TRANSFORMER FAILURE PREDICTION IN DISTRIBUTION POWER SYSTEM NETWORK USING ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK

NGEREM, ELVIS ONYEDIKACHI

(18PCK02056)

SEPTEMBER, 2021

TRANSFORMER FAILURE PREDICTION IN DISTRIBUTION POWER SYSTEM NETWORK USING ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK

BY

NGEREM, ELVIS ONYEDIKACHI

(18PCK02056)

B. Eng Electrical and Electronics Engineering,

Bells University of Technology, Ota. Ogun State.

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF MASTERS OF ENGINEERING DEGREE (M.ENG) IN ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, COVENANT UNIVERSITY.

SEPTEMBER, 2021

ACCEPTANCE

This is to attest that this dissertation is accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of Masters of Engineering degree (M.Eng) in Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Department of Electrical and Information Engineering, College of Engineering, Covenant University Ota, Nigeria.

Mr. John A. Philip

(Secretary, School of Postgraduate Studies)

Signature and Date

Prof. Akan B. Williams

(Dean, School of Postgraduate Studies)

•••••

Signature and Date

DECLARATION

I, NGEREM, ELVIS ONYEDIKACHI (18PCK02056), declares that this research was carried out by me under the supervision of Prof. Anthony U. Adoghe of the Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, College of Engineering, Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria. I attest that the dissertation has not been presented either wholly or partially for the award of any degree elsewhere. All sources of data and scholarly information used in this dissertation are duly acknowledged.

NGEREM, ELVIS ONYEDIKACHI

•••••

Signature and Date

CERTIFICATION

We certify that this dissertation titled "TRANSFORMER FAILURE PREDICTION IN DISTRIBUTION POWER SYSTEM NETWORK USING ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK" is an original research work carried out by NGEREM, ELVIS ONYEDIKACHI (18PCK02056) in the Department of Electrical and Information Engineering, College of Engineering, Covenant University, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria, under the supervision of Prof. Anthony U. Adoghe. We have examined and found this work acceptable as part of the requirements for the award of Master of Electrical and Electronics Engineering.

Prof. Anthony U. Adoghe

(Supervisor)

Prof. Emmanuel Adetiba

(Head of Department)

Prof. Ogbonnaya I. O. Okoro

(External Examiner)

Prof. Akan B. Williams

(Dean, School of Postgraduate Studies)

v

.....

Signature and Date

Signature and Date

Signature and Date

Signature and Date

DEDICATION

This research is dedicated first and foremost to God Almighty, the source of all wisdom, knowledge, and understanding, for His grace and favor over the course of this research. Then to my family for their endless love and support.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, praise and appreciation to God, the Almighty, for raining His blessings on me throughout my research, allowing me to complete the project successfully. Prof. Anthony U. Adoghe, my supervisor, deserves my gratitude for his helpful assistance, steadfast encouragement, and perseverance during my Master's research work. His extensive knowledge and breadth of experience have assisted me in both my academic career and daily life. I would also like to express my gratitude to Prof. Emmanuel Adetiba for his professional advice on my research and for granting me permission to use his lab for the research. I want to thank all the members of the Electrical and Information Engineering department for their work. My study and life at Covenant University was very pleasant because of their kind help and support. Finally, I'd like to thank my parents, Mr. and Mrs. Charles Ngerem, and my sister, Chidinma Ngerem, for their moral and financial support. It would not have been possible without their immense support and understanding over the last few years.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Content	Page
COVER PAGE	i
TITLE PAGE	ii
ACCEPTANCE	iii
DECLARATION	iv
CERTIFICATION	v
DEDICATION	vi
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XV
ABSTRACT	xvii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.1.1 Distribution transformers in the distribution network	3
1.1.2 Failures associated with distribution transformers	3
1.1.3 Dissolved Gas Analysis	5
1.1.4 Problems associated with transformer failure diagnosis	7
1.1.5 Artificial Neural Network	7
1.2 Problem Statement	9
1.3 Aim and Objectives	10
1.4 Justification of the Research	10
1.5 Scope of the Study	10
1.6 Limitation of the Research	11
1.7 Organization of Dissertation	11
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	13
2.1 Introduction	
2.2 Operation of Transformers	14
2.3 Components of a Transformer	16
2.3.1 Core	17

