

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

## Monitoring of seepages around dams using geophysical methods: a brief review

To cite this article: O.T. Kayode *et al* 2018 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **173** 012026

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.



**IOP | ebooks™**

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the collection - download the first chapter of every title for free.

# Monitoring of seepages around dams using geophysical methods: a brief review

O.T. Kayode<sup>1</sup>, A.M. Odukoya<sup>2</sup>, T.A. Adagunodo<sup>1</sup>, A.A. Adeniji<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Geophysics Unit, Covenant University, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Geosciences, University of Lagos, Lagos State, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics and Solar Energy, Bowen University, Iwo, Osun State, Nigeria

solajesreign@yahoo.com; olusola.kayode@covenantuniversity.edu.ng;  
taadagunodo@yahoo.com

**Abstract.** The slow escape of a liquid or gas through a porous material or small openings is called seepage. It is a process of seeping in soil engineering whereby water in soils move. Seepages often pose a grave problem in building foundations and also a common problem in earth dams (Dams are structures built to retain water/fluid) due to abnormal or excessive leakage. Seepage through or around dams have been responsible for most dam failures. Dam failures are usually catastrophic with many fatalities and causing the destruction of infrastructure and properties. Therefore, monitoring of seepage through and around dams becomes a necessity in order to maintain dam's stability. The main field of application of this investigation is subjected to site characterization and foundation quality assurance. This paper reviews the geophysical techniques which have been considered for the monitoring and control of seepages around dams.

## 1. Introduction

The incessant incidence of dam failure is becoming an important topic in the past few decades. The improper knowledge about the subsurface geological material, inadequate information about the soil, poor dam foundation design and also seepages around dams have been attributed to the cause of this failure (Lukman et al., 2011). In order to prevent the significant potential hazards that always accompany such failures there is an urgent need for seepage studies (Close et al., 2002). Hence, a need to discuss the importance of geophysical techniques for the thorough mapping of dams, which is a vital factor in civil engineering as well as hydrogeological sectors.

Geophysical methods have contributed immensely to the monitoring of seepages around dams and to various aspects of human endeavours (Adagunodo et al. 2013a; Adagunodo et al. 2014) and more attention should be given to its intrinsic applications in environmental studies. Rapid and cost effective subsurface information/geology of large area are made possible by the applications of geophysical methods (Telford et al., 1976). Generally, dam and reservoirs are basically constructed for irrigation, water supply, hydro-electric power generation, flood control or some combination (Greager and Hinds, 2002). Many advanced countries like USA and China, make use of dams and reservoirs in such



that the development of China's hydropower and its regulation of water resources were as a result of the construction of dam and reservoir projects (Wang et al., 2012).

Major earth dam failures are due to seepages, although if it is within the design limit the stability is not threatened but excessive seepage will eventually lead to dam failure because seepage flow renders dam vulnerable to failure during their staged construction (Wang et al. 2012). The combined ERT results and geotechnical monitoring data are good indicators of likely mechanism for abnormal seepages (Lin et al., 2013). Most of the available seepage monitoring systems are insensitive to small changes in the seepage flow. However, the non-intrusive geophysical techniques have been on high demand to facilitate early detection or diagnosis of anomalous seepage occurrences (Voronkov et al., 2004).

However, the relevance of geophysical methods in the investigation of seepage in earth-dam have been established in several works. Among this is the electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) method which have been progressively applied for seepage investigations, dams and buildings stability control (Okko et al. 1994; Abuzeid 1994; Panthulu et al. 2001; Karastathis et al. 2002; Turkmen et al. 2002; Oh et al. 2003; Lim et al. 2004; Sjodahl et al. 2005; Song et al. 2005; Kim et al. 2007 and Cho and Yeom 2007; Adagunodo et al. 2013b; 2015; 2017; Akinwumi 2014; Kayode and Akinwumi, 2014; Adewoyin et al. 2017). Also, Chin-ping et al., (2013) applied ERT to the investigation of seepage in earth dams in Taiwan. This particular dam was reconstructed to increase its water level and two-dimensional (2D) ERT was deployed at the study area to investigate several abnormal leaks that was observed on the downstream portion of the earth-dam. For time-lapse measurements, periodic measurements were collected on the downstream side. ERT results were combined with geotechnical data to indicate clearly the seemly mechanism of the abnormal seepage.

