A LEARNING ANALYTICS APPROACH TO MODELLING STUDENT-STAFF INTERACTION BASED ON STUDENTS' PERCEPTION OF ENGAGEMENT PRACTICES

SAMUEL, SETH (20PCH02196)

JULY, 2022

A LEARNING ANALYTICS APPROACH TO MODELLING STUDENT-STAFF INTERACTION BASED ON STUDENTS' PERCEPTION OF ENGAGEMENT PRACTICES

BY

SAMUEL, SETH (20PCH02196) B. Tech. Information and Media Technology, Federal University of Technology, Minna

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF MASTER OF SCIENCE (M.SC) DEGREE IN MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS, DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER AND INFORMATION SCIENCES, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, COVENANT UNIVERSITY, OTA.

JULY, 2022

ACCEPTANCE

This is to attest that this dissertation is accepted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Sciences in Management and Information Systems in the Department of Computer and Information Systems, College of Science and Technology, Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria.

Mr. Taiwo B. Erewumi (Secretary, School of Postgraduate Studies)

Signature and Date

Prof. Akan B. Williams (Dean, School of Postgraduate Studies)

Signature and Date

DECLARATION

I, **SAMUEL**, **SETH** (**20PCH02196**) declare that this research was carried out by me under the supervision of Prof. Olufunke O. Oladipupo of the Department of Computer and Information Sciences Science, College of Science and Technology, Covenant University, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria. I attest that this dissertation has not been presented either wholly or partially for the award of any degree elsewhere. All sources of data, scholarly information used in this dissertation are duly acknowledged.

SAMUEL, SETH

Signature and Date

CERTIFICATION

We certify that this dissertation titled "A LEARNING ANALYTICS APPROACH TO MODELLING STUDENT-STAFF INTERACTION BASED ON STUDENTS' PERCEPTION OF ENGAGEMENT PRACTICES" is an original research carried out by SAMUEL, SETH (20PCH02196) in the Department of Computer and Information Sciences, College of Science and Technology, Covenant University, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria under the supervision of Prof. Oladipupo O. Olufunke. We have examined and found this work acceptable as part of the requirements for the award of Master of Science (M.Sc.) in Management and Information Systems.

Prof. Olufunke O. Oladipupo (Supervisor)

Prof. Olufunke O. Oladipupo (Head of Department)

Prof. Olusegun Folorunsho (External Examiner)

Prof. Akan B. Williams (Dean, School of Postgraduate Studies) Signature and Date

Signature and Date

Signature and Date

Signature and Date

DEDICATION

I dedicate this dissertation to the Almighty God unreservedly.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, I want to acknowledge God Almighty for his mercy that enabled me to carry out this project. I like to specifically thank my Mum, Mrs. Lydia Samuel Bijimi, with my wonderful sister, Sarah Samuel for their continual support and love, Pastors and Mrs. Linus Augustine Ugwu for their steadfast counsel and prayers. I also like to thank Daniel Ibikitan, Jubril Mohammed Madaki, and Joy Katoh Quinom, for their friendship.

My personal appreciation also goes to my family at Precious People's Assembly for all Nations, my Pastors, Sam and Esther Otenaike for their continuing support and perseverance in raising us as kingdom ambassadors. Special appreciation to the National Information Technology and Development Agency (NITDA) for financing my programme at Covenant University.

My thanks also go to the Chancellor of Covenant University, Dr David O. Oyedepo, for his dedication to creating a generation of leaders with a difference. I applaud the entire management of Covenant University for the part they played during my programme. Furthermore, I want to appreciate the Faculty at Computer and Information Science Department for the learning experience, Dr Ezenwoke Azubike and Dr Ibukun Afolabi for their support while developing this dissertation, and the Head of Department, Professor Olufunke O. Oladipupo, who also serves as my supervisor for her mentorship and motherly support which I received.

Again, my acknowledgement also goes to Dr Bilkisu Larai Muhammad Bello, Dr. Ishaq Oyebisi Oyefolahan, Dr Ganiyu Shefiu, Mr Zubairu Abubakar Hussaini, Mrs Omale Gloria Eneh among other lecturers from my almamater for their help in many ways.