2.3.2	Windings	17
2.3.3	Tank	17
2.3.4	Radiators and fans	18
2.3.5	Terminals and bushings	18
2.3.6	Insulating Materials	18
2.3.7	Transformer oil	19
2.3.8	Oil Conservator	19
2.3.9	Breather	19
2.3.10	Tap Changers	20
2.3.11	Cooling tubes	20
2.3.12	Buchholz Relay	20
2.3.13	Explosive vent	21
2.4 Cla	assification of Transformers	21
2.4.1	Classification based on the power supply	21
2.4.2	Classification based on construction	22
2.4.3	Classification based on winding	25
2.4.4	Classification based on service	26
2.4.5	Classification based on measurement	27
2.4.6	Classification based on the voltage level	27
2.5 Fa	ults in Distribution Transformers	27
2.5.1	External faults	28
2.5.2	Internal faults	28
2.6 Me	ethods of Transformer Fault Test	30
2.6.1.1	Test on paper insulation	30
2.6.2	Test on oil insulation	32
2.6.3	Interpretation methods in Dissolved Gas Analysis	36
2.7 Ma	achine Learning Approach	42
2.7.1	Literature Review	43
2.8 Ch	apter Summary	52
CHAPTER	CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Int	roduction	54
3.2 Da	ta Gathering	54

3.3 D	ata Cleaning	55
3.3.1	The Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique	56
3.4 D	ata Preprocessing	57
3.4.1	Logarithmic Transformation	57
3.4.2	Feature Scaling	59
3.5 T	raining of Different Machine Learning Algorithms	60
3.5.1	K-nearest neighbors classifier	62
3.5.2	Linear Support Vector Classifier	62
3.5.3	Logistic Regression	63
3.5.4	Multilayer perceptron	63
3.6 M	odel Evaluation Metrics	66
3.6.1	Confusion Matrix	67
3.6.2	Accuracy (Error Rate)	68
3.6.3	Precision and Recall	69
3.6.4	Root Mean Squared Error	70
3.6.5	Area Under the Receiver Operator Curve	70
3.7 D	eployment of the Trained Model into Web Application	71
3.8 Sy	ystem Requirements	72
3.8.1	Hardware Requirements	72
3.8.2	Software Requirements	72
3.9 C	hapter Summary	72
CHAPTE	R FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	74
4.1 In	troduction	74
4.2 D	ata Preprocessing	74
4.2.1	Data visualization	74
4.2.2	Log Standardization	75
4.2.3	Feature Scaling	77
4.3 M	lodel Training	78
4.4 M	lodel Evaluation	78
4.4.1	Root Mean Squared Error	78
4.4.2	Precision	79
4.4.3	Model evaluation results	79

4.4	.4 Accuracy	86
4.5	Testing And Results	86
4.5	.1 Web Application	89
4.6	Discussion	94
4.6 Net	5.1 Prediction of Distribution Transformer Failures Using Artificial Neural twork	94
4.6	.2 Comparison Between Conventional Fault Diagnosis and the Use of Dissolve	ed
Ga	s Analysis	95
4.6	1.3 Implications of Artificial Intelligence in Transformer Failure Prediction	95
4.7	Chapter Summary	96
CHAP	FER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMEDATION	97
5.1	Summary	97
5.2	Conclusion	97
5.3	Contributions to Knowledge	97
5.4	Recommendations	98
REFER	RENCES	99
APPEN	NDIX	107

LIST OF FIGURES

Figures	Title of Figures	Page
1.1	Causes of transformer faults and gases generated in the oil	6
1.2	A neural network structure highlighting the input, hidden, and output layers.	8
1.3	A detailed representation of a neuron	8
2.1	Diagram of a transformer showing the core, secondary and primary windings	14
2.2	A transformer on NO load showing the induced voltage	15
2.3	A Cross-section of a distribution transformer.	16
2.4	Core-type Laminations	23
2.5	Core-type transformer winding for single-phase and three-phase	23
2.6	Shell-type Laminations	24
2.7	Shell-type transformer windings for single-phase and three-phase	24
2.8	Auto-transformer winding	26
2.9	Temperature generation of gas (Not to scale)	36
2.10	The Duval Triangle and a list of Dissolved Gas Analysis detectable faults	39
2.11	Mansour's pentagon	40
3.1	The block diagram of the successive methods employed in this study.	54
3.2	Generation of synthetic samples by SMOTE	57
3.3	Flow diagram of the feature scaling process.	58
3.4	Process of training and testing the machine learning models.	61
3.5	Image of a Multilayer perceptron showing the input, hidden, and output layers.	64
3.6	The Rectified linear unit activation function.	65
3.7	The Logsig activation function.	66
3.8	The flow diagram of the model evaluation process.	67
3.9	A confusion matrix layout for binary classification.	68
4.1	Original data shown on a scattered plot.	75
4.2	The logarithm transformed or log standardized data.	76
4.3	Chart showing the data sample distribution.	77
4.4	ROC curve of Multilayer perceptron (ReLU).	80
4.5	Confusion matrix of Multilayer perceptron (ReLU).	80
4.6	ROC curve of Linear support vector classifier.	81
4.7	Confusion matrix of Linear support vector classifier.	81
4.8	ROC curve of Logistic regression.	82

