# Numerical Modeling of Aircraft Wing Deflection under Different Loading Conditions

Odunfa, K. M<sup>1</sup>; Adeyeye, K. A.<sup>2</sup> and Babalola, P.O<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria <sup>2</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Osun State Polytechnic, Iree, Osun State, Nigeria <sup>3</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Covenant University, Ota, Ogun, State, Nigeria

**ABSTRACT:** In engineering designs such as in buildings, bridges, automobiles, aircraft bodies, points of failure are of critical importance to the designers and researchers. The course of this failure has always been attributed to the structural engineering components being subjected to different stresses and loading systems. This paper analyses deflection pattern in aircraft wing under various loading systems. Two-dimensional standard deflection (flexural) equation was used in the modeling of a typical aircraft wing similar to that of an Airbus A320 wing. Finite element method, one of the numerical methods was adopted in the model development which was based on the classical plate theory and plane stress assumptions. The model was then used to carry out analysis on the wing which was taken as a thin plate. The wing was discretized with triangular and rectangular meshing. JAVA code was utilised in solving the model algorithm which was also made to be interactive. The model analysed different cases with different boundary conditions and loading conditions. Two cases were analysed and deflection along X, Y and XY-axis for each case were generated. Results obtained established that the greater the load, the higher the deflection obtained in the aircraft wing under different loading conditions. However, for edges and nodes with fixed and roller support, higher loadings is required for deflection to occur

KEYWORDS: Aircraft Wing, Plate theory, Finite Element Analysis, Loadings, Deflection

Date of Submission: 16-01-2019

Date of acceptance: 28-01-2019

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Structural components in engineering such as buildings, bridges, automobiles, aircraft bodies etc. are subjected to different stresses and loading systems. These stresses cause structural failure and deformation consequently in these components therefore structural analysis before their construction is the most delicate and of course a pre – requisite operation that must be carried out. The aircraft wings are the primary lift producing device for an aircraft. The aircraft wings are designedaerodynamically to generate lift force which is required inorder for an aircraft to fly. Besides generating the necessarylift force, the aircraft wings are used to carry the fuel requiredfor the mission by the aircraft, can have mounted engines orcan carry extra fuel tanks or other armaments. The basic goal of the wing is to generate lift and minimize drag as far aspossible. When the airflow passes the wing at any suitableangle of attack, a pressure differential is created. A region oflower pressure is created over the top surface of the wing while a region of higher pressure is created below the surface of the wing (Pungoti et al., 2014).

It is important that all the different types of loads that the aircraft wing will bear be wellestimated, and then the structural response to those loads be carefully calculated. To carefullycalculate the response of the aircraft wing to estimated or measured loadings, it is important touse structural analysis techniques to which considerable confidence can be assigned (Bruce K. Donaldson, 2008). Of all the numerical methods for such complex analysis, finite element method demonstrates greater potential for such analysis. The use of finite element analysis in structural analysis have been increasing over the years as it has proven an alternative to mathematical analysis mostly giving a close approximation. The finite element technique of plane stress analysis has been presented in different papers. The technique has gained considerable recognition with application to problems associated with the aircraft industry. In all of these papers, however, the technique has been applied to problems associated with isotropic materials. A. C. Maki (1968) examined the framework method and the stiffness element method closely and determined their applicability in handling problems in orthotropic plane stress. RuslizamDaud et al. (2012) introduced the concept of fracture mechanics and numerical approachesto solve interacting cracks problems in solid bodies which involves elastic crack interaction. A new computational fracture mechanics algorithm wasdeveloped by adopting stress singularity approach in finite element (FE) formulation. As a conclusion, the FE formulated approach was found to be at agreeable accuracy with analytical formulation. Some other researchers have also worked on plate bending analysis using finite element method but used softwares with finite element working environment. This involves first getting the mathematical model of such problems and using the software to model the problem and a keen comparison will be made to highlight the best procedure although, the programming model always proved more efficient. M. Zdiri et al (2009) developed the designthe rigid RCC (Roller Compacted Concrete) pavements gradually through methodsestablished by various organisms for the determination of the necessary thicknesses of roadways. In the study, a numerical 3D modelling was used by introducing to the computer code "Abaqus" the behaviour law of the RCC. Finally, the results of 3D modelling were compared with those obtained by the various other methods. The comparison shows good correspondences although the 3D modelling gives results slightly lower thanthose given by the 2D methods in stresses.F. Carta and A. Pirondi (2011) also worked on a study to validate a numerical method of analysis that can predict the damage tolerance of these reinforced panels. Therefore, using a fracturemechanics approach, several models (different by the geometry and the types of reinforcement constraints) were simulated with the finite element solver ABAQUS. The stress intensity factor trend obtained numerically as a function of crack growth was used todetermine the fatigue crack growth rate, obtaining a good approximation of the experimental crack propagation rate in the skin. This paper aims at presenting the analysis of deflection of an aircraft wing under different loading and boundary conditions using finite element method. The finite element analysis was done and was written in JAVA code as an interactive computer model.

