# ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY AND PRESERVATIVE PROPERTIES OF PROBIOTIC *LACTOBACILLUS* SPECIES FROM FERMENTED DRINKS

AZETA, JOY IZIEGBE (22PCQ02453)
B.Sc, Microbiology, Crawford University, Igbesa, Ogun State

# ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY AND PRESERVATIVE PROPERTIES OF PROBIOTIC *LACTOBACILLUS* SPECIES FROM FERMENTED DRINKS

 $\mathbf{BY}$ 

AZETA, JOY IZIEGBE (22PCQ02453)
B.Sc, Microbiology, Crawford University, Igbesa, Ogun State

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD MASTER OF SCIENCE (M.Sc) DEGREE IN MICROBIOLOGY IN THE DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, COVENANT UNIVERSITY, OTA, OGUN STATE, NIGERIA

**AUGUST, 2024** 

### **ACCEPTANCE**

This is to attest that this dissertation is accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Sciences in Microbiology in the Department of Biological Science, College of Science and Technology, Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria.

Miss Adefunke F. Oyinloye (Secretary, School of Postgraduate Studies)

**Signature and Date** 

Prof Akan B. William (Dean, School of Postgraduate Studies)

**Signature and Date** 

### **DECLARATION**

**I, AZETA, JOY IZIEGBE** (22PCQ02453) declare that this research was carried out by me under the supervision of Dr. Olubukola Oziegbe of the Department of Biological Science, College of Science and Technology, Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria. I attest that the dissertation has not been presented either wholly or partially for the reward of any degree elsewhere. All sources of data and scholarly information used in this dissertation are duly acknowledged.

**AZETA, JOY IZIEGBE** 

**Signature and Date** 

#### **CERTIFICATION**

We certify that this dissertation titled "ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY AND PRESERVATIVE PROPERTIES OF PROBIOTIC LACTOBACILLUS SPECIES FROM FERMENTED DRINKS" is an original research work carried out by AZETA, JOY IZIEGBE (22PCQ02454) in the Department of Biological Sciences, College of Science and Technology, Covenant University, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria under the supervision of Dr. Olubukola Oziegbe. We have examined and found this work acceptable as part of the requirements for the award of Master of Science in Microbiology.

Dr. Olubukola Oziegbe (Supervisor)

**Signature and Date** 

Dr. Isaac O. Ayanda (Head of Department)

**Signature and Date** 

Prof. Anthonia O. Oluduro (External Examiner)

**Signature and Date** 

Prof. Akan B. William (Dean, School of Postgraduate Studies)

**Signature and Date** 

## **DEDICATION**

I dedicate	e this work t	o Almighty	God, for h	is grace,	help and	strength throug	hout my dis	sertation.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

My deepest gratitude and appreciation goes to Almighty God, the creator of heaven and earth for his overwhelming love, provision and wisdom that he granted unto me during the course of my program.

I acknowledge the Visioner and Chancellor of this great institution, Covenant University, Ota. Dr. David O. Oyedepo. I acknowledge the distinguished members of management in Covenant University, The Vice-Chancellor: Prof. Abiodun H. Adebayo; the Deputy Vice-Chancellor: Professor Olujide Adekeye, the Registrar: Mrs Regina A. Tobi-David. I acknowledge the Dean, School of Postgraduate studies: Prof. Akan B. Williams; the Sub-Dean, School of Postgraduate studies: Hezekiah O. Falola; the Dean, College of Science and Technology: Prof. Timothy Anake. I am grateful for the diverse trainings and programs organized towards capacity building for the successful postgraduate research.

My most profound gratitude and heartfelt appreciation goes to my supervisors, Dr Olubukola Oziegbe and Dr Yemisi D. Obafemi for their immeasurable understanding, guidance and patience throughout the course of this research. And, a very big thank you goes to the Head of the Department of Biological Sciences, Dr Ayanda I. Opeyemi. To Prof Oranusi, Prof Conrad Omohinmin., Prof Obinna Nwinyi, Prof Akinnola Olayemi, Prof Olawole Obembe, Dr Paul Akinduti, Dr Oniha Margret, Dr Eze Ahuekwe, Dr Benson Adeola, Dr Isibor P., Mr Olugbenga Taiwo, Mrs Bosede Adekeye, Miss Damilola Kuye, Mr Michael Ezekiel, Mr Bode Onile-ere and Miss Rhoda Faniyan for always showing concern to my work and for every input made to make my work a success. I am grateful for the support of the entire staff of Biological Sciences for the constant help. May God bless you all.

