

Disparities in document indexation in two databases (Scopus and Web of Science) among six subject domains, and the impact on journal-based metrics

- [Hilary I. Okaqgue](#),
- [Jaime A. Teixeira da Silva](#) &
- [Abiodun A. Opanuga](#)

-

Abstract

A previous study (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03457-x>) found a discrepancy between Elsevier's CiteScore and Clarivate Analytics' Journal Impact Factor (JIF) in library and information science (LIS) journals. One possibility to explain this discrepancy may lie in the number and type of documents used to calculate these journal-based metrics. Using the top quartile of Scopus-indexed journals from 2011 to 2018, we assessed the number of documents for each journal and year that were indexed in Scopus and in Web of Science (WoS) in six fields of study: LIS, discrete mathematics and combinatorics (DMC), medicine: epidemiology (ME), agriculture and biological sciences (ABS), social science: demography (SSD), and environmental engineering (EE). The number of documents in WoS was higher than those indexed in Scopus for four fields of study: LIS, ME, SSD and EE, with a difference of 1653, 3931, 635 and 197 documents, respectively. For DMC and ABS, Scopus listed more documents than WoS for the same years and journals, the differential being 7 and 1284, respectively. The greater indexing of documents in WoS than in Scopus in four fields of study may explain why the JIF of top-ranking LIS journals is different than their CiteScore. To verify this possibility, one category (DMC) was examined in detail. Of the 16 DMC journals examined, 91.1% were articles, while 8.9% of missing documents were corrections, an erratum, an editorial, an abstract report and in press articles. There were no significant differences between the citation patterns of the missing DMC journals' documents in Scopus and WoS. Citations to missing documents may impact the CiteScore and JIF and should thus be properly indexed.

This is a preview of subscription content, [log in via an institution](#) to check access.

Notes

1. <https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1906/1906.07011.pdf>.

References

- Fernandez-Llimos, F. (2018). Differences and similarities between Journal Impact Factor and CiteScore. *Pharmacy Practice*, 16(2), 1282. <https://doi.org/10.18549/PharmPract.2018.02.1282>.

[Article Google Scholar](#)

- Liao, C. H., & Chen, M. (2018). Exploring knowledge patterns of library and information science journals within the field: A citation analysis from 2009 to 2016. *Scientometrics*, 117(3), 1991–2008. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-018-2925-1>

[Article Google Scholar](#)

- Okagbue, H. I., & Teixeira da Silva, J. A. (2020). Correlation between the CiteScore and Journal Impact Factor of top-ranked library and information science journals. *Scientometrics*, 124(1), 797–801. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03457-x>.

[Article Google Scholar](#)

- Teixeira da Silva, J. A., & Memon, A. R. (2017). CiteScore: A cite for sore eyes, or a valuable, transparent metric? *Scientometrics*, 111(1), 553–556. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-017-2250-0>

[Article Google Scholar](#)

- Teixeira da Silva, J. A. (2020). CiteScore: Advances, evolution, applications, and limitations. *Publishing Research Quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12109-020-09736-y>

[Article Google Scholar](#)

- Zhao, R.-Y., Wang, X., Liu, Z.-Z., Qi, Y.-K., Zhang, Z.-Y., & Chang, R. (2019). Research on the impact evaluation of academic journals based on altmetrics and citation indicators. *Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 56(1), 336–345. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pr2.27>

[Article Google Scholar](#)

[Download references](#)

Author information

Authors and Affiliations

1. Department of Mathematics, Covenant University, Canaanland, KM. 10 Idiroko Road, Ota, Nigeria
Hilary I. Okagbue & Abiodun A. Opanuga

2. **Independent Researcher, P. O. Box 7, Miki-cho Post Office, Ikenobe 3011-2, Miki-cho, Kagawa-ken, 761-0799, Japan**
Jaime A. Teixeira da Silva

Contributions

The authors contributed equally to the intellectual discussion underlying this paper, literature exploration, writing, data collection and analysis and interpretation, reviews and editing, and accept responsibility for the content of the paper.

Corresponding authors

Correspondence to [Hilary I. Okagbue](#) or [Jaime A. Teixeira da Silva](#).

Ethics declarations

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest of relevance to this topic.

Electronic supplementary material

Below is the link to the electronic supplementary material.

[Supplementary material 1 \(DOCX 55.3 kb\)](#)

Rights and permissions

[Reprints and permissions](#)

About this article

Cite this article

Okagbue, H.I., Teixeira da Silva, J.A. & Opanuga, A.A. Disparities in document indexation in two databases (Scopus and Web of Science) among six subject domains, and the impact on journal-based metrics. *Scientometrics* **125**, 2821–2825 (2020).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03704-1>

[Download citation](#)

- Received 18 May 2020
- Published 24 September 2020
- Issue Date December 2020
- DOI <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03704-1>

Keywords

- [Citations](#)
- [CiteScore](#)
- [Clarivate Analytics](#)
- [journal-based metrics](#)
- [Journal Impact Factor \(JIF\)](#)

Access this article

[Log in via an institution](#)

Buy article PDF 39,95 €

Price includes VAT (Nigeria)
Instant access to the full article PDF.

Rent this article via [DeepDyve](#)
[Institutional subscriptions](#)

- Sections
- Figures
- References

165.73.223.224

Covenant University Ota (3006481499)

© 2024 Springer Nature