4.9	Confusion matrix of Logistic regression.	82
4.10	ROC curve of the K-nearest neighbor algorithm.	83
4.11	Confusion matrix of K-nearest neighbor algorithm.	83
4.12	ROC curve of the Multilayer perceptron (logsig).	84
4.13	Confusion matrix of Multilayer perceptron (logsig).	85
4.14	Confusion matrix of Multilayer Perceptron (ReLU) model.	87
4.15	The flowchart of the operation of the web application.	89
4.16	The home page of the web application showing the input sections for the gas concentrations	90
4.17	The web application output view when no fault is detected.	91
4.18	Web display for Partial discharge detection.	91
4.19	The web application output view when a low intensity discharge fault is detected.	92
4.20	Web display for high intensity discharge fault.	92
4.21	Web display for Thermal fault (t<300°)	93
4.22	Web display for thermal fault (300° <t<700°)< td=""><td>93</td></t<700°)<>	93
4.23	Web display for thermal fault (700° <t)< td=""><td>94</td></t)<>	94

LIST OF TABLES

Tables	Title of Tables	Page
2.1	A brief comparison between Single-phase Transformer and Three-phase Transformers.	22
2.2	A brief comparison between the core and shell-type transformer.	25
2.3	Reference Standards for Furan Derivative Test	32
2.4	Ratio notations	37
2.5	IEC Gas Ratios	38
2.6	Comparison of methods of identifying faults	40
2.7	Summary of reviewed works from the literature.	48
4.1	Table of sample classes.	76
4.2	The results got from the model evaluation of the Multilayer perceptron (ReLU).	79
4.3	The results got from model evaluation of Linear support vector classifier.	81
4.4	The results obtained from the model evaluation of Logistic regression.	82
4.5	The results obtained from the model evaluation of the K-nearest neighbor algorithm.	83
4.6	The results obtained from the model evaluation of the Multilayer perceptron (logsig).	84
4.7	Comparison of the ML models for RMSE and Precision.	85
4.8	Comparison of the ML models for Accuracy.	86
4.9	Evaluation matrices for Multilayer Perceptron (ReLU) model.	87
4.10	Result Validation	86

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- Σ Summation
- $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ Activation Function
- μ Feature average
- σ Standard deviation
- AI Artificial Intelligence
- ANN Artificial Neural Network
- ML Machine Learning
- KNN K-Nearest Neighbors
- DGA Dissolved Gas Analysis
- $H_2\!-\!Hydrogen$
- CH₄ Methane
- $C_2H_2-Acetylene$
- C₂H₄ Ethylene
- C₂H₆ Ethane
- CO Carbon monoxide
- N₂ Nitrogen
- O₂ Oxygen
- CO2 Carbon dioxide
- OLTC On Load Tap Changer
- PD Partial Discharge
- HPLC High-Performance Liquid Chromatography
- TCN Transmission Company of Nigeria
- AC Alternating Current

MLP - Multilayer Perceptron

- SMOTE Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique
- SVC- Support Vector Classifier
- ReLU Rectified Linear Unit
- TP True Positive
- TN True Negative
- FP False Positive
- FN False Negative
- AUC Area Under the Curve
- ROC Receiver Operator Characteristic
- TNR True Negative Rate
- TPR True Positive Rate
- FPR False Positive Rate
- RMSE Root Mean Square Error
- IDE Integrated Development Environments
- MATLAB Matrix Laboratory

ABSTRACT

Distribution Transformers (DTs) are critical components of the power distribution network, and their reliability is heavily reliant on them, necessitating the need for a thorough and precise maintenance process focused on the prediction of impending transformer faults. Distribution transformers are subjected to both internal and external faults as a result of the electrical, mechanical and thermal stresses they are subjected to during their operation, resulting in irregularities in some of their cooling and insulating materials, such as oil and cellulose insulation degradation. These lead to overheating, partial discharge, or corona, causing a degradation of the oil insulation and the introduction of dissolved gasses such as hydrogens (H₂), carbon monoxide (CO₂), carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), acetylene (C₂H₂), ethane (C₂H₆), and ethylene (C₂H₄). In this project, an Artificial Neural Network (ANN) model in python programming for the diagnosis of incipient faults of distribution transformers, using the DGA (Dissolved Gas Analysis) concentrations, was developed. Also, four machine learning models are developed for fault prediction from dissolved gas analysis data in distribution transformers using interpretation results from Roger's ratio, IEC basic ratio, and Dornenburg Ratio on the basis of the IEEE C57.104 standard. The models developed are K-nearest neighbors, linear support vector classifier, logistic regression, and multilayer perceptron. These models were trained, tested, and evaluated to determine the best performing model. The identified best-performing model was implemented on a web based interacting application interface. A transformer fault prediction algorithm trained with back-propagation resulted in an improved accuracy of 97.93%. This will aid maintenance engineers for a faster and accurate diagnostic decision on faulty distribution transformers as it plays a significant role in power supply to large energy customers.

Keywords: Artificial Neural Network, Dissolved Gas Analysis, Distribution transformers, transformer failure, fault prediction.