# **PAPER • OPEN ACCESS**

# Evaluation of background radiation of Maryland School complex, Lagos, Nigeria

To cite this article: R.O. Morakinyo et al 2022 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 993 012015

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

# You may also like

- Effective dose in SMAW and FCAW welding processes using rutile consumables M Herranz, S Rozas, R Idoeta et al.
- <u>Calculation of Concentration of Radon</u> <u>Gas in Specimen of Rivers Water samples</u> <u>in Diwaniya-Iraq'By Using CR-39 Track</u> <u>Detector</u> Abbas A. Sweaf
- Extremity and eye lens dosimetry for medical staff performing vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty procedures L Struelens, W Schoonjans, F Schils et al.



This content was downloaded from IP address 165.73.192.253 on 19/04/2022 at 13:29

# Evaluation of background radiation of Maryland School complex, Lagos, Nigeria

# **R.O.** Morakinyo<sup>1</sup>, M.R. Usikalu<sup>1</sup> and M.M. Orosun<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria <sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Kwara State

R.O. Morakinyo's Email: ruthomoseeke@gmail.com; ORCID: 0000-0001-9054-4340 M.R. Usikalu's Email: moji.usikalu@covenantuniversity.edu.ng; ORCID: 0000-0003-2233-4055 M.M. Orosun's Email: orosun.mm@unilorin.edu.ng ORCID: 0000-0002-0236-3345

Corresponding Email: moji.usikalu@covenantuniversity.edu.ng

Abstract. The background radiation of Maryland School Complex was taken using RS-125 hand-held gamma spectrometer. The average concentration of the measured radionuclides were 19.16, 46.14, 165.00 Bq/kg for <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Thand <sup>40</sup>K, respectively. The average value of measured dose rate and the estimated dose rate were 44.93 and 43.55Bq/kg. The mean values of the estimated radiological parameter were 53.45, 213.78µSv/y for indoor and outdoor annual effective dose. The excess lifetime cancer risk averaged 0.17, the hazard indices were 0.26 and 0.32 for external and internal respectively. The annual gonadal dose and radium equivalent were 303.90 µSv/y and 97.85 Bq/kg, while the gamma representative index was 0.69. The mean concentration of radionuclides measured and the estimated radiological parameter were within the recommended values. This therefore follows that the risk of exposure to ionizing radiation for both the staff and the students of the community is within acceptable limits.

Keywords: Radionuclides, Dose rate, Hazard indices, Excess lifetime cancer risk, Maryland school complex

# 1. Introduction

One of the scientific subjects that drawn the attention of the public is human exposure to ionizing radiation. It has been observed that exposure of human population arises mostly from radiation of natural origin [1-6]. Radiation is a form of wave energy that spreads out from a source. While the nonionizing radiation does not have enough energy to produce ions, the ionizing radiation has enough energy to penetrate matter and produce ionswhich can result in various radiation effects in living cells such as induction of malignancies, genetic effects, Leukemia and mental retardation in children among others [5, 7 - 11]. Exposure to ionizing radiation can occur through the natural and man-made radioactive sources. Natural source includes cosmic ray from the atmosphere, terrestrial radiation from plants, soils and rocks and by consumption of water and food andinhalation of air. Man-made sources of exposure can occur through the application of medicine such as the use of X-ray for diagnosis and treatment of diseases, the use of nuclear gauge, smoke detector in industry are potential means of exposure [8 - 11]. However, exposure to ionizing radiation comesthrough naturalradionuclides materials which account for approximately 85 % of human exposure [1 - 3]. Onumejor et al [4] monitored the background radiation of ten schools in Ota, Nigeria, and the result showed that the mean dose rates were within the permissible limit except for a particular location that required further evaluation. Environmental monitoring of the radioelement is therefore of utmost importance to avoid public over exposure to ionizing radiation. Thus, this paper is aimed at measuring the concentrations of the three primordial radionuclides in the study

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

5th International Conference on Science and Sustainable Development (ICSSD 2021)IOP PublishingIOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 993 (2022) 012015doi:10.1088/1755-1315/993/1/012015

areas to assess the radiation dose exposure from the environmental sources and the health risk such exposure poses to the public.

