PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Iterative methods for solving Riccati differential equations

To cite this article: O. P. Ogundile et al 2021 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1734 012003

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>Cosmic-ray Transport in</u> <u>Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence</u> Snehanshu Maiti, Kirit Makwana, Heshou Zhang et al.
- <u>SUPERDIFFUSION OF COSMIC RAYS:</u> <u>IMPLICATIONS FOR COSMIC RAY</u> <u>ACCELERATION</u> A. Lazarian and Huirong Yan
- Approximate Solution of Riccati Differential Equations and DNA Repair Model with Adomian Decomposition Method Rahmat Al Kafi, Bariqi Abdillah and Sri Mardiyati



244th Electrochemical Society Meeting

October 8 - 12, 2023 • Gothenburg, Sweden

50 symposia in electrochemistry & solid state science

Abstract submission deadline: **April 7, 2023**



This content was downloaded from IP address 165.73.223.225 on 07/02/2023 at 09:42

Iterative methods for solving Riccati differential equations

O. P. Ogundile¹ and S. O. Edeki¹, D. G. Olaniregun²

¹Department of Mathematics, Covenant University Ota, Nigeria

²Department of Mathematics, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

Corresponding Author Email: soedeki@yahoo.com

Abstract This work considers the approximate solution of the Riccati differential equations (RDEs). For ease of computation, the iterative methods applied are the Daftardar-Gejji and Jafari Method (DJM) and the Picard Iteration Method (PIM). The results obtained via the DJM are compared with those from PIM. The comparison shows that both methods are in agreement with the corresponding exact form. The Picard approach transforms the differential equation into an interconnected form; though, Lipschitz's criterion of consistency but satisfied.

Keywords: Iterative method; differential equations; quadratic riccati

1. Introduction

The differential equation of the form:

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = a_1 + a_2 x(t) + a_3 x^2(t), & a_3 \neq 0, \\ x(0) = \eta, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

is called the Riccati differential equation.

In equation (1.1), a_1, a_2 , and a_3 are real constants. The differential equation was derived by the Italian Scholar Francesco Riccati in the 17th Century [1]. This particular class of equations are encountered in many applied sciences and engineering, and they play a significant role in nonlinear control theory, stochastic processes, etc. [2]. The solutions of (1.1) can be obtained by using different effective numerical techniques. Recently, several authors have investigated this equation in order to obtain the approximate solutions. In [3], the authors used ADM to solve the RDEs and obtained the approximate solutions. He's VIM, HPM, iterated He's HPM was used by Abbsabandy to solve the RDEs and compared his result to that of ADM [4-6]. Tsai and Chen in [7] used LADM and Pade's approximations technique to study this particular type of equation. Their study shows acceptable accuracy results. The Legendre Wavelet approach was used by Mohammadi and Hosseini to solve the RDEs and compared their results with other existing methods in the literature [8]. Several other authors have used other numerical methods to handle this particular equation. See for references [9-12]. A book written by Murphy contains several methods that can be applied to solve the Riccati differential equation [13 and 14]. In this work, the Riccati differential equation solution will be considered using two iterative methods, namely: Daftardar-Gejji and Jafari (DJ), and Picard Iterative (PI) methods.

For solvability purposes, efficient solution methods are needed for obtaining the solutions of

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

International Conference on Recent Trends in Ap	plied Research (ICoRT	AR) 2020	IOP Publishing
Journal of Physics: Conference Series	1734 (2021) 012003	doi:10.1088/1742	-6596/1734/1/012003

differential equations of real-life situations. Historically, the DJM was introduced by two researchers Daftarda-Gejji and Jafari, in 2006 for obtaining the solutions of linear and nonlinear functional equations [15]. Different scholars have since used this method for solving differential equations of the various forms [16-22]. The main merits of the DJM are not limited to ease of application and reduction of computational work. In [24], PIM was used with Legendre Wavelets methods to solve nonlinear initial value problems (IVPs). Saeed *et al.* applied PIM to linearize the system of differential equations [24]. Ogundile and Edeki [25], in their recent work, presented PIM to obtain approximate-analytical solutions of SDE. Other relevant literature on the Picard iteration method is linked to references [26-30].

2. The Methods of solution

This section presents the fundamental concept of DJM [15-22] and PIM [23-30] as fast accuracy and highly efficient methods.

2.1. Daftar-Gejji-Jafari method (DJM)

Supposed the following general functional equation is considered:

$$x = b + L(x) + N[x], \qquad (2.1)$$

where b is a given known function, and $N[\cdot]$ and $L[\cdot]$ are the nonlinear and linear operators, respectively. Suppose we define $\overline{N}[x]$ as:

$$\overline{N}[x] = L[x] + N[x], \tag{2.2}$$

then (2.1) becomes:

$$x = b + \overline{N}[x] \tag{2.3}$$

such that the solution, x of (2.2) takes an infinite series pattern of the form:

$$\begin{cases} x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i, \\ \overline{N}[x] = \overline{N}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i\right]. \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

Consiquently, the nonlinear operator \overline{N} is decomposed as

$$\overline{N}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i\right) = \overline{N}\left[x_0\right] + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[\overline{N}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} x_i\right) - \overline{N}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_i\right)\right], m = 1, 2...$$
(2.5)

