23.
- Oloyede, J. A. 2008. 'Informal Financial Sector, Savings Mobilization and Rural Development in Nigeria: Further Evidence from Ekiti State of Nigeria'. *African Economic and Business Review* 6(1): 35–63.

- Osabuohien, E. S. and Duruji, M. M. 2007. 'Bank Consolidation and Informal Financial Sector Analytical Perspective of Linkage Effects'. In *Readings in Banking and Finance*, edited by I. Akpan, 25–45. Uyo: Banking and Finance Department, University of Uyo.
- Seibel, H. D. 2004. *Microfinance in Nigeria: Origins, Options and Opportunities*. Köln: University of Köln.
- United Nations. 2001. *Microfinance in Africa: Combining the Best Practices of Traditional and Modern Microfinance Approaches towards Poverty Eradication*. Accessed July 2017. www.un.org/esa/africa/microfinanceinafrica.pdf
- Yebisi, E. T. 2014. 'The Nigerian Co-Operative Societies Act, 2004: A Bridge Still Far'. *Asian Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences* 2(2): 38–49.

5.19 Mahallah (Uzbekistan)

Rustamjon Urinboyev

- Abashin, S. 2011. 'Sovetskaya vlast' i uzbekskaya mahallya'. *Neprikosnovenniy zapas* 4(78): 95–110.
- Abramson, D. 1998. *From Soviet to Mahalla: Community and Transition in Post-Soviet Uzbekistan*. PhD thesis, Indiana University. Ann Arbor: UMI Dissertation Services.
- Bektemirov, K. and Rahimov, E. 2001. 'Local Government in Uzbekistan'. In *Developing New Rules in the Old Environment. Local Governments in Eastern Europe, in the Caucasus and in Central Asia*, edited by V. Popa and I. Munteanu, 469–520. Budapest: Local Government and Public Service Reform Initiative, Open Society Institute Europe.
- Choleva-Dimitrova, A. M. 2002. *Selyshny imena ot Yugozapadna B'lgariya: Izsledvane. Rechnik*. Sofia: Pensoft.
- Coudouel, A., Marnie, S. and Micklewright, J. 1998. 'Targeting Social Assistance in a Transition Economy: The Mahallas in Uzbekistan'. In *The Real World of Social Policy: An Anthology of Project Experience*, edited by J. Freiberg-Strauss and K. Meyer, 40–60. UNICEF International Child Development Centre.
- Dadabaev, T. 2013. 'Community Life, Memory and a Changing Nature of Mahalla Identity in Uzbekistan'. *Journal of Eurasian Studies* 4(2): 181–96.
- Geiss, P. 2001. 'Mahallah and Kinship Relations. A Study on Residential Communal Commitment Structures in Central Asia of the 19th Century'. *Central Asian Survey* 20(1): 97–106.
- Human Rights Watch. 2003. *From House to House: Abuses by Mahalla Committees*. New York: Human Rights Watch.
- Louw, M. 2007. *Everyday Islam in Post-Soviet Central Asia, Central Asian Studies Series*. London: Routledge.
- Masaru, S. 2006. 'The Politics of Civil Society, Mahalla and NGOs: Uzbekistan'. In *Reconstruction and Interaction of Slavic Eurasia and its Neighbouring Worlds*, edited by L. Osamu and U. Tomohiko, 335–70. Sapporo: Slavic Research Center, Hokkaido University.
- Micklewright, J. and Marnie, S. 2005. 'Targeting Social Assistance in a Transition Economy: The Mahallas in Uzbekistan'. *Social Policy and Administration* 39(4): 431–47.
- Noori, N. 2006. *Delegating Coercion: Linking Decentralization to State Formation in Uzbekistan*. PhD dissertation, Columbia University, New York.
- Seiple, C. 2005. 'Uzbekistan: Civil Society in the Heartland'. *Orbis* (Spring): 245–59.
- Sievers, E. 2002. 'Uzbekistan's Mahalla: From Soviet to Absolutist Residential Community Associations'. *The Journal of International and Comparative Law at Chicago-Kent* 2: 91–158.
- Sukhareva, O. 1976. *Kvartal'naya obshchina pozdnefeodl'nogo goroda Bukhary: V svyazi s istoriei kvartalov*. Moscow: Nauka.
- Trofimov, D. A. 1995. *Islam in the Political Culture of the Former Soviet Union: Central Asia and Azerbaijan*. Hamburg: Institut für Friedensforschung und Sicherheitspolitik.
- Urinboyev, R. 2011. 'Law, Social Norms and Welfare as Means of Public Administration: Case Study of Mahalla Institutions in Uzbekistan'. *The NISPAcee Journal of Public Administration and Policy* 4(1): 33–57.
- Urinboyev, R. 2013. *Living Law and Political Stability in Post-Soviet Central Asia. A Case Study of the Fergana Valley in Uzbekistan*. PhD dissertation, Lund Studies in Sociology of Law, Lund University, Lund.