

**CEO POWER, RISK GOVERNANCE AND BANK PERFORMANCE IN  
NIGERIA**

**BY**

**AGBA LOVE UYOYOGHENE**

**Matric no: 15PAA00887**

**A DISSERTATION IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING, SUBMITTED TO  
THE SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES COVENANT UNIVERSITY, OTA,  
OGUN STATE**

**IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF  
THE DEGREE OF MASTERS (M.Sc) IN ACCOUNTING**

**JUNE, 2017**

## **DECLARATION**

I, Agba Love Uyoyoghene, declare that this research titled “CEO Power, Risk Governance and Bank Performance in Nigeria” was undertaken by me under the supervision of Dr. Uwuigbe, U. in the department of Accounting, Covenant University. The research project has not been presented, either wholly or partly for any degree elsewhere before.

The sources of scholarly information and all the opinions of others used in this project have been duly acknowledged.

.....

Agba L.U

## **DEDICATION**

I dedicate this work to God Almighty, the one who by his special grace made all this possible to his glory.

## CERTIFICATION

I certify that this research was conducted by Agba Love Uyoyoghene of the department of accounting, Covenant University. I approve this chapter as adequate in scope and quality for the partial fulfillment of the award of Masters in science degree in Accounting, Covenant University.

UWUIGBE, U. (Ph.D)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature & Date

Supervisor

UWUIGBE, B.R (Ph.D)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature & Date

Head of department

Prof. L. Nasser

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature & Date

External examiner

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My sincere appreciation goes to the almighty God who by his grace gave me the strength and enablement to complete this project work. Even when it seems all is down, your divine mercy raised me up to accomplish better than I expected.

I am deeply grateful to my supervisor, Dr. U. Uwuigbe for his words of advice and understanding during the course of the dissertation, for his time which he freely gave to supervise my project work, patience, making corrections where necessary and his constant words of motivation. Also I am grateful because his supervision for the seminar class provided a strong foundation in writing this dissertation. May God be merciful and bless all your endeavours.

My appreciation also goes to the lecturers in the accounting department for their constant support ever since this program began. They include Dr. (Mrs) Uwuigbe, Dr Adetula, Dr. Fakile, Dr. Adeyemo, Dr. Faboyede, Dr. Ben Caleb, Dr. Ojeka, Dr Obigbemi, Dr Sylvester, and Mr Osariemen. This would not be complete without appreciating the dean, college of business and social sciences (CBSS), Professor Iyoha as well as the chancellor of this great citadel of learning Dr. David Oyedepo for providing a research enabled environment.

My unreserved gratitude goes to my parents, Mr and Mrs S.U. Agba for their love and care throughout my stay in Covenant University and for their constant interest and financial involvement in the progress of my dissertation and this degree program. I am very indebted and grateful for all their subtle reminders and words of encouragement to do the best I can and trust God for the rest. To my siblings, Faith, Beauty, Oke, Ajiri, Ewoma, Oreva, and Zino I say thanks for your own words of advice, affection and encouragement. I also want to appreciate my uncle and his wife Mr and Mrs Israel Ojile for their hospitality and constant words of advice all through my stay in Lagos for the course of this master degree and research work. This would not be complete without appreciating my sweetheart Mr. kunle Ogundipe for his emotional and financial support and steady advice during the course of this degree and dissertation. Your show of love to me greatly warms my heart which shows that I am indeed favoured to be a member of this blessed family. You guys are the best and I love you.