Therefore, putting (2.4) and (2.5) into (2.3), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i = b + \bar{N} \Big[x_0 \Big] + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Bigg[\bar{N} \Bigg(\sum_{i=0}^m x_i \Bigg) - \bar{N} \Bigg(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_i \Bigg) \Bigg] \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$
(2.6)

Hence, the recurrence relation is:

$$\begin{cases} x_{0} = b \\ x_{1} = \overline{N}(x_{0}) \\ z_{m+1} = \overline{N}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{m} x_{i}\right] - \overline{N}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_{i}\right], \ m = 1, \ 2, \dots \end{cases}$$
(2.7)

such that:

$$x = b + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i.$$
(2.8)

International Conference on Recent Trends in Ap	oplied Research (ICoRT	AR) 2020	IOP Publishing
Journal of Physics: Conference Series	1734 (2021) 012003	doi:10.1088/1742-	-6596/1734/1/012003

2.2 Picard Iterative Method (PIM)

The Picard Iterative method as an integral method is used for differential equations with emphasis on the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the linear and nonlinear differential equations; therefore, an equation to be handled by this method must satisfy the Lipchitz continuity condition.

2.3 The Lipschitz Continuity Condition

The function r(a,b) is said to satisfy the Lipschitz condition with respect to b in a region D^* in the XY-plane, if \exists a positive constant K. Such that:

$$|r(a,b_1) - r(a-b_2)| \le K|b_1 - b_2|$$

(2.9)

whenever (a,b_1) and (a,b_1) are in D^* , then K is called the Lipschitz condition. The Picard Iterative method connected to the differential equation the form

$$\begin{cases} x' = g(t, x), \\ x(t_0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$
(2.10)

is given below [29-31]:

$$\psi_{m+1}(t) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, \psi_m(s)) ds, \qquad (2.11)$$

where $x(t) = \psi_{m+1}$, $x(s) = \psi_m(s)$.

3. Numerical Examples

Example 3.1

Consider the Riccati differential equation [32]

$$x'(t) = 1 + x^{2}(t),$$
 (3.1)

with I.C. x(0) = 0.

The exact solution of (3.0) is presented as:

$$x^*(0) = \tan(t).$$
 (3.2)

The numerical solutions of (3.0) via the two methods of solution are presented in table and graph below.

t	DJM^{6}	PIM ⁶	EXACT
0.0	0.000000000000000	0.000000000000000	0.0000000000000000
0.1	0.100334672085451	0.100334672085451	0.100334672085451
0.2	0.202710035508671	0.202710035508470	0.202710035508673
0.3	0.309336249609116	0.309336249567961	0.309336249609623
0.4	0.422793218696547	0.422790712339784	0.422793218738162
0.5	0.546302488509300	0.546302451536160	0.546302489843790
0.6	0.684136784374701	0.684136340501477	0.684136808341692

Table 1: DJM, PIM versus Exact solutions

0.7	0.842288087786640	0.842284292051472	0.842288380463079
0.8	1.029635819385023	1.029610217610683	1.029638557050364
0.9	1.260136940795833	1.259991097538113	1.260158217550339
1.0	1.557261577356836	1.556523840478488	1.557407724654902



Table 2: Approximate solutions (DJM and PIM) at 6th term versus exact solutions

4. Results Discussion and Conclusion

In conclusion, the iterative methods (DJM and the PIM) have been used to solve the Riccati differential equations successfully. These two methods converge to the exact solution at the sixth term respectively and produced good approximation as shown in Figure and Table 2 respectively; though, Picard converts the differential equation to its equivalent in the integral form provided the Lipschitz continuity condition is satisfied. By extension, these methods can be applied to other linear and nonlinear models of higher-order and degree. The techniques are accurate in comparison with other discussed methods.

Acknowledgment

The continuous support of Covenant University is sincerely appreciated.

References

- [1] Aminikhah, H 2013 Approximate analytical solution for quadratic Riccati differential equation, *Iranian. Journal of Numerical Analysis and Optimization.* **3** (2), 21–31.
- [2] Reid, W. T. 1972 Riccati Differential Equations, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA,
- [3] Bulut H. and Evans D. J 2002 On the solution of the Riccati equation by the decomposition method *International. Journal of Computer Mathematics*. **79**, 103-109.
- [4] Abbasbandy S 2006 Homotopy perturbation method for quadratic Riccati differential equation and comparison with Adomian's decomposition method, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. **172**, 485–490.
- [5] S. Abbasbandy S 2007 A new application of He's variational iteration method for quadratic Riccati differential equation by using Adomian's polynomials, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **207**, 59–63.

