TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION, PROSPERITY AND CORRUPTION OUTLOOK IN AFRICA: A MEDIATION ANALYSIS

AMONU, OGECHI CHIAGOZIE

(12AF013295)

FEBRUARY, 2021

TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION, PROSPERITY AND CORRUPTION OUTLOOK IN AFRICA: A MEDIATION ANALYSIS

By

AMONU, OGECHI CHIAGOZIE

(12AF013295)

B. Sc Economics, Covenant University, Ota

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF MASTER OF SCIENCE (M.Sc) DEGREE IN ECONOMICS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS AND DEVELOPMENT STUDIES, COLLEGE OF MANAGEMANT AND SOCIAL SCIENCES, COVENANT UNIVERSITY.

FEBRUARY, 2021

ACCEPTANCE

This is to attest that this dissertation is accepted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Master of Sciences in Economics in the Department of Economics and Development Studies, College of Management and Social Sciences, Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria.

Mr. John A. Philip (Secretary, School of Postgraduate Studies)

Signature & Date

Prof. Akan B. Williams

(Dean, School of Postgraduate Studies)

Signature & Date

DECLARATION

I, AMONU, OGECHI CHIAGOZIE (12AF013295) declares that this research was carried out by me under the supervision of Dr. Ese Urhie of the Department of Economics and Development Studies, College of Management and Social Sciences, Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria. I attest that the dissertation has not been presented either wholly or partially for the award of any degree elsewhere. All sources of data and scholarly information used in this dissertation are duly acknowledged.

AMONU, OGECHI CHIAGOZIE

Signature & Date

CERTIFICATION

We certify that this dissertation titled **"TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION, PROSPERITY AND CORRUPTION OUTLOOK IN AFRICA: A MEDIATION ANALYSIS"** is an original research work carried out by **AMONU, OGECHI CHIAGOZIE (12AF013295)** in the Department of Economics and Development Studies, College of Management and Social Sciences, Covenant University, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria under the supervision of Dr. Urhie Ese. We have examined and found this work acceptable as part of the requirements for the award of Master of Science in Economics.

Dr. Ese Urhie (Supervisor)

Prof. Evans S. Osabuohien (Head of Department)

Prof. P. B. Eregha (External Examiner)

Prof. Akan B. WilliamsSignature and Date(Dean, School of Postgraduate Studies)Signature and Date

Signature and Date

Signature and Date

Signature and Date

DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to Abba, the Creator of the ends of the earth, whose knowledge is past finding out.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am very grateful to Abba, my very present help in time of trouble. Omemma mere m mma.

To the Chancellor; Dr. David Oyedepo, thank you for creating this platform through the University. I acknowledge the former Vice-Chancellor, Prof. A. A. A. Atayero, the present Vice-Chancellor, Prof. Abiodun H. Adebayo, the Registrar, Dr. Oluwasegun P. Omidiora, the Dean School of Postgraduate Studies (SPS), Prof Akan B. Williams, the Dean, College of Management and Social Sciences, Prof. Uwalomwa Uwuigbe, the Sub-Dean SPS, Dr. Emmanuel O. Amoo, and the entire university management, for all the sacrifices you make towards the building of Kings and Queens in Hebron.

I thank the Head, Department of Economics, Prof. Evans S. Osabuohien and all lecturers in the Department of Economics and Development Studies, Covenant University. Thank you for pouring yourselves into me, and ensuring that I deliver nothing but the best in this dissertation. God has big plans for you all!

I humbly acknowledge my supervisor Dr. Ese Urhie, whose excellent supervision and mentorship has guided the successful completion of this research work. I am forever grateful to Prof. Oluranti Olurinola, Dr. Stephen Oluwatobi, and Dr. Okodua; lecturers turned fathers, who stood by me through it all. May you conquer more grounds.

I acknowledge the priceless investments of my parents; Pharm. Dave and Dcns. Ihuaku Amonu; you are incomparable!! You sacrificed so much to give me a first-class education. I appreciate you a lot. Also, not forgetting my sisters, Chinomso and Chioma. I say thank you for being Rockstar sisters, you have bestowed me with so much love and support. The world will hear of your names soon.

