Hindawi Publishing Corporation Advances in Mechanical Engineering Volume 2014, Article ID 490302, 5 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/490302

Research Article

A Model for Analyzing Temperature Profiles in Pipe Walls and Fluids Using Mathematical Experimentation

Moses E. Emetere

Department of Physics, Covenant University, Canaan Land, PMB 1023, Ota 122333, Nigeria

Correspondence should be addressed to Moses E. Emetere; emetere@yahoo.com

Received 28 June 2014; Accepted 2 September 2014; Published 22 September 2014

Academic Editor: Xiao-Dong Wang

Copyright © 2014 Moses E. Emetere. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Temperature profiling in both fluid and pipe walls had not been explained theoretically. The equations of energy balance and heat conductivity were queried by introducing known parameters to solve heat transfer using virtual mathematical experimentation. This was achieved by remodeling Poiseuille's equation. Distribution of temperature profiles between pipe wall, fluid flow, and surrounding air was investigated and validated upon comparison with experimental results. A new dimensionless parameter (unified number (U)) was introduced with the aim of solving known errors of the Reynolds and Nusselts number.

1. Introduction

Flow is an important phenomenon in hydraulic engineering concept. The dynamics of differential temperature of fluids through pipe wall is important to analyze accurately the fluid flow and its effects over long distances, for example, transporting fluids within or outside an industrial zone. Many factors have been listed to affect the thermal flow in either turbulent or laminar flow. Some of the factors include friction loss in laminar and turbulent pipe [1]; viscoelastic properties of fluids [2, 3]; the temperature gradient between the pipe and fluid [4]; circumferential heat flux variations [5]; introduction of nanoparticles [6]; diameter of the pipe [7].

The temperature profile which explains the differential temperature, energy, and fluid flow gives details of the dynamics of fluid to its natural heat transfer. However, the heat transfer of the moving fluid had been investigated in past time [8–10] with greater successes recorded in the nonlinear conduction model [11, 12]. The convergence of the temperature profile to the desired profile is established by Lyapunov criteria [13]. In this paper, Poiseuille's criteria were theoretically improved upon the inclusion of two salient parameters, that is, unified number (U) and temperature profiles. The basic operation of this model concentrates along

one-dimensional temperature profile, that is, preferable along the *z*-axis.

2. Theoretical Background

The heat transfer processes based on energy balance and heat conductivity could be summarized in one-dimensional analysis (profile dynamics along the length of the pipe as shown by the red line) shown in Figure 2:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \varepsilon \left(T - T_{\infty} \right) + \varepsilon^* \left(C - C_{\infty} \right) + D \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2}$$
(1)

$$\rho C_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = k \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2}.$$
 (2)

 C_p is the specific heat at constant pressure (Jkg⁻¹ K⁻¹), *C* is the species concentration in the fluid (kgm⁻³), *k* is the thermal conductivity (Wm⁻¹ K⁻¹), *T* is the temperature profile (K), *D* is the mass diffusion coefficient (m²s⁻¹), *t* is the time (s), *u* is the velocity of the fluid, and ρ is the density of the material (kgm⁻³). Equation (1) is a restructured equation of motion of the fluid where ε , ε^* , and *D* have a fundamental element $1/\rho$. Equation (2) expresses the law of conservation of energy

of our model. Here, the temperature of the fluid, wall of the tube, and external temperature are almost equal. The initial and boundary conditions are

$$u = \text{constant},$$
 $T = T_{\infty},$ $C = C_{\infty},$
 $\forall r \le 2r, \text{ within the fluid.}$

 $u = u_f$, $T = T_{\infty} + T_{eq}(z)$, $C = C_{\infty} + C_{eq}$, $2r \ge r \le 2R$ = within the wall

$$u \longrightarrow 0, \quad T \longrightarrow T_{\infty}, \quad C \longrightarrow C_{\infty}, \quad r \longrightarrow \infty \text{ outside.}$$
(3)

(See Figure 1).