I thank my colleagues also running the Masters programme in the department of accounting for their love and warm regards especially Okere Wisdom, Mr Paul (FCA), Moromoke,

Damilola, and Inemesit Basse. A special thanks to my friends and colleagues across all departments in Covenant University: Romanus, Mrs Esther, Seun and others that have made my time in Covenant University worthwhile. This would not be complete without appreciating my friends who graduated from this program last academic session Oyenike and Maryjane for coaching me, and giving me first-hand knowledge of what is required for me to be successful in this degree. I appreciate you all.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

CONTENT	PAGE
Title Page	i
Declaration	ii
Dedication	iii
Certification	iv
Acknowledgements	v
Table of Content	vii
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xii
Appendices	xiii
Abstract	xiv
<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.0 Background to the Study	1
1.1 Statement of the research problem	2
1.2 Research Questions	3
1.3 Objectives of the study	3
1.4 Research Hypotheses	3
1.5 Significance of the Study	4
1.6 Scope of the Study	4
1.7 Operational definition of terms	4

## **CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

2.0 Introduction	7
2.1 Conceptual framework	7
2.2 The concept of CEO power	7
2.3 Measures of CEO power	9
2.3.1 Measuring CEO power to influence decisions	10
2.4 Determinants of CEO power	11
2.5 The concept of banking risk	14
2.5.1 Types of risks in banks	15
2.5.1.1 Credit risk	15
2.5.1.2 Market risk	16
2.5.1.3 Liquidity risk	17
2.6 Concept of risk governance	18
2.6.1 Risk management	19
2.6.2 Implementation of risk management system in banks	22
2.6.2.1 Standards and reports	23
2.6.2.2 Position limits and rules	23
2.6.2.3 Investment guidelines and strategies	24
2.6.2.4 Incentive Schemes	24
2.6.3 Risk management practices and processes in the banking industry	24
2.6.4 Risk management practices-the Nigerian Banking Experience	26
2.7 Concept of Bank performance	27
2.7.1 Measures of bank performance	28



2.8 CEO power and risk governance	30
2.8.1 Board’s role in corporate and risk governance	33
2.9 CEO power and bank performance	36
2.9.1 The role of CEO power on firm value under a financial crisis	39
2.10 Risk governance and bank performance	45
2.11 Theoretical framework	51
2.11.1 The agency theory	51
2.11.2 Upper Echelons theory	53
<b>CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.0 Introduction	55
3.1 Research Design	55
3.2 Sample selection	55
3.3 Sources of data	56
3.4 Data analysis procedure and instrument	56
3.5. Measurement of variables	57
3.5.1 Bank performance	57
3.5.2 Independent variables	57
3.5.3 Control variables	59
3.6 Model specification	60
<b>CHAPTER FOUR: DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS</b>	
4.0 Introduction	62
4.1 Data Presentation	62
4.1.1 Descriptive analysis	62

4.1.2 Correlation	64
4.1.3 Hausman test	66
4.1.4 Regression Analysis	67
4.2 Hypotheses Testing	71
4.2.1 Discussion of Findings	73
<b>CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	
5.0 Introduction	76
5.1 Summary of Analysis	76
5.2 Summary of Findings	76
5.3 Conclusion	77
5.4 Recommendations	78
5.5 Contribution to Knowledge	78
5.6 Limitations of the study	79
5.7 Suggestions for Further research	79
Bibliography	80
Appendices	98

## LIST OF TABLES

FIGURE	PAGE
<b>Table 2.0</b> Summary of some prior related literature on CEO power and risk governance	34
<b>Table 2.1</b> Summary of some prior related literature on CEO power and firm performance	41
<b>Table 2.2</b> Summary of prior studies on risk governance and firm performance	48
<b>Table 4.0</b> Descriptive statistics	63
<b>Table 4.1</b> Correlation matrix of the sample variables	64
<b>Table 4.2</b> Hausman fixed random test on CEO power and bank performance	66
<b>Table 4.3</b> Hausman fixed random test on risk governance and bank performance	67
<b>Table 4.4</b> Random effect regressions for CEO power variables and ROA	68
<b>Table 4.5</b> Random effect regressions for risk governance variable and ROA	70
<b>Table 4.6</b> Regression of interaction variables	72

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.0	Diagram showing the risk governance/management structure followed by banks	25