Seepage anomalies can be further enhanced in its capabilities by time-lapse measurement (Johansson and Dahlin 1996; Sjö Dahl et al. 2010). Also, Ani and Arewa (2013) used 2D electrical resistivity tomography to image suspected seepage channels in an earth dam in Zaria, Nigeria. This method was used to diagnose the variations in the volume of water content in the dam whether it is as a result of an anomalous seepage at the subsurface or a mere seasonal effect. Various zones of relatively uniform resistivity values were mapped and identified from the interpreted acquired data. The results revealed that the rather low resistivity zones within the bedrock represent probable pathways for seepages. Hence, geophysical method was successfully used to detect and map the seepage pathways within the bedrock of the earth dam (Ani and Arewa, 2013; Alemaw et al. 2016).

Moreso, Walid Al-fares (2011; 2014) carried out survey involving various geophysical methods such as the superficial electromagnetic (EM), electrical sounding and ERT to identify and discern the cause of the water losses problem in the Afamia B dam located at Al- Ghab basin in Syria. He noted that the ERT technique was more effective and convenient to detect water infiltration problems and leakages through the bedrocks. The integrated results of the methods revealed some geological structures that have adverse effect which may eventually lead to leakages in the dam foundation. The vertical infiltration in the dam was later detected as the principal cause for the seepage problem.

Also, Oladapo et al. (2013) carried out a feasibility study for constructing a dam on Gurara dam phase II using seismic refraction method. Off-end/reverse and split spread shootings were the seismic field techniques employed on the field. The study site is on the crystalline basement complex rocks of North Central Nigeria. The results showed significant seepage features within the bedrock, that is, on the western flank of the proposed dam axis. Significant fractured bedrock with probability for seepage was delineated on the eastern flank of the dam. The overall result revealed the competence and suitability of the materials investigated within the dam.

The present day seepage pattern and hydrogeological mechanisms that influence seepages were carried out in Raymond, California (Burke et al. 2011) using the self-potential and direct current resistivity surveys. The background knowledge of the hydrogeology and the information of a typical subsurface structures from resistivity surveys aided the interpretations as several flow scenarios were investigated. Evidence of seepage was discovered from the self-potential data interpretation of the dam which is consistent with past observations (Sjodahl et al. 2009). When properly integrated geophysical

surveys, well data and numerical modeling can provide better understanding of seepages at sites. (Burke et al. 2011). In view of the above, this article utilizes the performance of ERT and other related geophysical methods to detect the abnormal seepage at earth dams and to further understand the possible ways of monitoring abnormal seepage in earth-dams.

## 2. Causes of seepage

So many factors have been researched to lead to the cause of seepage in dams. They include; open seams, joint in rocks, unconsolidated rocks in the foundation, poorly compacted soil, rat holes, digging of drains, deep rooted trees, cracks, frost action, shrinkage cracking in the environmental soil, uprooted trees, earthquakes; excessive uplift pressure and trapped groundwater and insufficient structural drainage (Greager et al. 2002; FERM, 2006; Flores-Berrones et al. 2011; Lukman et al 2011; Omofunmi et al. 2017).

Seepage is peculiar to all earth dams because water percolates slowly through dams and foundations. If seepage forces are large enough they may lead to eroded soil which may weaken the soil and eventually result in landslides. Seepage may result in environmental failure if not properly monitored (Auvinet et al 2010).