#### **Governing Equations**

#### **II. MATHEMATICAL MODEL**



#### **Fig.1 Thin Plate Element**

Upon bending, particles that were on the mid-surface z = 0 undergo a deflection w(x, y) along z. The slopes of the mid-surface in the x and y directions are  $\partial w/\partial x$  and  $\partial w/\partial y$ . The rotations of the material normal about x and y are denoted by  $\theta x$  and  $\theta y$ , respectively. For small deflections and rotations the foregoing kinematic assumption relates these rotations to the slopes:

$$\theta_x = \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}, \qquad \theta_y = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}.$$

The displacements { ux, uy, uz} of a plate particle P(x, y, z) not necessarily located on the mid-surface are given by

$$u_x = -z \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} = z \theta_y, \quad u_y = -z \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} = -z \theta_x, \quad u_z = w.$$

| IJMER | ISSN: 2249-6645 |

Where, w is the deflection of the middle plane of the plate in the z direction. Further the relationship between, the strain and deflection is given by,

$$e_{xx} = \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} = -z \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} = -z \kappa_{xx},$$

$$e_{yy} = \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial y} = -z \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} = -z \kappa_{yy},$$

$$e_{zz} = \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z} = -z \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial z^2} = 0,$$

$$2e_{xy} = \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x} = -2z \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y} = -2z \kappa_{xy},$$

$$2e_{xz} = \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} = 0,$$

$$2e_{yz} = \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} = 0.$$

Here,

$$\kappa_{xy} = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}, \quad \kappa_{yy} = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2}, \quad \kappa_{xy} = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y}.$$

Are the curvatures of the deflected surface

# **Constitutive Equations**

From Hooke's law,

 $\sigma = [D]\varepsilon$ 

Where,

$$\begin{bmatrix} D \end{bmatrix} = \frac{E}{(1-\upsilon^2)} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \upsilon & 0 \\ \upsilon & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-\upsilon}{2} \end{vmatrix}$$

Here, [D] is equal to the value defined for 2D solids in plane stress condition (i.e., ).  $\sigma_z = 0$ 

# Calculation of moments and shear forces



Considering a plate element of  $dx \times dy$  and with thickness t, the plate is subjected to external uniformly distributed load p. For a thin plate, body force of the plate can be converted to an equivalent load and therefore, consideration of separate body force is not necessary.  $\sigma = -z$  [D]  $\Delta w$  It is observed from the above relation that the normal stresses are varying linearly along thickness of the plate. Hence the moments on the cross section can be calculated by integration.

$$M = \begin{cases} M_X \\ M_y \\ M_{xy} \end{cases} = \int_{-t/2}^{t/2} \sigma z dt = -\left(\int_{-t/2}^{t/2} z^2 dt\right) [D] \Delta w = -\frac{t^3}{12} [D] \Delta w$$

On expansion, we have

$$M_{X} = -\frac{Et^{3}}{12(1-\upsilon^{2})} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}w}{\partial x^{2}} + \upsilon\frac{\partial^{2}w}{\partial y^{2}}\right) = D_{P}\left(\chi_{x} + \upsilon\chi_{y}\right)$$
$$M_{y} = -\frac{Et^{3}}{12(1-\upsilon^{2})} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}w}{\partial y^{2}} + \upsilon\frac{\partial^{2}w}{\partial x^{2}}\right) = D_{P}\left(\chi_{y} + \upsilon\chi_{x}\right)$$
$$M_{xy} = M_{yx} = \frac{Et^{3}}{12(1+\upsilon)} \frac{\partial^{2}w}{\partial x\partial y} = -\frac{D_{P}(1-\upsilon)}{2}\chi_{xy}$$

Where Dp is known as flexural rigidity of the plate is given by

$$D_P = \frac{Et^3}{12\left(1 - \upsilon^2\right)}$$

Let consider the bending moments vary along the length and breadth of the plate as a function of x and y. Thus if  $M_{xacts}$  on one side of the element  $M_x = M_x + \frac{\partial M_x}{\partial_x} dx$  acts on the opposite side; Considering equilibrium of the plate element, the equations for forces can be obtained as

$$\frac{\partial Q_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Q_y}{\partial y} + p = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial M_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial M_{xy}}{\partial y} = Q_x$$
$$\frac{\partial M_{xy}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial M_y}{\partial y} = Q_y$$