The geometry of the pipe and the nonlinear conduction would constantly alter the conservation of mass as shown below:

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(ru_1) + \frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial z} \neq 0.$$
 (4)

Therefore, the influence of the radius is assumed to be negligible under the above condition. Before deriving a unified differential equation, the most significant dimensionless parameters are highlighted as

$$\theta = \frac{T_{\rm eq}\left(z\right) - T_a}{T_f - T_a}.$$
(5)

Equation (5) is the dimensionless parameter for temperature which expresses the scale or magnitude of the temperature gradient of the fluid with respect to the pipe wall. The condition $H_p > H_f$ is a relative term on the account that the temperature of the pipe may not necessarily be dependent on the surrounding air. To avoid complexities of various possible heat sources of the pipe, we assume it takes its temperature from the surrounding air. Again, this assumption is also relative because of the influencing heat source which might be weather or artificial (heat generated from machineries). Another vital factor of the condition $H_p > H_f$ is the fluid flow of very cold liquids. In this case, the pipe absorbs heat from the environment so that

$$H_f + H_p = \varepsilon. \tag{6}$$

The condition $H_f > H_p$ might also be relative. For clarity, we assume that the fluid temperature is dependent only on its source, that is, the machine from which it is flowing.

The other key dimensionless parameters are the Reynolds numbers which are defined as ratio between inertial and viscous forces. Therefore, we shall be looking at the external airflow and for the internal fluid flow which is expressed as

$$\operatorname{Re}_{f} = \frac{\rho U_{f} D_{i}}{\mu}.$$
(7)

 ρ is the density, μ is the viscosity of the fluid flowing in the pipe, D_i is the internal diameter of the pipe, and U_f is the mean velocity of that fluid. Due to the overall convective



FIGURE 1: Cross-sectional area of the pipe.



FIGURE 2: Profile dynamics of pipe.

exchange of heat between the liquid and surrounding air, it is also necessary to state the Reynolds number for the air as

$$\operatorname{Re}_{a} = \frac{\rho U_{a} D_{i}}{\mu}.$$
(8)

Another dimensionless quantity is the Prandtl number which is the ratio between momentum diffusivity and thermal diffusivity. Prandtl number of liquids varies correspondingly to the temperature of the fluid even though it is not shown in its expression:

$$\Pr = \frac{\mu C_p}{k}.$$
(9)

 μ is the viscosity of fluid, C_p is specific heat at constant pressure, and k is the thermal conductivity of the fluid. The other dimensionless parameter is the Nusselt number which is the ratio between total heat transfer in a convection dominated system and the estimated conductive heat transfer:

$$Nu = \frac{hD_i}{k}.$$
 (10)

 D_i is the internal diameter of the pipe and k is the thermal conductivity of the fluid.

3. Mathematical Experimentation

Solving the second order differential equation in (1)-(2) enables the discovery of other dimensionless quantities. If (11) below is introduced to (1), (12) emerges with introduction of a decelerating parameter a_f which is due to the presence of friction loss as the fluid flows through a lengthy pipeline.

 $\varepsilon^*(C - C_{\infty})$ is negligible because the fluid is assumed to have a homogenous flow:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \times \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}$$

$$- a_f \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = D \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} + \varepsilon \left(T - T_\infty\right)$$
(11)

$$D\frac{\partial^2 u\left(T_{\rm eq}\right)}{\partial z^2} + a_f \frac{\partial u\left(T_{\rm eq}\right)}{\partial z} + \varepsilon\left(T_{\rm eq}\right) = 0.$$
(12)

The generalized temperature model (Figure 3) in [14–18] is summarized as

$$T_{\rm eq} = e^{(u(T_{\rm eq})t/\lambda)T_0} \sin\left(kz\right).$$
(13)

This scheme shall be used to solve the flow rate which is dependent on the thermal equilibrium of the pipe wall and fluid. This is made possible when (14) is substituted into (12) with the condition that $\varepsilon(T_{\rm eq}) \ll 1$:

$$D\sin(kz) + DT_{eq}\cos^2(kz) = a_f \cos(kz)$$
. (14)

We shall be investigating two cases; that is, kz = 0 and > 0. First, let kz = 0,

$$T_{\rm eq} = -\frac{a_f}{D},\tag{15}$$

where $a_f \propto T_0 (dV/dt)$. dV/dt is the volume flow rate defined by Poiseuille's equation; that is,

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{\pi}{8} \left(\frac{V^4}{\eta}\right) \left(\frac{P_1 - P_1}{L}\right). \tag{16}$$

Leaving the temperature profile (T_{eq}) of the fluid to be

$$T_{\rm eq} \propto T_0 \left[\frac{\pi}{8} \left(\frac{V^4}{\eta} \right) \left(\frac{P_1 - P_1}{L} \right) \right],$$
 (17)