# 2. Material and Method

The concentration of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>238</sup>U and the gamma dose rates were measured in-situ using hand-held RS-125 gamma-ray spectrometer and geographical positioning system to mark the coordinates of the sampling location. The RS-125super-spec gamma-ray spectrometer is an instrument that is very reliable and efficient in determining the background radiation of a physical environment because of its adaptability in the geophysical field and ease of operation. The total count gamma ray is determined by the amount of radiation at the source, the scope of the detector, and the efficiency of the background energy of the equipment, its detection limit spanned between 0.8 MeV and 1.2 MeV and its very efficient in measuring radiation of terrestrial origin. The measurement of potassium is direct and is recorded in % while that of uranium and thorium are recorded in ppm [1-3]. The measured concentrations were changed to Bequerel per kilograms using the factors reported by [9, 12].

# **Sampled Location and Procedure**

Thirty-five sampled points were strategically mapped out in all the seven government schools in Maryland school complex, Maryland-Ikeja, Lagos state, Nigeria. The schools consist of two senior secondary schools (MES, IMS), two junior secondary schools(MEJ, IMJ) and three primary schools(SAP, IMP, SFP) with five sampled points in each of the school. In each of the sampled point, gamma spectrometer was held at 1metre above the ground by putting the instrument at a marked point measured with a metre rule to measure the activity concentration of each of the radioelement. Five reading of the dose rate was taken at each sampled point with the mean reported, after which the assay mode reading was also taken at average time of 90 seconds for each of the five reading and the average value reported. The gamma spectrometer was switched off after taken reading in each sampling point before proceeding to the next sampled point, for the auto-stabilization of the equipment [3]. The dose rate mean value and assay mode reading were determined to reduce experimental error [12].

# **Radiological impact parameters**

The first major step that needs to be taken in evaluating the health risk is to estimate the absorbed dose rate. This is the quantity that related directly to the biological effects, the clinical and radiological effects together[13].

# **Absorbed Dose**

Calculating the absorbed dose rate (nGy/hr) is essential in assessing the radiological hazard. It was obtained using equation 1[14 - 19].

$$D(nGy/hr) = DCF_{K}C_{K} + DCF_{U}C_{U} + DCF_{Th}C_{Th}$$
(1)

 $DCF_{K}C_{K} + DCF_{U}C_{U} + DCF_{Th}C_{Th}are$  dose factors and radionuclides concentration<sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U, and <sup>232</sup>Th in the samples respectively[12, 15-17].

# Annual Effective Dose for External Exposures (AED)

The annual effective dose received by the public was obtained with equation 2 [14 - 19].

 $AED_{outdoor \ external \ exposure} \ (\mu Svy^{-1}) = D \times 8760 \times 0.7 \times 0.2 \times 10^{-3}$ <sup>(2)</sup>

# Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk (ELCR)

The excess lifetime cancer risk (ELCR) was calculated using equation 3 [14]

 $ELCR{=}AED \times ADL{\times}RF$ 

(3)

AED is the Annual Equivalent Dose, ADL is the average duration of life (taken as 70 years) and RF is cancerrisk factor. Considering the mean lifespan of human to be 70 years, the estimated ELCR values are based on the probability of humans to develop cancer over a lifetime [12, 19 -22].

# **Radium Equivalent Activity Index (Ra<sub>eq</sub>)**

The radium equivalent ( $Ra_{eq}$ ) activity is the weighted plus of the concentrations of <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K. It was calculated using the equation 4.

$$Ra_{ea} = C_U + 1.43C_{Th} + 0.077C_K \tag{4}$$

C<sub>U</sub>, C<sub>Th</sub>, C<sub>K</sub>have their usual meaning [15-19].