To my friends, Deinma, Baby Sewa, Mercy, Kemi, Favour, Uyo, Uncle Chidi, Ife, Camillus, the Hebron Startup Lab team, Uncle Femi, Aunty Nkeiru, Aunty Enobom, my course mates and everyone who in one way or the other contributed to the success of this work, I am grateful. May the good Lord shower you with His blessings now and evermore.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CONTENT | Page |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| COVER PAGE | |
| TITLE PAGE | |
| ACCEPTANCE | I |
| DECLARATION | II |
| CERTIFICATION | III |
| DEDICATION | IV |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | V |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | VI |
| LIST OF FIGURES | IX |
| LIST OF TIGORES | X |
| | |
| ABSTRACT | X |
| CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background To The Study | 1 |
| 1.2 Statement Of Research Problem | 9 |
| 1.3 Research Questions | 14 |
| 1.4 Research Objectives | 14 |
| 1.5 Scope of The Study | 15 |
| 1.6 Research Method | 15 |
| 1.6.1 Model Specification | 15 |
| 1.6.2 Research Hypotheses | 15 |
| 1.6.3 Estimation Technique | 16 |
| 1.6.4 Data and Sources | 16 |
| 1.7 SIGNIFICANCE OF STUDY | 17 |
| 1.8 STRUCTURE OF THE STUDY | 17 |
| CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW | 18 |
| 2.1 Conceptual Review | 18 |
| 2.1.1 Technological Innovation | 18 |
| 2.1.2 Prosperity | 20 |
| 2.1.3 Corruption | 21 |
| 2.1.4 Poverty and Corruption | 21 |

| 2.1.5 Innovation and Corruption | 26 |
|---|------------------------------|
| 2.1.6 Prosperity and Corruption | 32 |
| 2.2 Theoretical Review | 40 |
| 2.2.1 Theory of Corruption Control | 40 |
| 2.2.2 Diffusion of Innovation and Consumption Analysi | s 41 |
| 2.2.3 Schumpeter's Theory of Innovation | 43 |
| 2.2.4 Endogenous Growth Theory | 43 |
| 2.2.5 Resource-Based View | 45 |
| 2.2.6 Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) | 47 |
| 2.2.7 The Prosperity Paradox | 50 |
| 2.2.8 The Role of Institutions | 51 |
| 2.3 Empirical and Methodological Review | 52 |
| 2.4 Stylized Facts | 66 |
| 2.4.1 Corruption Perception Index (CPI) | 67 |
| 2.4.2 Prosperity Index | 68 |
| 2.4.3 Corruption and Prosperity in Africa | 68 |
| 2.4.4 Individuals' Access to Internet (% of Population) | 69 |
| 2.5 Summary of Gaps | 71 |
| CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY | ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED. |
| 3.1 Theoretical Framework | 72 |
| 3.2 Conceptual Framework | 74 |
| 3.3 Model Specification | 75 |
| 3.4 Measures of Variables And Sources | 76 |
| 3.4.1 Technological Innovation | 76 |
| 3.4.2 Prosperity Index | 77 |
| 3.4.3 Corruption Perceptions Index | 78 |
| 3.4.4 Mean Years of Schooling | 79 |
| 3.4.5 Unemployment | 79 |
| 3.5 Technique of Estimation | 79 |
| CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS | 81 |
| 4.1 Mediation Analysis For 53 African Economies (2018) | 81 |
| 4.2 Mediation Analysis For 53 African Economies (2015) | 85 |
| 4.3 Mediation Analysis For 53 African Economies (2012) | 89 |
| 4.4 Mediation Analysis For 50 Least Corrupt Economies (2 | 2018) 93 |
| 4.5 Descriptive Statistics, Ols Assumption Tests, and Resid | lual Diagnostics 97 |
| CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION | 107 |