 $((P_1 - P_1)/L)$ is the pressure gradient and T_0 is the initial temperature of the fluid through the pipe. The proposed constant of (17) $C = mC_pU_a/VhU$. The constant introduces the influences of the pipe properties on the fluid flow which is the objective of this study:

$$T_{\rm eq} = T_0 \cdot \frac{\rho C_p U_a}{hU} \cdot \left[\frac{\pi}{8} \left(\frac{V^3}{\eta}\right) \left(\frac{P_1 - P_2}{L}\right)\right].$$
(18)

 T_0 is the initial temperature of the fluid, T_{eq} is the temperature profile/gradient between the fluid and pipe, *m* is mass of water flowing through the pipe, *A* is the cross-sectional area of the pipe, and ρ is the density of the pipe. Combine (8)–(10); that is, $U = PrRe/Nu = \rho C_p U_a/hz$. *U* is the unified parameter and it is defined as

$$U = \frac{\rho C_p U_a}{h} \theta. \tag{19}$$



FIGURE 3: Effects of θ to the temperature profile.

4. Practical Application of Proposed Model

This theoretical model was applied to check the behavioral peculiarities of the unified number (U) on the temperature profiles of buoyancy effects in horizontal pipe flows of dragreducing viscoelastic fluids. We assumed a highly dense metallic/ceramic pipe through which any nonvolatile fluid of lower viscosity (say $\eta > 1$ but $\eta < 100$) flows through. The specific parameters used for the experiment include $T_0 = 303 \text{ K}, h = 0.4 \text{ W/mK}, \rho > 1000 \text{ Kg/m}^3, C_p =$ 381 J/KgK, $D = 1 \text{ mm}^3$ to 7 mm^3 , and $U_a = 0.003 \text{ m/s}$. The major objective of this section is to investigate the usual experimental errors noticed in the Nusselt number of a turbulent flow. Basically, Poiseuille's equation is not obeyed when the Reynolds number exceeds 2000; however, regardless of the type of flow, few unseen factors present the anomaly found when calculating the Reynolds number across two distant points on the pipe. Therefore, we shall compare our theoretical model with known experimental results (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)), that is, to ascertain the accuracy of the unified number (U).

5. Results and Discussion

Our theoretical model is an improvement on the deficiency of Poiseuille's equation to analyze complex problems in hydraulic engineering. One of the major adjustments made to incorporate both the unified number (U) and the temperature profiles of the fluid flow was the volume flow rate which varies to the third power of the diameter (D). This simply means that when the diameter of the pipe is doubled, the flow rate increases by a factor 8. This idea was used to solve the shortcomings of the application of Reynolds number greater than 2000; that is, Re > 2000. The behavioral content of the unified number exactly mimics the behavioral trend of experimental results of the Reynolds, Nusselt, and Prandtl number (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)). The experimental confirmation of the model is, therefore, a major success in solving flow rate problems in hydraulic engineering and blood flow rate. The unified number governs the convective phenomena between two interacting fluids that are separated by a conductive medium. In this case, the conductive medium is the pipe whose properties are defined by its density and specific heat capacity as shown in (18).



FIGURE 4: (a) Theoretical model using the unified number in laminar flow. (b) Experiments of two turbulent flows (Gasljevic et al., 2000) [19].



FIGURE 5: Temperature distribution trend in a pipe wall and fluid.

The second condition (refer to (??)) when kz > 0, the mass diffusion coefficient is neglected on the assumption of the nonconservation of mass along the *r*-axis.

The temperature profile of the collective pipe wall and fluid (Equilibrium Temperature) is analyzed in Figure 5. The temperature distribution undulates at constant volume flow rate. Despite the viscosity of the fluid and the properties of the pipe wall, the shear stress on the wall pipe is paramount because it determines the temperature profile as the fluid makes uneven contact with the pipe wall. This is the idea of the unified number in solving hydraulic problems in machinery [20].

6. Conclusion

The theoretical model had enabled the confirmation of a scheme known as the unified number which is believed to govern the convective phenomena between two interacting fluids that are separated by a conductive medium. The validity of the hypothesis by experimental results shows the importance of the conductive medium whose properties were defined by its density and specific heat capacity. More revelations on the unified number may be achieved in analyzing the effects of distortion to laminar and turbulent flow through conductive pipes (copper, steel, etc.) and nonconducting pipes (blood vessels, ceramic pipes, etc.).

Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgment

The author appreciates the continuous support of the Covenant University for partial sponsorship of this research.