International Conference on Recent Trends in Applied Research (ICoRTAR) 2020 IOP Publishing Journal of Physics: Conference Series **1734** (2021) 012003 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1734/1/012003

- [6] Abbasbandy S. 2006 Iterated He's homotopy perturbation method for quadratic Riccati differential equation, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. **175**, 581–589.
- [7] Tsai P. Y. and C. K. Chen P. Y 2010 An approximate analytic solution of the nonlinear Riccati differential equation, *Journal of Franklin Institute*, **347**, 1850-1862.
- [8] Mohammadi F. and Hosseini M.M 2011 A comparative study of numerical methods for solving quadratic Riccati differential equation, *Journal of Franklin Institute.*, **348**, 156-164.
- [9] Tan Y. and Abbasbandy S. 2008 Homotopy analysis method for quadratic Riccati differential equation, Communication in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation 13, 539–546.
- [10] F. Mohammadi, M.M. Hosseini, Legendre wavelet method for solving linear stiff systems, J. Adv. Res. Differential Equations 2 (1) (2010) 47–57.
- [11] Mohammadi F. Hosseini M.M. and Mohyud-Din S.T Legendre wavelet Galerkin method for solving ordinary differential equations with non-analytic solution, *International Journal of System. Science., in press.*
- [12] Ghomanjani F. Khorram E. Approximate solution for quadratic Riccati differential equation. *Journal Taibah University of Science*. doi:10.1016/j.jtusci.2015.04.001
- [13] Murphy G. M 1960. Ordinary Differential Equations and Their Solutions, Van Nostrand, Princeton.
- [14] Robert haaheim D. and Max stein, F 1969 Methods of solution of the Riccati differential equation, Mathematics Magazine, **42**(5), 233-240
- [15] Daftardar-Gejji V and Jafari H. 2006 An iterative method for solving nonlinear functional equations, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis*. **316**, 753-763.
- [16] Bhalekar S. and Daftardar-Gejji V. 2008 New iterative method: application to partial differential equations *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. **203**, 778-783.
- [17] Daftardar-Gejji, V. and Bhalekar S. 2010 Solving fractional boundary value problems with Dirichlet boundary conditions using a new iterative method, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*. **59**, 1801-1809.
- [18] Bhalekar S. and Daftardar-Gejji V. 2010 Solving evolution equations using a new iterative method, Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations: *An International Journal*, **26**(3), 906-916.
- [19] Hemeda A. A. 2012 New Iterative method; Application to nth-order Integro-differential equations. *International Mathematical Forum*. **7**, 2317-2332.
- [20] Kocak H. and Yildirim A 2011 Nonlinear Analysis: Mode An efficient new iterative method for finding exact solutions of nonlinear time-fractional partial differential equations, *Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control*, **16**(4), 403-414.
- [21] Bhalekar S. and Daftardar-Gejji V. 2011 Convergence of the new iterative method, *International Journal of Differential Equations*, **2011**, 1-10.
- [22] Ogundile O.P. and Edeki S.O. 2020 Approximate analytical solutions of linear stochastic differential models based on Karhunen-Loéve expansion with finite series terms, *Communications in Mathematical Biology and Neuroscience*. **2020**, Article ID 40
- [23] Fu-Kang Y. and Han, J. Song W. 2013 Legendre Wavelets-Picard Iteration Method for solution of Nonlinear Initial Value Problems. *International Journal of Applied Physics and Mathematics*, 127-131.
- [24] Saeed U. Rejman M., Asad Iqbal M. and Haar 2014 Wavelet-Picard technique for fractional order nonlinear initial and boundary value Problems, *Academic Journals. Scientific research and Essays*, 571-580.
- [25] Ogundile O.P. and Edeki S.O. 2020 Karhunen-Loéve expansion of Brownian motion for approximate solutions of linear stochastic differential models using Picard iteration, *Journal of Mathematics and Computational Science*. **10**, 1712-1723
- [26] Khader M.M 2012 On the numerical solutions nonliear biochemical reaction model using Picard-Pade technique, *World Journal of Modelling and Simulation*, 38-46.
- [27] Witula R., Hetmaniok, E. Slota, D. and Zielonka A. 2011 Solution of the Two-phase Stefan problem by using the Picard's Iterative Method, VINCA Institute of Nuclear sciences, *Journal of Thermal science*.

International Conference on Recent Trends in A	pplied Research (ICoRT.	AR) 2020	IOP Publishing
Journal of Physics: Conference Series	1734 (2021) 012003	doi:10.1088/1742	-6596/1734/1/012003

- [28] Bellomo N. and Sarafyan. D. 1987 On Adomian's decomposition methods and some comparisons with Picard iterative scheme. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application*, 389-400.
- [29] Chidume, C.E. 1995 Picard Iterations for nonlinear Lipschitz strong pseudo-contractions in uniformly smooth banach spaces, *INIS*, 27, 07.
- [30] Edeki, S.O., Opanuga, A.A. and Okagbue, H. I. 2014 On Iterative Techniques for numerical solutions of linear and nonlinear differential equations, *J. Mathematical and Computational. Science* **4**, 716-727.
- [31] Anake, T.A., Adeleke, O.J., Edeki, S.O. and Ejiogu, J.I. 2019 Iterative methods for the solutions of a predator-prey model, *WSEAS Transactions on Mathematics*. **18**, 161-167
- [32] Vahidi, A. R., Didgar, M. and Rach, R. C. 2014 An improved approximate analytic solution for riccati equations over extended intervals, *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* **45**(1): 27-38.