Substituting the equations for moments, we have

$$Q_{x} = -D_{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}} \right)$$
$$Q_{y} = -D_{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}} \right)$$

Substituting the force equations into the moments equations, we have

$$\frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} + 2\frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial y^4} = -\frac{p}{D_p}$$

**Governing Equation for Deflection of Plates** 

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right) \nabla^2 w = \frac{q}{D}$$
$$\nabla^2 (\nabla^2 w) \frac{q}{D}$$
$$\nabla^4 = \frac{q}{D}$$
$$\frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} + 2 \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial y^4} = \frac{q}{D}$$
(Ramadas Chennamsetti)

# III. MATERIAL

#### The Type of aircraft to be considered

Airbus A320 (2011) single aisle passenger aircraft is one of the most modern aircraft in Airbus industry. It is a commercial aircraft with high passenger capacity, and it is mostly used for domestic routes. Airbus is one of the leading aircraft manufacturers in the world with the most modern and comprehensive product line with unbeatable fuel efficiency. It has a swept wing according to shape, middle wing according to the position of the wing on the aircraft and bi – wing according to the number of wings.

#### **Geometry Of The Aircraft Wing**

Geometric Scaling of Airbus A 320 Wing:

| TIDLE 2. SETTLED DIVILIATION OF WING (1.5) |                  |  |  |
|--|------------------|--|--|
| Semi Span                                  | 3000mm           |  |  |
| Root Chord                                 | 1180mm           |  |  |
| Tip Chord                                  | 192sq.mtrs       |  |  |
| Wing Area                                  | 763mm            |  |  |
| Mac (Location From Root)                   | 250              |  |  |
| Sweep Angle L.E                            | $0^{0}$          |  |  |
| Sweep Angle T.E                            | 170 <sup>0</sup> |  |  |
| Twist Root                                 | $3.7^{\circ}$    |  |  |
| Twist Tip                                  | $0.8^{0}$        |  |  |

# **TABLE 2**: SCALED DIMENSION OF WING (1:5)

(PungotiSharadaVani et al., 2014)

The original scaled dimensions (1:5) of the A320 wing are shown in Table 2 above. We know the values of root and tip chord lengths, sweep angles at the leading and trailing edges. The A 320 wing AutoCAD drawing is shown in Fig.3.



Fig.3 Final Meshed Model of Wing

By using AutoCAD software, the wing is designed according to required specifications. We have divided the wing into 15 stations at a distance of 200 mm. The very purpose of the division of wing is to give varying thickness at each division.

| S/No | Chord (mm) | Thickness (mm) |
|------|------------|----------------|
| 0    | 1186.6     | 141.98         |
| 1    | 1083.029   | 130.17         |
| 2    | 979.42     | 118.61         |
| 3    | 875.3      | 105.24         |
| 4    | 783        | 94.11          |
| 5    | 740.56     | 89.69          |
| 6    | 698.1      | 83.91          |
| 7    | 656        | 78.85          |
| 8    | 613.5      | 73.76          |
| 9    | 571        | 68.6           |
| 10   | 529.1      | 63.58          |
| 11   | 486.2      | 58.44          |
| 12   | 444        | 53.36          |
| 13   | 401.5      | 48.26          |
| 14   | 359        | 43.211         |
| 15   | 192        | 23.07          |

TABLE 3: CHORD AND THICKNESS AT EACH STATION (1:5)

(PungotiSharadaVani et al., 2014)

# IV. FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS

# Programming

The application of computer by the finite element method analysis of stresses for plate bending is written in a programming language known as JAVA. The first part is the main program that carries out the computation of stress analysis on the aircraft wing which is taken as a plate. The second part is the graphics user interface (GUI) will be created that will make the program a computer interactive model which drives the finite element method program. This part forms the interface that generates data input. The computer interactive model has the following steps for problem solving:

Modelling: Includes the system geometry definition and material property selection. In this step user can draw either 2D representation of the problem.

Meshing: This step involves discritizing the model according to predefined geometric element.

Solution: This step involves applying boundary conditions and loads to the system and solves the problem.

Post processing: This involves plotting nodal solutions (unknown parameters), which may be of displacements/stresses/reactive forces etc.

