Evaluating the groundwater potential of coastal aquifer using geoelectrical resistivity survey and porosity estimation: A case in Ota, SW Nigeria
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ABSTRACT
Geoelectrical resistivity sounding surveys was carried out at two locations (A and B) in the new Canaan City residential estate of Canaan land Ota, Ogun state in order to locate possible targets for groundwater explorations. A total of twelve (12) vertical electrical soundings were conducted using the Schlumberger array configuration. The interpretations of the VES curves revealed five to six geoelectrical layers at location A which comprises VES1-7. The geoelectric units consist of the topsoil, sandy clay, lateritic clay, confining bed (clayey sand), and main aquifer (sand). The top of the aquifer in this part of the study area is between 40 m and 83.5 m. Likewise, a total of five to seven layers of geoelectrical units were delineated at the location B portion of the study site (VES 8–12) similar to location A with an addition of the shale unit that was interpreted to be that of the Akimbo Formation of the Dahomey Basin. The depth to the top of the productive aquifer within location B is in the range of 40–112.4 m. The delineated basal shale layer is impermeable, serving as the base seal rock for the confined aquifer system. The estimated porosity values range from 28% to 74%, indicating that the highly porous aquifer system in the area is the coastal plain sands mixed with the alluvium sand of the Benin Formation of Dahomey Basin. The study revealed the complexity of the productive aquifer system in the area and its undulating topography.

1. Introduction

The risk of drilling less productive or unproductive borehole for groundwater resources through highly expensive drilling method is avoidable by conducting cost-effective geophysical investigations. The information that could be obtained from geophysical studies includes the thickness and the depth to the groundwater reservoir (aquifer). Other important derivative information is the geohydraulic parameters of the subsurface aquifer which include aquifer hydraulic conductivity, porosity and permeability (Yadav, 1995; Soupios et al., 2007; Chandra et al., 2008; Uyanık, 2011; Aizebeokhai and Oyeyemi, 2015; Aizebeokhai et al., 2016a, 2016b; Aizebeokhai and Oyeyemi, 2018, 2018b; Aizebeokhai et al., 2018a, 2018b; Aizebeokhai et al., 2019; Umi Maslinda and Nordiana, 2018). The geoelectrical sounding or vertical electrical sounding (VES) technique measures the distribution of electrical resistivity in the subsurface. This technique is widely used for aquifer delineation as it can penetrate deeper into the subsurface (Oyeyemi et al., 2019). In addition, the technique of VES is quite non-destructive and lower cost-effective to locate aquifer compared to the direct borehole drilling.

Subsurface aquifers comprise of porous rocks that are capable of storing water for household and industrial use. The productivity of an aquifer unit depends on factors such as its depth, thickness, resistivity, and petrophysical properties like fluid saturation, mineral content, porosity, permeability, and groundwater contamination (Meju et al., 1999; Karlık and Kaya, 2001; Kaya et al., 2007; Balkaya et al., 2009;
Fig. 1. Location of study area showing the VES points.
The complexity of subsurface geology necessitates the applications of geophysical methods to avoid drilling an unproductive borehole during groundwater exploration, development, and management. The efficient geophysical investigation will enhance the understanding of the subsurface characteristics that will help to locate aquifer with high groundwater yield with higher accuracy; develop and manage the available groundwater for the greater good. This research focuses on the use of the geoelectrical resistivity method to locate the productive subsurface aquifer and estimate its petrophysical properties with a view to evaluating its potential for sustainable groundwater exploration, development, and management.

1.1. Study area and geological setting

The selected study area for this project is the Canaan-City residential estate within Ota, Ado – Odo/Ota L.G.A., Ogun state in southwestern Nigeria (Fig. 1). The study area is located within the longitude 3°9′7.80′′E to 3°9′22.31′′E, and latitude 6°39′17.93′′N to 6°39′37.10′′N. The regional geology of this area is that of the eastern Dahomey basin, where the regional strike of the sedimentary deposit is in E-W direction (Fig. 2). The basin is characterized by six lithological units that are of Late Cretaceous to Early Tertiary in age (Jones and Hockey, 1964; Olabode, 2006; Omatsola and Adegoke, 1981). They are Abeokuta group (comprising Araromi, Afowo and Ise Formations), Ewekoro Formation, Akinbo Formation, Oshosun, Ilaro, and Benin Formation in the descending order of their geologic age. These lithostratigraphic units have been discussed extensively by various authors (e.g., Ako et al., 1980; Okosun, 1990; Eluwe and Nton, 2004). The Abeokuta Formation is Cretaceous in age and composed of continental pebbly sands with intercalations of silt, mudstone, shale/clay, and thin limestone unit as a result of marine transgression. The Palaeocene Ewekoro formation is a limestone unit that is of shallow marine facies. Akinbo Formation is a shale dominated unit of Late Paleocene to Early Eocene. Oshosun Formation is a shale unit of Eocene age, while Ilaro Formation is a sequence of coarse sand units of the deltaic, estuary, and continental environments. Overlying the Ilaro Formation is the Oligocene to Recent Benin Formation that is a predominantly sand unit. The hydrogeological studies of the area have revealed that the coastal plain sands and the alluvium unit of the Benin Formation serve as the subsurface aquifer units.