Other recognizable signs of seepage according to Auvinet et al. (2010) and Omofunmi et al. (2017) are

- i. Seasonal temperature variation inside the dam.
- ii. Rapid water level decrease below the level expected with normal use.
- iii. Accumulated portion of water in areas around the dam site.
- iv. Reduced growth rate in vegetation around the dam compared with other places far away.
- v. Change of vegetation colour with distorted growth.

### 2.1 *Effects of seepages on earth dams*

Dams and embankments are most vulnerable to seepage during water conditions. Piping, excessive internal pressure or saturation, solutioning of soluble rocks such as gypsum, limestone and rock-salt, internal erosion, excessive uplift and heave or blowout, are some of the common seepage problems which could lead to dam failures (Alberro, 2006; Omofunmi et al. 2017).

#### 2.1.1 *Piping*

Piping is a kind of seepage failure mode that occurs under the influence of seepage force in soil materials. The poor soils formed channels which transport materials downstream. These channels (hollow) grow wider as a result of infiltration of materials downstream and if not quickly checked it may eventually lead to subsidence of the dam. (Wang et al. 2017; Omofunmi et al. 2017).

#### 2.1.2 *Internal erosion*

Internal erosion is another common way that water can damage embankment dams. This is the movement of water in cracks or other defects along borderlines of soil and bedrock (FERC, 2006; Fell et al. 2003; Flores-Berrones et al. 2011). Failures of internal erosion are commonly found in areas with slicken slides, careless construction, cracks and horizontal piping (FERC, 2006).

#### 2.1.3 *Solutioning*

Dam foundation on soluble rocks that dissolve in groundwater can cause seepage problems. Rainfall dissolves soluble rocks in zones above the water table and below by the groundwater movement. Extreme caution should be taken on dams to be constructed on materials prone to solutioning such as gypsum, rock salts and limestone rocks (FERC, 2006; Flores-Berrones et al., (2011). The dissolved salts if not checked may gradually lead to the loss of strength of subsurface formations.

#### 2.1.4 Internal pressures and saturations

Seepage normally takes place at the foundation soil of the dam especially when water is stored in the dam. Pore pressure, reduced shear strength are some of the adverse effects of seepages (FERC, 2006). The dam becomes so attenuated to withstand the water pressure as a result of continuous saturation and slumping processes and this may eventually leads to dam failure (FERC, 2006).




Figure 1: Effects of seepages in dams. Courtesy: the constructor

#### 2.1.5 Blowout, uplift or heave

This is one of the symptoms of internal pressure and saturation. It occurs when there is an excessive uplift pressure, that is, the previous layer apply an excessive force on an overlying confining layer at the seepage foundation. Dam failure occurs when the pore pressure on the underlying confining layer surpasses the overburden pressure of the overlying soils. This scenario eventually results into a blowout, commonly forming a boil as it breaks through or breaches the confining layer (FERC, 2006).

### 3. Methodology and Applications

#### 3.1 Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT)

Electrical resistivity method is non-intrusive geophysical method whereby profiles of the length of the proposed dam of investigation were spread in up and downstream sides, parallel and close to the dam embankment. Resistivity profiles have been successfully used to detect seepage paths in dams (Panthulu, 2001). The intrinsic electrical resistance of materials and the resistance contrasts between them is basically what the geophysical resistivity methods rely on. The resistivity of earth materials is determined by injecting electrical current into the ground and measuring the resulting potential difference. Self-potential (SP) or Induced polarization (IP) method is another proven method for monitoring seepage in the subsurface (Panthulu, 2001). Electric potential generated from fluid flow can be recorded on the surface survey lines. Hence, a comparison of SP/IP surveys from different reservoir levels can actually reveal seepage flow path. However, a combination of SP/IP and resistivity profiles can facilitate the detection and delineation of seepage path in dams (Brosten et al. 2005).