I also want to acknowledge my Post Graduate Colleagues; Emmanuel Omonedo, Ogunsola Opeyemi, Chidera Eze, Christabel Uzor, Deborah Bassey Etukudo,, Emmanuel Owoka, Excellent Ikeakanam, Gabriel Opeyemi, Isaac Martins,Obaro Ikoh, Otavie Okuoyo, Samuel Olanipekun, Temitope Ogungbesan, Timilehin Owoseni, Victoria Robert, Olumide Adeosun, Bassey Ikike and Kingsly Onoja who have contributed in many ways to my post graduate experience. I am grateful to you all, and I celebrate you all.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER	R PAGI	E	i
TITLE	PAGE		ii
ACCEPTANCE PAGE			iii
DECLARATION			iv
CERTI	FICAT	ION	V
DEDICA	ATION		V1
ACKN	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		
IABLE	OF CO E FICI	JNIENIS UDES	vii
LIST O	F FIGU F TAR		xii
LIST O	F ABB	REVIATIONS	xiii
ABSTR	ACT		xiv
СНАРТ	ER ON	NE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Backg	ground to the Study	1
1.2	Stater	nent of the Problem	3
1.3	Aim a	and Objectives of the Study	4
1.4	Resea	urch Methodology	5
1.5	Signi	ficance of the Study	5
СНАРТ	ER TV	VO: LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1	Overv	view of Learning Analytics	7
2.2	Applications of Learning Analytics to Higher Education Data 9		9
2.3	2.3 Application of Learning Analytics to Student Engagement Analysis		10
	2.3.1	Learning Analytics for Enhancing Learning and Experiences of Students.	10
	2.3.2	Learning Analytics for Improving Teaching and Curriculum	11
	2.3.3	Learning Analytics for Providing Individualised Learning Assistance to Students	11
	2.3.4	Learning Analytics for Promoting Students' Self-directed Learning.	12
	2.3.5	Learning Analytics for Predicting and Improving Student Outcomes	12
	2.3.6	Learning Analytics Methods for Student Engagement Data Analysis	13
2.4	Learn	ing Analytics and Connected Fields	13
2.5	Assoc	ciation Rule Mining	14
	2.5.1	Apriori Association Rule Mining	16

		2.5.2	Frequent Pattern Association Rule Mining	16
	2.6	Structur	ral Equation Modelling	17
	2.7	What is Student Engagement		
	2.8 Student Engagement: The Conceptual Development			18
	2.9	Student	Engagement Dimensions and Indicators	20
	2.10	NSSE F	Framework	22
	2.11	Student	-Staff Interaction	26
2.12		Determinants of Student-Staff Interaction		28
		2.12.1	Student Characteristics that Influence Student-Staff Interaction	28
		2.12.2	Staff Characteristics that Influence Student-Staff Interaction	29
		2.12.3	Institutional Characteristics that Influence Student-Staff Interaction	30
		2.12.4	Peer and Parental Characteristics that Influence Student-Staff Interaction	30
		2.12.5	Other Determinants of Student-Staff Interaction	31
	2.13	Review	of Related Works	32
	2.14.	Gaps in	Literature	36
CE	IAPT	ER THF	REE: METHODOLOGY	40
	3.1	Introdu	ction	40
	3.2	Data Co	ollection	41
		3.2.1	Determination of Minimum Sample Size for CUSE Survey	41
		3.2.2	Data Collection Process of CUSE Survey	43
	3.3	Data Pr	e-Processing	43
	3.4	Descriptive Statistics		44
	3.5	Associative Pattern Discovery		44
	3.6	Investigation of Patterns with Structural Equation Modelling		46
CE	IAPT	ER FOU	JR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	47
	4.1	Introdu	ction	47
	4.2	Descrip	tion of Institutions	47
		4.2.1	Description of Institution 'A'	47
		4.2.2	Description of Institution 'B'	47
	4.3	Descrip	tion of Respondents	48

	4.3.1	Description of Respondents at Institution 'A'	48
	4.3.2	Description of Respondents at Institution 'B'	48
4.4	Descriptive Statistics of Student Responses		49
	4.4.1	Descriptive Statistics of Institution 'A' Student Responses	50
	4.4.2	Descriptive Statistics of Institution 'B' Student Responses	51
4.5	Results of Association Rule Mining		53
	4.5.1	Results of Institution 'A' Association Rule Mining	53
	4.5.2	Results of Institution 'B' Association Rule Mining	55
4.6	Results of Structural Equation Modelling Analysis		57
	4.6.1	Results of Institution 'A' Structural Equation Modelling	57
	4.6.2	Results of Institution 'B' Structural Equation Modelling	64
4.7	Discus	sion of Findings	69
4.8	Recom	mendations for the Application of Findings	70
CHAP	FER FIV	E: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	73
5.1	Summ	ary	73
5.2	Conclusion		73
5.3	Contribution to Knowledge		74
5.4	Recom	nmendation	74
REFEF	RENCES		76
APPEN	DICES		87