# **Radiation Hazard Indices**

Equations 5 and 6 were used to quantify the external  $(H_{ext})$  and internal radiation hazard  $(H_{int})$ 

$$H_{ext} = \left(\frac{C_U}{370}\right) + \left(\frac{C_{Th}}{259}\right) + \left(\frac{C_K}{4810}\right)$$
(5)

$$H_{int} = \left(\frac{C_U}{185}\right) + \left(\frac{C_{Th}}{259}\right) + \left(\frac{C_K}{4810}\right) \tag{6}$$

#### **Annual Gonadal Equivalent Dose (AGED)**

The AGED for the residents was estimated with equation 7

$$AGED = 3.09C_{\rm U} + 4.18C_{\rm Th} + 0.314C_{\rm K}$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

 $C_U$ ,  $C_{Th}$ , and  $C_K$  have their meaning [12 - 19].

# **Representative gamma- Index (Iy)**

This is used to obtain the hazard related with the natural radionuclide in specific samples investigated. The representative V- index was calculated with equation 7.

$$I_{\gamma} = \frac{C_u}{150} + \frac{C_{Th}}{100} + \frac{C_k}{1500} \le 1$$
(8)

C<sub>U</sub>, C<sub>Th</sub>, and C<sub>K</sub>have their usual meaning [13 - 21].

#### 3. Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the mean background radiation of the measured radionuclides and the evaluated radiological parameter. Figure 1 shows the mean value of the radiological parameters estimated, compared with the average world values. From Table 1 the mean dose rate (DR) measured with the instrument ranges from 39.67 to 48.39 Bq/kg, the calculated dose rate (DE) ranges from 38.73 to 46.99 Bq/kg, the two values are within the same range showing the accuracy of the instrument and the measurements [15]. The activity of <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K ranges from 15.93 to25.94Bq/kg, 39.66 to 51.28Bq/kg and 137.72 to 187.80Bq/kg respectively. The <sup>232</sup>Th measured in the School Complex are above the recommended average except for IMP and SFP. The mean annual effective dose for indoor (E<sub>in</sub>) and the annual effective dose for outdoor (E<sub>out</sub>) range from 190 to 230.65 $\mu$ Sv/y and 47.53 to 57 $\mu$ Sv/y respectively. The excess lifetime cancer risk ranges from 0.15 to 0.19  $\mu$ Sv/y hazard indices (H<sub>EX</sub>, H<sub>IN</sub>) which are the hazard due to external and internal exposure ranges from 0.23 to 0.28. The annual gonadal dose equivalent ranges from 293.30 to 328.20 $\mu$ Sv/y, the Radium equivalent activity estimated ranges

from 94.88 to 105.40Bq/kg and the gamma index ranges from 0.62 to 0.75. The activity of the radionuclides measured were all within the permissible limit and do not poses radiological threat to both the staff and the students of the invetigated schools. The radiological parameters evaluated were all below the world average[23], implying no serious concern on the exposure to radiation in the study area.

	DR	<sup>238</sup> U	<sup>232</sup> Th	<sup>40</sup> K	DE	Eout	Ein	ELCR	H <sub>EX</sub>	H <sub>IN</sub>	AGDE	Ra <sub>eq</sub>	Ιγ
Sampled Schools	(nGy/h)	(Bq/kg)	(Bq/kg)	(Bq/kg)	(nGy/h)	(mSv/y)	(mSv/y)	(10 <sup>-6</sup> )			(µSv/y)	(Bq/kg)	
MES	47.19	20.75	49.09	187.80	46.99	57.67	230.65	0.19	0.28	0.34	328.20	105.40	0.75
IMS	44.87	14.70	45.43	184.67	42.06	51.62	206.49	0.17	0.25	0.29	293.30	93.88	0.67
IMJ	47.65	15.93	51.28	162.76	45.31	55.61	222.43	0.18	0.28	0.32	314.67	101.79	0.73
MEJ	48.39	25.94	45.19	147.11	45.03	55.26	221.06	0.18	0.27	0.32	293.44	94.88	0.67
SAP	44.45	17.91	49.49	156.50	44.76	54.93	219.73	0.18	0.27	0.32	311.35	100.73	0.72
IMP	39.67	15.93	39.66	178.41	38.73	47.53	190.10	0.15	0.23	0.28	271.05	86.39	0.62
SFP	42.30	22.97	42.87	137.72	41.96	51.50	206.02	0.17	0.26	0.32	293.44	94.88	0.67
WL		33.0	45.0	412.0	57.0	1000.0	1000.0	0.2	1.0	1.0	1000	370	1.0