#### 3.2 Electromagnetic method (EM)

This is also a non-intrusive geophysical method commonly used for seepage monitoring in dams. Low or high conductivities produced from air filled subsurface features and water or clay-filled features respectively are good indicators for seepage pathways using EM (Boston et al. 2005). EM can be used



selectively to correlate survey results to known and existing seeps or groundwater seepage sources. Understanding of site hydrogeology of the study area is necessary when using EM so that the presence of power lines, buried cables, weak electrical conductors and clay layers in the soils can be delineated. Changes in water conductivity due to changing ion concentration and other related changes in groundwater should also be considered when using this method (Montgomery and Kofoed 2001).

### 3.3 Seismic refraction method

This geophysical method has also been used for seepage monitoring in dams but rarely used because of its high cost implication. The method has possibility to give an image of the subsurface and map lateral and vertical variations in the subsurface geology of the required site under investigation (Mustafa et al. 2012). A combination of seismic refraction and resistivity methods will give robust information of the seepage pathways in and around dams.

## 4. Conclusion

Monitoring of seepages around embankment dams is very important in civil engineering and hydro-geophysical structures. Generally, the effects of seepage on dams are functions of soil water retention capability which is a vital factor in engineering geology. Geological mapping and field investigations for dam projects should delineate soil properties and rock formations that could cause seepage failures. An in depth study of the geological and geotechnical properties of these materials should be carried out. A combination of electrical resistivity and other tools such as self-potential/Induced polarization have proven to give quantity and quality interpretation of seepage flow. Performing resistivity and self-potential profiles along several lines across the abutment permits a quasi-three dimensional view of the seepage pattern to be obtained (Brosten et al. 2005). Lastly, geophysicists and the civil engineers should work hand in hand in other to build and maintain dam structures to avoid the damage and waste of resources that may eventually result in the project if done otherwise.

## Appreciation

We appreciate the management of Covenant University, Nigeria for publication support received from them.

## References

- Abuzeid N. (1994). Investigation of channel seepage areas at the existing Kaffrein dam site Jordan using electrical-resistivity measurements. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 32: 163-175.
- Adewoyin O. O., Joshua E. O., Akinyemi M. L., Omeje M and Joel E.S (2017). Application of 2D electrical resistivity imaging and cone penetration test to assess hazardous effect of near surface water on foundations in Lagos Nigeria, *Journal of Physics-Conference series* (1) 852.
- Adagunodo T. A., Adeniji A. A., Erinle A. V., Akinwumi S. A., Adewoyin O. O., Joel E. S., Kayode O. T. (2017). Geophysical Investigation into the Integrity of a Reclaimed Open Dumpsite for Civil Engineering Purpose. *Interciencia Journal*, 42(11): 324 – 339.
- Adagunodo T. A., Sunmonu L. A. and Adeniji A. A. (2015). Effect of Dynamic Pattern of the Saprolitic Zone and its Basement on Building Stability: a Case Study of a High-Rise Building in Ogbomoso. *Journal of Applied Physical Science International*, 3(3): 106–115.
- Adagunodo T.A., Sunmonu L.A. and Oladejo O.P. (2014). Electromagnetic Investigation into the