#### V. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Sample Runs

# Case One

The properties inputed include Young's Modulus  $E = 72 \times 10^9$  Pa, Poisson ratio  $\mathbf{v} = 0.3$ , thickness t = 0.5m, load = 10KPa (Uniform Loading along Y) and geometry.

| Table 4 Case One. Geometry and Boundary Condition |              |              |  |
|---|--------------|--------------|--|
| SIDE NO   | DIMENSION    | BOUNDARY     |  |
| 1   | 5900mm       | Fixed        |  |
| 3   | 1606.9072mm  | Free         |  |
| 4   | 4060.7881mm  | Free, Loaded |  |
| 5   | 4219.7208mm  | Free         |  |
| 6   | 11149.6092mm | Free, Loaded |  |
| 7   | 11008.3554mm | Free         |  |

# Table 4 Case One: Geometry and Boundary Condition

### Results



Fig 4: Case One- Displacement Along X – Axis



Fig 5: Case One- Displacement Along Y –Axis



Fig 6: Case One- Displacement Along XY – Axis

# Case Two

The properties inputed include Young's Modulus  $E = 72 \times 109$  Pa, Poisson ratio  $\mathbf{v} = 0.3$ , thickness t = 0.5m, load = 15KPa (Uniform Loading along X) and geometry

| Table 5 Case Two: Loading and Boundary Condition |         |                |  |
|--|---------|----------------|--|
| SIDE NO  | LOADING | BOUNDARY       |  |
| 1  | 0       | Free           |  |
| 3  | 0       | Free           |  |
| 4  | 0       | Roller Support |  |
| 5  | 15KPa   | Free           |  |
| 6  | 0       | Roller Support |  |
| 7  | 15KPa   | Free           |  |

Table 5 Case Two: Loading and Boundary Condition

# Results



Fig 7: Case Two-Displacement Along X – Axis



Fig 8: Case Two-Displacement Along Y – Axis



Fig 9: Case Two-Displacement Along XY – Axis

# VI. CONCLUSION

This project work considered analysis of deflection of an aircraft wing under different loading conditions using finite element method. JAVA code was used to develop an interactive computer model which analyses the deflection of an aircraft wing under various loading (uniformly distributed loading and point concentrated loading) conditions at the edges and the nodes and support conditions (fixed support, roller support and free end).Two different cases were analysed and deflection (lateral displacement) along X - axis, Y - Axis and XY - Axis for each cases were presented. It was discovered that the greater the load, the higher the deflection obtained and for edges and nodes with fixed and roller support, higher loadings has to be applied for deflection to occur.

#### REFERENCES

- C. Maki, Engineer 1968, Finite element techniques for orthotropic planestress and orthotropic plate analysis. U. S. Forest ServiceResearch Paper FPL – 87, June 1968.
- [2]. Gordon R. Negard, 1994, Guide to ASIAC Computer Programs, CSA Engineering, 2850 W. Bayshore road, Palo Alto CA 94303 3843.
- [3]. Advanced finite element methods (ASEN 6367), Chapter 20, Kirchoff Plates: Field Equations, 2013.Department of Aerospace Engineering science, University of Colorado at Boulder.
- [4]. Bashir Behjat, Amin Paykani, Amir Afkar2012, Analysis of Deflection of Rectangular Plates Under Different Loading Conditions, Young Researchers Club, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, IRAN.
- [5]. Bruce K. Donaldson, Ph.D 2008, Analysis of aircraft structures.Second Edition.Department of Civil andEnvironmental Engineering, University of Maryland.
- [6]. F. Carta, A. Pirondi, 2011, damage tolerance analysis of aircraft reinforcedpanels. Industrial Engineering Department, University of Parma, v.leG. P. Usberti 181/A, 43124 Parma – Italy.
- [7]. M. Zdiri, N. Abriak, J. Neji, M. Ben Ouezdou 2009, Modelling of the stressesand strains distribution in an RCC Pavment using the computer code'Abaqus'. Electronic Journal of structural Engineering, 9 (2009).
- [8]. PungotiSharadaVani, D. V. Ramana Reddy, B. Siva Prasad and K. Chandra Shekar (2014), Design and Analysis of A320 Wing using E – Glass Epoxy Composite, International journal of engineering research and technology (IJERT) ISSN: 2278 – 0181, Vol. 3 Issue II, November 2014.
- [9]. RamadasChennamsetti, Theory of Plates, R α DE (Engineers), DRDO. d\_mech@yahoo.com.
- [10]. RuslizamDaud, Ahmad Kamal Ariffin, Shahrum Abdullah, Al Emran Ismail, 2012, Interacting cracks analysis using finite element method, Chapter 13, Applied Fracture Mechanics Textbook. Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia. <u>http:// dx.doi.org/105772/54358</u>.
- [11]. Vanam B. C. L., Rajyalakshmi M., Inala R. 2012, Static analysis of an isotropic rectangular plate using finite element analysis (FEA), Journal of Mechanical Engineering Research Vol. 4(4), pp. 148 – 162, April 2012.
- [12]. http://www.ce.memphis.edu/7117/notes/presentations/chapter\_12.pdf www.wikipedia.org

Odunfa, K. M" Numerical Modeling of Aircraft Wing Deflection under Different Loading Conditions" International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER), vol. 08, no. 11, 2018, pp 71-79