| 5.2 Research Objective Two 5.2.1 Overview of The Discussions In Section 5.2 5.3 Research Objective Three 5.3.1 Overview of The Discussions In Section 5.3 5.4 Implications of The Results Of This Research on The African Economy CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 6.1 Summary 6.2 Conclusion 6.3 Contributions to knowledge 6.4 Recommendations | 5.1 Research Objective One | 107 |
|--|---|-----|
| 5.2.1 Overview of The Discussions In Section 5.2 5.3 Research Objective Three 5.3.1 Overview of The Discussions In Section 5.3 5.4 Implications of The Results Of This Research on The African Economy CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 6.1 Summary 6.2 Conclusion 6.3 Contributions to knowledge 6.4 Recommendations | 5.1.1 Overview of The Discussions In Section 5.1 | 108 |
| 5.3 Research Objective Three 5.3.1 Overview of The Discussions In Section 5.3 5.4 Implications of The Results Of This Research on The African Economy CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 6.1 Summary 6.2 Conclusion 6.3 Contributions to knowledge 6.4 Recommendations | 5.2 Research Objective Two | 109 |
| 5.3.1 Overview of The Discussions In Section 5.3 5.4 Implications of The Results Of This Research on The African Economy CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 6.1 Summary 6.2 Conclusion 6.3 Contributions to knowledge 6.4 Recommendations | 5.2.1 Overview of The Discussions In Section 5.2 | 110 |
| 5.4 Implications of The Results Of This Research on The African Economy CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 6.1 Summary 6.2 Conclusion 6.3 Contributions to knowledge 6.4 Recommendations | 5.3 Research Objective Three | 110 |
| CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 1 6.1 Summary 6.2 Conclusion 6.3 Contributions to knowledge 6.4 Recommendations | 5.3.1 Overview of The Discussions In Section 5.3 | 113 |
| 6.1 Summary 6.2 Conclusion 6.3 Contributions to knowledge 6.4 Recommendations | 5.4 Implications of The Results Of This Research on The African Economy | 113 |
| 6.2 Conclusion6.3 Contributions to knowledge6.4 Recommendations | CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 116 |
| 6.3 Contributions to knowledge 6.4 Recommendations | 6.1 Summary | 116 |
| 6.4 Recommendations | 6.2 Conclusion | 117 |
| | 6.3 Contributions to knowledge | 118 |
| REFERENCES 1 | 6.4 Recommendations | 119 |
| | REFERENCES | 122 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figures | Title of Figures | Page |
|---------|--|------|
| 2.1 | CPI in Africa (2012-2018) | 68 |
| 2.2 | PRI in Africa (2012-2018) | 68 |
| 2.3 | CPI and PRI in Africa (2018) | 69 |
| 2.4 | Individuals' Access to Internet (% of population) (2012-2018) | 70 |
| 3.1 | Conceptual Model | 74 |
| 5.1 | Heteroscedasticity test for Model One (2018) | 103 |
| 5.2 | Heteroscedasticity test for Model Two (2015) | 103 |
| 5.3 | Heteroscedasticity test for Model Three (2012) | 104 |
| 5.4 | Heteroscedasticity test for Model Four (Least Corrupt Countries) | 104 |
| 5.5 | Normality test for Model One (2018) | 105 |
| 5.6 | Normality test for Model Two (2015) | 105 |
| 5.7 | Normality test for Model Three (2012) | 106 |
| 5.8 | Normality test for Model Four (Least corrupt Nations) | 106 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Tables | Title of Tables | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 2.1: | World Internet Usage and Population Statistics | 70 |
| 4.1: | Results of regression path analysis- Prosperity | 81 |
| 4.2: | Results of regression path analysis – Corruption | 82 |
| 4.3: | Total Effect of X on Y | 84 |
| 4.4: | Direct Effect of X on Y | 84 |
| 4.5: | Indirect Effect of X on Y | 84 |
| 4.6: | Results of regression path analysis-Prosperity | 85 |
| 4.7: | Results of regression path analysis- Corruption | 86 |
| 4.8: | Total Effect of X on Y | 88 |
| 4.9: | Direct Effect of X on Y | 88 |
| 4.10: | Indirect Effect of X on Y | 88 |
| 4.11: | Results of regression path analysis- Prosperity | 89 |
| 4.12: | Results of regression path analysis- Corruption | 90 |
| 4.13: | Total Effect of X on Y | 92 |
| 4.14: | Direct Effect of X on Y | 92 |
| 4.15: | Indirect Effect of X on Y | 93 |
| 4.16: | Results of regression path analysis-Prosperity | 93 |
| 4.17: | Results of regression path analysis- corruption | 94 |
| 4.18: | Total Effect of X on Y | 96 |
| 4.19: | Direct Effect of X on Y | 96 |
| 4.20: | Indirect Effect of X on Y | 96 |
| 4.21: | Descriptive Statistics for Model One (2018) | 97 |
| 4.22: | Descriptive Statistics for Model Two (2015) | 98 |
| 4.23: | Descriptive Statistics for Model Three (2012) | 99 |
| 4.24: | Descriptive Statistics for Least Corrupt Nations | 100 |
| 4.25 | Serial Correlation Test | 101 |
| 4.26 | Multicollinearity test | 102 |

ABSTRACT

As the lowest scoring region on the 2019 Corruption Perceptions Index with an average score of 32, Africa's performance gives a bleak impression of inaction against corruption. Though it is the second most populous continent on earth, Africa has the lowest internet penetration rate globally. Over the past decade, global prosperity has increased significantly but in Africa, some nations became poorer, while the bulk barely maintained the same level of average prosperity. This research empirically examines the role of technological innovation (internet access) in curbing corruption through prosperity, citing all 54 African countries as a case study. The mediating role of prosperity in estimating the effect of innovation on corruption was carried out by employing the Corruption Perceptions Index from Transparency International, Prosperity Index by Legatum Institute, and Individuals' access to Internet by WDI in the presence of some covariates. The coefficients of the direct, indirect and total effects showed that internet access can only be significant in reducing corruption if it first leads to socio-economic prosperity for individuals, households and African nations as a whole. Hence, this study recommends that to create more prosperity, internet access be made more accessible, and affordable in Africa. However, to reduce corruption, internet access must be engaged in activities that create national prosperity for all-jobs, profits, infrastructural development.

Keywords: Corruption, Prosperity, Technological Innovation, Internet Access, Mediation Analysis, Hayes.