- cause(s) of Road Failure along Takie-Ikoyi Road, Ogbomoso. *International Journal of Business and Applied Sciences*, 1(1), 78–85.
- Adagunodo T.A., Sunmonu L.A., Oladejo O.P. and Ojoawo I.A. (2013a). Vertical Electrical Sounding to Determine Fracture Distribution at Adumasun Area, Oniye, Southwestern Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Applied Geology and Geophysics*, 1(3), 10–22.
- Adagunodo T. A., Sunmonu L. A., Ojoawo A., Oladejo O. P. and Olafisoye E. R. (2013b). The Hydro Geophysical Investigation of Oyo State Industrial Estate Ogbomoso, Southwestern Nigeria Using Vertical Electrical Soundings. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, 5(5), 1816–1829.
- Akinwumi, I.I. (2014) “Soil Modification by the Application of Steel Slag”, *Periodica Polytechnica Civil Engineering*, 58(4), 371–377.
- Alemaw B. F, Keaitse E.O and Chaoka T.R (2016). Management of water supply reservoirs under uncertainties in arid and urbanized environment, *Journal of Water Resources and Protection*, 8, 990 – 1009.
- Alberro J. (2006) Effect of transient flows on the behaviour of earth structures, 8th Nabor Carrillo Lecture, Mexican society of geotechnical engineering SMIG, Tuxtla Gutierrez, Chiapas Mexico
- Ani D. C and Arewa J. O (2013). Electrical Resistivity Imaging of suspected seepage channels in an Earthen Dam in Zaria, North – Western Nigeria. *Open Journal of Applied Sciences*, 3: 145 – 154.
- Auvinet G. and Lopez-Acosta N. P (2010). Rapid drawdown condition in submerged slopes. A presentation at the Institute of Engineering and the Mexican Society of Geotechnical Engineering (SMIG). 1, 67189.
- Brosten, T.R., J.L. Llopis, and J.R. Kelley (2005). “Using Geophysics to Assess the Condition of Small Embankment Dams,” ERDC/GSL TR-05-17. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, Mississippi.
- Burke J., Minsley L., Bethany L., Burton L., Scott I. and Michael H. P. (2011). Hydrogeophysical Investigations at Hidden Dam, Raymond, California. *Journal of Environmental and Engineering geophysics*, 16 (4): 145–164.
- Close ME, Stanton GJ, Pang L (2002). Use of rhodamine WT with XAD7 resin for determining groundwater flow paths. *Journal of Hydrogeology*, 10: 368 - 376.
- Chin-Ping Lin, Yin-Chun Hung, Zen-Hung Yu, and Po-Lin Wu (2013). Investigation of abnormal seepages in an earth dam using resistivity tomography. *Journal of Geo-Engineering*, 8 (2): 61 – 70.
- Cho I. K., and Yeom J. Y (2007). Crossline resistivity tomography for the delineation of anomalous seepage pathways in an embankment dam. *Geophysics*, 72, 31 - 38.
- Fell R., Wan C. and Foster M (2003) Time for development of internal erosion and piping in embankment dams. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 129, 307.
- Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (2006). Training aids for dams safety evaluation of seepage. 18 - 27.
- Flores-Berrones R., Ramirez Reynaga M and Macari E. J (2011). Internal erosion and rehabilitation of an earth dam. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 137 (2): 150 - 160.
- Greager V and Hinds N (2002). *Engineering of dams* John Wiley and sons, New York.
- Johansson S. and Dahlin T. (1996). Seepage monitoring in an earth embankment dam repeated resistivity measurements. *European Journal of Environmental and Engineering geophysics*, 1: 229 – 247.
- Lukman S, Otun S. A, Adie D. B, Ismail A and Oke I. A (2011). A brief assessment of a dam and its failure prevention. *Journal of Failure Analysis and Prevention*, 11, 97 – 109.