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES	TITLE OF FIGURE	PAGES	
2.1	The Learning Analytics Reference Model		
2.2	Student Engagement Indicators		
2.3	The Trend of Student-Staff Interaction Research		
3.1	The Research Methodological Workflow Diagram		
4.1	Age Distribution of Institution 'B' Student Responses by Gender	49	
4.2	Distribution of Institution 'A' Student Responses by Engagement Indicators	50	
4.3	Distribution of Engagement Indicators Rating of Institution 'A' Student Responses	51	
4.4	Distribution of Institution 'B' Student Responses by Engagement Indicators	52	
4.5	Distribution of Engagement Indicators Rating of Institution 'B' Student Responses	52	
4.6a	Result of the SEM Analysis of Model 1	59	
4.6b	Result of the SEM Analysis of Model 2	59	
4.6c	Result of the SEM Analysis of Model 3	60	
4.6d	Result of the SEM Analysis of Model 4	60	
4.6e	Result of the SEM Analysis of Model 5	61	
4.6f	Result of the SEM Analysis of Model 6	61	
4.6g	Result of the SEM Analysis of Model 7	62	
4.6h	Result of the SEM Analysis of Model 8	62	
4.6i	Result of the SEM Analysis of Model 9	63	
4.7a	Result of the SEM Analysis of Model 10	66	
4.7b	Result of the SEM Analysis of Model 11	66	
4.7c	Result of the SEM Analysis of Model 12	67	
4.7d	Result of the SEM Analysis of Model 13	67	
4.7e	Result of the SEM Analysis of Model 14	67	
4.7f	Result of the SEM Analysis of Model 15	68	
4.7g	Result of the SEM Analysis of Model 16	68	

LIST OF TABLES

TABLES	TITLE OF TABLE	PAGES
1.1	Research Objectives and Methodology	5
2.1	Research Objectives for Student Engagement Analysis with Sample Study	10
2.2	Differences Between Learning Analytics and Related Fields	14
2.3	NSSE Engagement Indicators	
2.4	Engagement Indicator Measures	
2.5	Identified Research Gaps in Literature	36
3.1	Sample Size Requirement for Continuous and Categorical Data	42
4.1	Description of Respondents at Institution 'A'	48
4.2	Description of Respondents at Institution 'B'	49
4.3	Most Frequent Items from Institution 'A' Data	53
4.4	Association Rules from Institution 'A' Data	54
4.5	Most Frequent Items from Institution 'B' Data	55
4.6	Association Rules from Institution 'B' Data	56
4.7	Overview of Fitness of Structural Models for Institution 'A'	58
4.8	Overview of Fitness of Structural Models for Institution 'B'	65

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

NSSE	National Survey of Student Engagement
LA	Learning Analytics
CL	Collaborative Learning
НО	Higher-Order Learning
DD	Discussions with Diverse Others
SE	Supportive Environment
SS/SSI	Student-Staff Interaction
LS	Learning Strategies
QR	Quantitative Reasoning
QI	Quality of Interactions
ET	Effective Teaching
RI	Reflective and Integrated Learning
HEI	Higher Education Institutions
EM	Engagement Measure
EI	Engagement Indicator
RMSEA	Root Mean Square Error of Approximation
CFI	Confirmatory Factor Index
GFI	Goodness of Fit Index
TLI	Tucker-Lewis Index
CUSE	Covenant University Survey of Engagement
SEM	Structural Equation Modelling
CU	Covenant University
DUT	Durban University of Technology

ABSTRACT

Numerous studies have discovered a strong correlation between student-staff interaction (SSI) and improved student and institutional outcomes, making it a prominent research topic on student engagement and quality learning in higher education. This study took a novel approach to construct a student-staff interaction model by analysing the associative relationships between the engagement indicators of the National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE), a widely used instrument for measuring student engagement. The Frequent Pattern growth algorithm was used to identify intriguing associations between the engagement indicators, followed by structural equation modelling to investigate the most intriguing structurally modelled rules. This research pushes the boundaries of studies aimed at enhancing student engagement and outcomes by revealing the precarious factors that influence student-staff interaction. The unique contribution of this study lies not only in the methodology employed but also in the validated models of student-staff interaction that were produced. This research will therefore enable the development of ideas, policies, and best practices associated with enhancing student engagement through student-staff interaction in higher education to enhance student performance and learning quality.

Keywords: student-staff interaction model; student engagement; learning analytics