## APPENDICES

<b>Appendix 1</b>	Lists of firms sampled	98
<b>Appendix 2</b>	Yearly measurement variables of banks	98
<b>Appendix 3</b>	Corporate governance guideline on CEO tenure and Risk governance of banks	100

## ABSTRACT

*The study empirically examines the influence of CEO power and risk governance on bank performance in Nigeria. CEO power determinants include CEO tenure, percentage of CEO shareholding, and internally hired CEO and risk governance variables includes capital adequacy ratio, non-performing loan ratio, liquidity ratio and loan-to-total deposit ratio. While the model for estimating bank performance is return on asset (ROA). The study covers four years from 2012 to 2015 while secondary data used were extracted from the firms' annual reports and finance websites. Using panel data, the random effect regression was employed for the regression analysis. The study also included the random firm effect general least square regression to conduct the hypotheses testing. The descriptive results indicate that 56% of CEO are internally hired in Nigerian banks, CEO holds 1.6% on the average of the ordinary shares of the bank, and risk governance variables (NPLR, LTD, LR and CAR) is within the limits specified by CBN. The findings from the correlation analysis show that CEO power variables have a significant relationship with risk governance variables in the Nigeria banking industry. However, the results from the regression analysis show that despite the adherence of banks to corporate governance regulations by CBN, CEO power and risk governance measures are no adequate determinants of bank performance measured by ROA. The major implication of the study is that CEO power (tenure, percentage of shareholdings, internally hired) and risk governance (NPLR, LTD, LR, CAR) is not a standalone measure to improve the performance of listed banks. Therefore, firms should consider the other measures of CEO power and risk governance as well as other inherent and external factors that may impact on the performance of banks in Nigeria.*

## CHAPTER ONE

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.0 Background to the study

The recent economic recession and market uncertainty are characterized by rapid changes in the environment; this has brought about an increase in the significance of risk management thereby enabling organizations to take the risk to develop. The administrative change makes a risk that is in some cases favourable to the interests of the CEO as it can be favourable to the interests of the firm. A few reviews have supported the influence of manager on firm performance and risk governance (Jensen and Meckling (1976); Malmendier, Tate and Yan (2005); and Brookman and Thistle, 2009). According to Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN, 2006), the maintenance of public confidence, through the establishment of good corporate governance and the installation of a well-structured risk governance system remains of absolute importance to the players and drivers in the financial industry. It has been proposed that CEOs “set the tone for the entire corporation” (Wheelen & Hunger, 1990) and that the CEO is “The corporate leader” (Norburn, 1989). The results of CEO power in the study of Shams and Michael (2005) indicates that banks will have less risk taking if the bank CEO have significant influence over board decision making since bank managers (Including CEOs) have reasons to be risk-averse. Furthermore, based on the CEO peculiar effect on firm policies and investment management, attention has been drawn to the CEO managerial power since the global financial crises (Bertrand and Schoar, (2003) and Koo, 2015). Executives can only influence firms’ performance if they have power over crucial decisions, and the most powerful member of the organisation is considered to be the Chief Executive Officer (CEO). CEOs’ power is generally credited with their wide knowledge of the firms as well as their legitimate authority and their strong influence on firms’ structure, internal processes and strategic direction (Roth, 1995). Top executives administrative discretion and the firm performance is affected by the business external environmental factors (Finkelstein and Hambrick, 1996). Outside ecological elements could incite CEOs to act in an effective way towards long haul survivals. Dowell, Shackell, and Stuart (2011) support that CEO control impacts organization’s performance favourably while being influenced by negative external environmental factors. The advantages of CEO power is more in non-stable periods than in stable times (Haleblain and Finkelstein, 1993). The last potential advantage of effective CEOs are probably going to have advantages of individual decision-making with an adjusted power encourage expensive information sharing in non-stable periods (Koo, 2015). Hence, firms confronting a money related turmoil are probably