**Table 1:** Mean activity concentrations and calculated radiological parameters



Figure 1: The mean radiological parameters for the study area

#### 4. Conclusion

The measurements of radioactivity concentrations of radionuclides(<sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th) over Maryland School Complex were conducted using RS-125 hand-held gamma spectrometer with geographical positioning system. The mean activity concentration of the primordial radionuclides measured were (14.70, 45.43, 184.67), (15.93, 51.28, 162.76), (25.94, 45.19, 147.11),(17.91, 49.49, 156.50), (15.93,

5th International Conference on Science and Sustainable Development (ICSSD 2021)IOP PublishingIOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 993 (2022) 012015doi:10.1088/1755-1315/993/1/012015

39.66, 178.41), (22.97, 42.87, 137.72) Bq/kg for MES, IMS, IMJ, MEJ, SAP, IMP, SFP respectively. The activity concentration of <sup>232</sup>Th were above the recommended value in all the schools except for IMP and SFP. Further monitoring of the schools will be needed to avoid exposure of students and staff to carcinogenesis diseases because of exposure to thorium above the threshold limit. The estimated radiological quantites were within world average values. This follows that the risk of exposure to ionizing radiation for both the staff and the students of the community is within acceptable limits.

# Acknowledgements

The support of the Covenant University Center for Research Innovation and Development is acknowledged.

# References

[1] Orosun M.M., Usikalu M.R.,Oyewumi K.J. and Adagunodo T.A. (2019). Natural Radionuclides and Radiological Risk Assessment of Granite Mining Field in Asa, North-central Nigeria. MethodsX, 6: 2504-2514. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2019.10.032

[2] Usikalu M. R, Onumejor C. A, Akinpelu A and Ayara W. A. (2018). Improvement on Indoor Radon Accumulation Rate in Cst Laboratories at Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology, 9(10): 135–148.

[3] Usikalu M.R, Onumejor C.A., Akinpelu A., Achuka J.A., Omeje M. and Oladapo O.F. (2018). Natural radioactivity concentration and its health implication on dwellers in selected locations of Ota. Earth and Environmental Science, 173. doi :10.1088/1755-1315/173/1/012037

[4] Onumejor, C. A., Akinpelu, A., Arijaje, T. E., Usikalu, M. R., Oladapo, O. F., Emetere, M. E., ... and Achuka, J. A. (2019). Monitoring of Background Radiation in Selected Schools in Ota, Ogun State Nigeria by Direct Measurement of Terrestrial Radiation Dose Rate. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 331, No. 1, p. 012038). IOP Publishing.

[5] Oluyide S. O., Tchokossa P., Orosun M.M., Akinyose F.C., Louis H., and Ige S. O. (2019). Natural Radioactivity and Radiological Impact Assessment of Soil, Food and Water around Iron and Steel Smelting Area in Fashina Village, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria. Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management 23 (1): 135–143.

[6] Orosun, M. M., Oniku, A. S., Adie, P., Orosun, O. R., Salawu, N. B. and Louis, H. (2020). Magnetic susceptibility measurement and heavy metal pollution at an automobile station in Ilorin, North-Central Nigeria. Environ. Res. Commun. 2: 015001. https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7620/ab636a

[7] IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency), 1991. Nuclear Analysis Software Part 2. Gamma Spectrum Analysis, Activity Calculations and Neutron Activation Analysis (GANAAS), Computer manual series No.3 (Vienna)

[8] Ramasamy V., Suresh G., Meenakshisundaram V. and Ponnusamy V. (2011). Horizontal and Vertical Characterization of Radionuclides and Minerals in River Sediments. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 69:184–195.

[9] United Nations Scientific Committee on the effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR, 2000). Exposure from natural radiation sources. Report to general assembly, Annex B exposure from natural radiation sources. United Nations, New York.