2. Methodology

Twelve VES were conducted at different points within the CanaanCity Estate using the Schlumberger electrode configuration. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of rock</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weak cemented detrital rocks, such as sand, sandstone and some limestone with a porosity range from 0.25 to 0.45, usually tertiary in age</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately well-cemented sedimentary rocks, including sandstone and limestone with a porosity range of 0.18 and 0.35, usually Mesozoic age</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-cemented sedimentary rocks with a porosity range from 0.05 to 0.25, usually Paleozoic in age</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High porous volcanic rocks, such as tuff, aa, pahoehoe with porosity range 0.2–0.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocks with less than 0.04 porosity, including igneous rocks and metamorphosed sedimentary rocks</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
maximum spacing for the current electrodes (AB/2) used in this study is 240 m. The apparent resistivity of the traverses was measured using the ABEM (SAS 1000/4000 series) resistivity meter, which was set to take the readings at a minimum of 3 cycles and a maximum of 4 cycles (i.e., it will obtain the mean of 3 or 4 measurements). The spacing of AB/2 started at 1.0 m and increased while potential spacing (MN/2) was fixed at a point until AB/2 became large that an increase in potential became necessary. The measured apparent resistivity values were plotted against their respective current electrode spacing (AB/2) on a bi-log graph and were presented as sounding curves. Quantitative interpretations of the VES curves were carried out using partial curve matching technique and computer-aided 1-D forward modeling using WinResist 1.0 software.

Aquifer porosity ($\phi$) was estimated using the Achi’s relation (equation (1)), and this is based on the fact that the electrical resistivity of any geomaterial affects its porosity (Oyeyemi and Olofinnade, 2016; Oyeyemi et al., 2018b).

$$\rho_f = \rho_w a \phi^m S^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\rho_f$ and $\rho_w$ are the resistivities of aquifer formation and pore water, respectively. The parameters ‘a’, ‘m’, and ‘S’ are the tortuosity, cementation factor, and water saturation, respectively. The resistivity of aquifer formation was obtained from the forward model resistivity results of the VES. The conductivity of the water in the formation, measured using conductivity meter, was inverted to obtain the resistivity of the water within the aquifer unit. The values of the tortuosity and cementation factor are dependent on the lithological classification, the extent of consolidation, and the geological age of the formation (Keller, 1987). The aquifer system in the area is said to be that of the coastal plain sands and Tertiary alluvium deposits of Benin Formation, so “a” and “m” are chosen to be 0.88 and 1.37 respectively (Table 1). The aquifer system is also assumed to be fully saturated with water ($S = 1$). The petrophysical parameter was estimated for the aquifer unit, and maps presenting the distributions of all the aquifer parameters in the study area were equally generated.

3. Results and discussion

The area of study is divided into location A and location B with about 100 m spacing, as shown in the base map (Fig. 1). The interpretation of the subsurface layers at these two locations were based on the variations of the electrical resistivity values and the information from the local geology of the study area. VESs 1–7 were conducted in location A, and the interpretation of the sounding data revealed five to six geoelectrical layers (Figs. 3 and 4). The first layer is the topsoil, having a resistivity range of 243.5–664.7 $\Omega\text{m}$, with a thickness range of 1.4–2.1 m. The high resistivity values in the topsoil may be as a result of a high compaction rate due to reworking activities in the study site or could be due to the dryness of the topsoil that probably causes high evaporation rate. The second layer with an inverse model resistivity range of 415.3–1116.9 $\Omega\text{m}$ and the thickness range of 2.0–12.6 m is interpreted to be a sandy clay unit. The third and fourth delineated layers with resistivity range of 171.2–1855.0 $\Omega\text{m}$ and thickness up to 53.9 m are interpreted to be lateritic clay. The high resistivity values of the lateritic clay may be due to the high compaction rate within this layer. The fifth layer is the confining bed, which is also a clayey sand layer with a resistivity range of 259.7–5139.9 $\Omega\text{m}$ and thickness range 5.7–56.8 m. The sixth layer with a resistivity range of 151.1–530.3 $\Omega\text{m}$ is interpreted to be the main aquifer unit, that is, a coarse sand layer. The average depth to the top of the main aquifer within this part of the CanaanCity estate is averagely between 76.4 m.