- Lim H. D., Kim K. S., Kim J. H., Kwon H. S and., Oh B, H (2004). Leakage detection of earth dam using geophysical methods. *International Commission on Large Dams, 72th Annual Meeting*, 212 - 224.
- Lin C., Hung Y., Yu H., and Wu P (2013). Investigation of abnormal seepages in an earth dam using resistivity tomography, *Journal of GeoEngineering*, 8, (2): 61- 70.
- Karastathis, V. K., Karmis, P. N., Drakatos, G., and Stavrakakis, G. (2002). Geophysical methods contributing to the testing of concrete dams, application at the Marathon Dam. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 50, 247 - 260.
- Kayode, O.T.; Akinwumi, I.I. (2017). Residual soils derived from charnockite and migmatite as road pavement layer materials. *Journal of Materials and Environmental Science*, 8(2), 657–665.
- Kim J. H., Yi M. J., Song Y., Seol S. J. and Kim K. S (2007). Application of geophysical methods to the safety analysis of an earth dam. *Journal of Environmental and Engineering Geophysics*, 12, 221 - 235.
- Montgomery, J.R. and V.O. Kofoed (2001). “Mapping, tracking, and monitoring flow paths through earthen dams,” in: *Dam Safety 2001, the 18th annual conference of the Association of State Dam Safety Officials, held September 9–13, 2001, in Snowbird, Utah: Lexington, Kentucky: Association of State Dam Safety Officials.*
- Mustafa S.R, Ibrahim E. H, Elawadi E, Metwaly M, Agami N.A (2012). Seismic refraction and resistivity imaging for assessment of groundwater seepage under a dam site, southwest of Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of the Physical Sciences*, 7 (48): 6230 – 6239.
- Oh Y. C., Jeong H. S., Lee Y. K. and Shon H. (2003). Safety evaluation of rock-fill dam by seismic (MASW) and resistivity method. *Proceedings of the 16th Annual Symposium on the Application of Geophysics to Engineering and Environmental Problems*, 1377 - 1386.
- Okko O., Hassinen P. and Korkealaakso, J. (1994). Location of Leakage Paths Below Earth Dams by Geophysical Techniques: *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering (ICSMFE) New Delhi*, 1349 – 1352.
- Omofunmi E. O; Kolo J. G, Oladipo A. S, Diabana P. D and Ojo A. S. (2017). A review on effects and control of seepage through earth-fill dams, *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology* 22 (5): 1 – 11.
- Oladapo M. I., Adeoye O., Oluwakemi O., Adebobuyi F. S., Badejo O. and Ifarajimi W. (2013). Seismic refraction study of Gurara dam phase II, northwestern Nigeria. *Journal of Geology and Mining Research*, 5 (11): 239 – 249.
- Panthulu, T.V., Krishnaiah C. and Shirke, J. M (2001). Detection of seepage paths in earth dams using self potential and electrical resistivity methods. *Engineering Geology*, 59, 281–295.
- Sjodahl P., Dahlin, T. and Johansson, S (2005). Using resistivity measurements for dam safety evaluation at Enemossen tailings dam in southern Sweden. *Environmental Geology*, 49, 267 - 273.
- Sjodahl P, Dahlin T and Johansson S (2009). Embankment dam seepage evaluation from resistivity monitoring data, *Near Surface Geophysics*, 7 (5, 6): 463 – 474.
- Sjödahl, P., Dahlin, T., and Johansson, S. (2010). Using the resistivity method for leakage detection in a blind test at the Røssvation embankment dam test facility in Norway. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 69, 643 - 658.
- Song SH, Song YH, Kwon BD (2005). Application of hydrogeological and geophysical methods to delineate leakage pathways in an earth fill dam. *Exploration Geophysics*, 36: 92-96.
- Telford W. M., Geldart L. P., Sheriff R. E. and Keys D. A (1976). *Applied Geophysics*.



Cambridge University Press.

- Turkmen S, Ozguler E, Taga H and Karaogullarindan T (2002). Seepage problems in the karstic limestone foundation of the Kalecik dam south Turkey, *Engineering Geology*, 63 (3): 247 – 257.
- Voronkov, O. K., Kagan, A. A., Krivonogova, N. F., Glagovsky, V. B., and Prokopovich, V. S. (2004). Geophysical methods and identification of embankment dam parameters. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Geotechnical and Geophysical Site Characterization, 593 - 599.
- Walid A. (2011). Contribution of the geophysical methods in characterizing the water leakage in Afamia B dam, Syria. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 75 (3): 464 – 471.
- Walid A. (2014). Application of Electrical Resistivity Tomography Technique for Characterizing Leakage Problem in Abu Baara Earth Dam, Syria. *International Journal of Geophysics*, 1 – 9.
- Wang Q. G., Du Y. H., Su Y. and Che K. Q. (2012). The environmental impact post-assessment of dam and reservoir projects: a review. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*, 13: 1439 – 1443.
- Wang Y, Li C, Zhou X and Wei X (2017). Seepage piping evolution characteristics in Bim soil –an environmental study, *Water*, 9 (458): 1 – 18.