References

 J. M. Gorman, E. M. Sparrow, and J. P. Abraham, "Differences between measured pipe wall surface temperatures and internal fluid temperatures," *Case Studies in Thermal Engineering*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 13–16, 2013.

- [2] M. Igarashi, M. Tanaka, N. Kimura, and H. Kamide, "Study on fluid temperature fluctuation and transfer to wall in a mixing tee," in *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference On Nuclear Engineering (ICONE '11)*, ICONE11-36299, 2003.
- [3] A. V. Shenoy and J. J. Ulbrecht, "Temperature profiles for laminar natural convection flow of dilute polymer solutions past an isothermal vertical flat plate," *Chemical Engineering Communications*, vol. 3, no. 4-5, pp. 303–324, 1979.
- [4] T. Muramatsu, Frequency Evaluation of Temperature Fluctuation Related to Thermal Striping Phenomena Using a Direct Numerical Simulation Code DINUS-3, ASME PVP-C, vol. 253, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, NY, USA, 1993.
- [5] B. Metais and E. R. G. Eckert, "Forced, mixed and free convection regimes," *Journal of Heat Transfer*, vol. 86, pp. 295– 296, 1964.
- [6] S. K. Das, S. U. S. Choi, and H. E. Patel, "Heat transfer in nanofluids—a review," *Heat Transfer Engineering*, vol. 27, no. 10, pp. 3–19, 2006.
- [7] C. B. Tibiriçá, S. Szczukiewicz, G. Ribatski, and J. R. Thome, "Critical heat flux of R134a and R245fa inside small-diameter tubes," *Heat Transfer Engineering*, vol. 34, no. 5-6, pp. 492–499, 2013.
- [8] M. Epstein, J. P. Burelbach, and M. G. Plys, "Surface temperature profiles due to radiant heating in a thermocapillary channel flow," *Journal of Heat Transfer*, vol. 119, no. 1, pp. 137–141, 1997.
- [9] B. Çuhadarolu and O. Turan, "Numerical study on heat transfer between turbulent flow and porous rectangular cylinders with uniform injection or suction," *Heat Transfer Engineering*, vol. 33, no. 15, pp. 1232–1245, 2012.
- [10] M. Mameli, M. Marengo, and S. Zinna, "Thermal simulation of a pulsating heat pipe: effects of different liquid properties on a simple geometry," *Heat Transfer Engineering*, vol. 33, no. 14, pp. 1177–1187, 2012.
- [11] M. Girault and D. Petit, "Identification methods in nonlinear heat conduction. Part I: model reduction," *International Journal* of Heat and Mass Transfer, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 105–118, 2005.
- [12] H. Pascal, "A nonlinear model of heat conduction," *Journal of Physics. A. Mathematical and General*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 939–948, 1992.
- [13] S. B. Connor and G. Fort, "State-dependent Foster-Lyapunov criteria for subgeometric convergence of Markov chains," *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications*, vol. 119, no. 12, pp. 4176–4193, 2009.
- [14] E. Uno, M. E. Emetere, and E. C. Daniel, "Simulated analysis of soil heat flux using temperature deviation model," *Science Journal of Physics*, vol. 2012, no. 2, pp. 1–9, 2012.
- [15] M. E. Emetere, "Forecasting hydrological disaster using environmental thermographic modeling," *Advances in Meteorology*, vol. 2014, Article ID 783718, 9 pages, 2014.
- [16] U. E. Uno, M. E. Emetere, and J. S. Adelabu, "Parametric investigation of soil susceptibility to compaction using temperature deviation curves," *Science Journal of Civil Engineering and Architecture*, no. 2, pp. 1–6, 2012.
- [17] M. E. Emetere, "Monitoring and prediction of earthquakes using simulated temperature deviation curve model," *International Journal of Applied Information Systems*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 13–17, 2012.
- [18] U. E. Uno and M. E. Emetere, "Analysing the impact of soil parameters on the sensible heat flux using simulated temperature curve model," *International Journal of Physics & Research*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 1–9, 2012.

- [19] K. Gasljevic, G. Aguilar, and E. F. Matthys, "Buoyancy effects on heat transfer and temperature profiles in horizontal pipe flow of drag-reducing fluids," *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, vol. 43, no. 23, pp. 4267–4274, 2000.
- [20] S. Moaveninejad and M. M. Heyhat, "Study of a variable conductance two-phase closed thermosyphon with twocomponent working fluid," *Heat Transfer Engineering*, vol. 31, no. 13, pp. 1082–1090, 2010.