Location B has VES 8–12, as presented in Fig. 1, and the interpretation of the sounding data revealed six to seven geoelectrical layers (Figs. 4 and 5). The first layer is the topsoil, with a model resistivity range of 243.5–664.7 $\Omega\text{m}$, with a thickness range of 1.4–2.1 m. The high resistivity values in the topsoil may be as a result of a high compaction rate due to reworking activities in the study site or could be due to the dryness of the topsoil that probably causes high evaporation rate. The second layer with an inverse model resistivity range of 415.3–1116.9 $\Omega\text{m}$ and the thickness range of 2.0–12.6 m is interpreted to be a sandy clay unit. The third and fourth delineated layers with resistivity range of 171.2–1855.0 $\Omega\text{m}$ and thickness up to 53.9 m are interpreted to be lateritic clay. The high resistivity values of the lateritic clay may be due to the high compaction rate within this layer. The fifth layer is the confining bed, which is also a clayey sand layer with a resistivity range of 259.7–5139.9 $\Omega\text{m}$ and thickness range 5.7–56.8 m. The sixth layer with a resistivity range of 151.1–530.3 $\Omega\text{m}$ is interpreted to be the main aquifer unit, that is, a coarse sand layer. The average depth to the top of the main aquifer within this part of the CanaanCity estate is averagely between 76.4 m.
Fig. 4. Representative inverse resistivity models of VES 5–8.
Fig. 5. Representative inverse resistivity models of VES 8–12.
model resistivity range of 296.3–783.5 Ωm and the thickness range of 1.0–14.6 m. The third and fourth delineated layers are interpreted to be lateritic clay with resistivity range 991.6–3180.4 Ωm and thickness up to 38.2 m. The fifth layer is the confining bed, which is also a clayey sand layer with a resistivity range of 331.9–1152.0 Ωm and thickness range 12.6–55.2 m. The sixth layer is interpreted to be the main aquifer unit, which is a coarse sand layer; the resistivity value range 115.1–288.5 Ωm. The seventh layer is interpreted to be a shale layer of Akinbo Formation which is a coarse sand layer; the resistivity value range 115.1–288.5 Ωm.

The summary of the geoelectrical layers from VES are shown in Table 2 and geoelectrical cross-sections of both Location A and B are presented in Figs. 6 and 7. The cross-sections revealed the irregular nature of the depth to the top of the aquifer in the area. The cross-sections also show that boreholes drill at different points in the area will encounter the main aquifer at different depths in the subsurface. A structural fault caused by a gentle displacement of subsurface layers is interpreted between VES 5 and VES 6. This fault occurrence within the subsurface in this part of the study area may result in the variation of permeability across the divide, thereby affecting the productivity of the aquifer unit. The depth to the top of the main aquifer is in the range of 40–112.4 m and the estimated porosity range from 28% to 74% revealing that the high porosity aquifer system in the area is that of the coastal plain sands mixed with alluvium sand units of Benin Formation constituting the main aquifer system in the area.

4. Conclusion

Cost-effective geophysical investigations are commonly recommended before borehole drilling for groundwater resources, which is quite expensive and could be less productive or unproductive when not properly cited. Geoelectrical soundings were conducted in the new Canaan-City residential estate of Canaan land Ota, Ogun state. The study was carried out to avoid drilling unproductive borehole and low yield borehole in the area. The results revealed subsurface stratigraphy with geoelectrical units, including the topsoil (clay), sandy clay, lateritic clay, confining bed (clay) and the main aquifer (sand). The shale unit belonging to the Akinbo Formation was delineated in some parts of the study area. Also, a structural fault is interpreted between VES 5 and VES 6. This fault occurrence within the subsurface in this part of the study area may result in the variation of permeability across the divide, thereby affecting the productivity of the aquifer unit. The depth to the top of the main aquifer is in the range of 40–112.4 m and the estimated porosity range from 28% to 74% revealing that the high porosity aquifer system in the area is that of the coastal plain sands mixed with the...
Fig. 6. Geoelectrical section through VES1-7.

Fig. 7. Geoelectrical section through VES 8–12.
Fig. 8. The Isoresistivity of the aquifer system at locations A and B.
Fig. 9. Map of the aquifer’s depths at locations A and B.
Fig. 10. Map of the aquifer porosity at locations A and B.
alluvium sand of the Benin Formation of Dahomey Basin. The delineated main aquifer architecture is generally complex with undulating topography, thus targeting this aquifer may be difficult at some points. Pumping test is recommended to be able to estimate other geo-hydraulic parameters and generate a 3D model of the aquifer system in the area.
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