[10] Adagunodo T. A., George A. I., Ojoawo I. A., Ojesanmi K. and Ravisankar R. (2018). Radioactivity and radiological hazards from a kaolin mining field in Ifonyintedo, Nigeria. MethodsX, 5: 362–374. doi:10.1016/j.mex.2018.04.009

[11] Avwiri G.O., Ononugbo C.P. and Nwokeoji I.E. (2014). Radiation Hazard Indices andExcess Lifetime Cancer Risk in Soil, Sediment and Water around Mini- Okoro/Oginigba Creek, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. Compr J. of Environ Earth Sc 3(1): 38-50.

[12]. Usikalu, M. R., Enemuwe, C. A., Morakinyo, R. O., Orosun, M. M., Adagunodo, T. A., and Achuka, J. A. (2020). Background Radiation from 238U, 232Th, and 40K in Bells Area and Canaan City, Ota, Nigeria. *Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 8(E), 678-684.

[13]. Orosun M. M., Oyewumi K. J., Usikalu M. R., Onumejor C. A. (2020). Dataset on radioactivity measurement of Beryllium mining field in Ifelodun and Gold mining field in Moro, Kwara State, North-central Nigeria. Data in Brief. 31: 105888. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2020.105888

[14]. Orosun M. M., Usikalu M. R., Oyewumi K. J., Achuka J. A. (2020). Radioactivity levels and transfer factor for granite mining field in Asa, North-central Nigeria. Heliyon. 6(6): e04240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04240

[15] Orosun M.M., Enemuwe C. A., Usikalu M.R., Salawu N.B., Abdulraheem I.A., Udouso V.B., Adagunodo T.A., Babarimisa I.O., Akinpelu A., Achuka, J.A. (2021). Natural radionuclide and radiological impact assessment of teak plantation, University of Ilorin, Kwara State. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* **665**(1): 012044

[16] Ajibola T.B., Orosun M.M., Lawal W.A., Akinyose F.C. and Salawu N.B. (2021). Assessment of annual effective dose associated with radon in drinking water from gold and bismuth mining area of edu, Kwara, North-central Nigeria. Pollution. 7(1): 231-240

[17] Orosun M.M., Usikalu M.R., Onumejor C.A., Akinnagbe D.M., Orosun O.R, Salawu N.B., Olasunkanmi N.K., Akinpelu A., Adagunodo T.A., Achuka J.A. (2021). Assessment of Natural Radionuclide Contents in Water and Sediments from Asa-Dam, Ilorin, Nigeria. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 655(1): 012090

[18] Orosun M.M., Ajibola T.B., Akinyose F.C, Osanyinlusi O., Afolayan O.D., and Mahmud M.O. (2021). Assessment of ambient gamma radiation dose and annual effective dose associated with radon in drinking water from gold and lead mining area of Moro, North-Central Nigeria. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry.328(1): 129-136

[19] Adagunodo T.A., Sunmonu L.A., Adabanija M.A., Omeje M., Odetunmibi O.A., Ijeh V. (2019). Statistical Assessment of Radiation Exposure Risks to Farmers in Odo Oba, Southwestern Nigeria. Bulletin of the Mineral Research and Exploration, 159: 201-217. http://dx.doi.org/10.19111/bulletinofmre.495321.

[20] Usikalu, M.R., Maleka, P.P., Ndlovu, N.B., Zongo S., Achuka, J.A., Abodunrin, T.J. (2019) Radiation dose assessment of soil from IjeroEkiti, Nigeria, Cogent Engineering, 6(1), 1586271

[21] Adagunodo T.A., Enemuwe C.A., Usikalu M.R., Orosun M.M., Adewoyin O.O., Akinwumi S.A., Oloke O.C., Lukman A.F., Adeniji A.A., Adewoye A.O. (2021). Radiometric Survey of Natural Radioactivity Concentration and Risk Assessment on Dwellers around Ijako Active Dumpsite in Ogun State. IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 655: 012080. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/655/1/012080.

[22] Omeje M., Adagunodo T.A., Akinwumi S.A., Adewoyin O.O., Joel E.S., Husin W. and Mohd S.H. (2019). Investigation of Driller's Exposure to Natural Radioactivity and its Radiological Risks in Low Latitude Region using Neutron Activation Analysis. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology, 10(1): 1897 – 1920.

[23]US Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR, 2019) Toxicological profile for thorium report pp 1-203.