My sincere and deepest appreciation also goes to my parents, Prof. Ambrose A. Azeta and Mrs Angela Azeta (of blessed memory), for their labor of love, encouragements and prayers. I will forever be grateful to them and to my siblings Emmanuel, David and Daniel for the love I was showered with. I want to appreciate all my coursemates, friends (Esther Oladayo, Ayomide Akinyele, Samuel Oyegbade, Mameh Emmanuel, Kwarpo Zeendi, Comfort Omonigbehin, Tobi Obadire, Pelumi Oyesile, Aladele Andrew), Isaac Ubani, and loved ones whose help contributed to the success of this research and for their unwavering support during this time. Thank you all and God bless.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENT	PAGES
COVER PAGE	i
TITLE PAGE	ii
ACCEPTANCE	iii
DECLARATION CERTIFICATION	iv
DEDICATION	v vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vii
TABLE OF CONTENT	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	XV
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
ABSTRACT	xvii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the study	2
1.2 Statement of the research problem	2
1.3 Research questions	2
1.4 Aim and objectives of the study	3
1.5 Justification for the study	3
1.6 Scope of the study	4
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Fermented foods	5
2.2 Fermented drinks	7
2.2.1 Palm wine	8
2.2.1.1 Beneficial microorganisms found in palm wine	11
2.2.1.2 Nutritional contents of Palm wine	12
2.2.1.3 Health benefits of Palm wine	14
2.2.1.4 Cultural significance of Palm wine	15
2.2.2 Burukutu	16

2.2.2.1 Nutritional contents of Burukutu	18
2.2.2.2 Health benefits of Burukutu	19
2.2.2.3 Cultural significance of Burukutu	20
2.3 Lactic Acid Bacteria	21
2.3.1 Taxonomic classification of Lactic Acid Bacteria	22
2.3.2 Niche or Habitat of Lactic Acid Bacteria	23
2.3.3 Common Lactic Acid Bacteria	23
2.3.3.1 Lactobacillus	25
2.3.3.1.1 Benefits of <i>Lactobacillus</i> in fermented drinks	25
2.3.3.2 Lactococcus	27
2.3.3.3 Streptococcus	29
2.3.3.4 Leuconostoc	31
2.4 Probiotic activity of <i>Lactobacillus</i>	32
2.4.1 Laboratory probiotic screening	32
2.4.1.1 Acid and Bile Tolerance	33
2.4.1.2 Adhesion to mucosal and epithelial surfaces	33
2.4.1.3 Bile Salt Hydrolase Activity	33
2.4.1.4 Inhibition Activity against Pathogenic Bacteria	34
2.4.2 Mechanism of Antibacterial Activity of Probiotic <i>Lactobacillus</i>	35
2.4.2.1 Production of Organic acids	35
2.4.2.2 Production of Antimicrobial compounds	36
2.4.2.3 Production of Enzymes and other compounds	36
2.4.2.4 Competition for Nutrients	37
2.4.2.5 Modulation of Immune system	38
2.4.2.6 Quorum Quenching	39
2.4.2.7 Barrier function	39
2.5 Preservative properties of <i>Lactobacillus</i>	40
2.5.1 Application of <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains on various food products	41

2.5.1.1 Dairy products	41
2.5.1.2 Fermented vegetables	42
2.5.1.3 Meat products	42
2.5.1.4 Beverages	42
2.5.1.5 Baked goods	43
2.6 Meat as perishable food	43
2.6.1 Significance of meat in human diet	44
2.6.1.1 Nutritional value	45
2.6.1.2 Culinary and cultural significance	46
2.6.2 Elements affecting the quality of meat	46
2.7 Meat spoilage	47
2.7.1 Causes of Meat spoilage	48
2.7.1.1 Microbial activity	48
2.7.1.2 Enzymatic activity	49
2.7.1.3 Chemical changes	49
2.7.1.4 Environmental factors	49
2.7.2 Spoilage bacteria associated with meat	50
2.8 Preservative approaches of meat	51
2.9 Factors affecting meat shelf life	53
2.10 Preservative property of <i>Lactobacillus</i>	57
2.11 Gaps in literature	62
CHAPTER THREE: MATERIAL AND METHODS	63
3.1 Materials	63
3.2 Equipment	63
3.3 Media	63
3.4 Reagents	63
3.5 Sample collection	63

3.6 Proximate analysis of the fermented drink samples	64
3.6.1 Total ash content	64
3.6.2 Carbohydrate content	64
3.6.3 Moisture content	65
3.6.4 Protein content	66
3.6.5 Crude fat content	67
3.7 Isolation and identification of <i>Lactobacillus</i> species	67
3.7.1 Enumeration of bacterial species	67
3.7.2 Identification of bacterial species 3.7.2.1 Gram staining	68 68
3.7.2.2 Catalase	68
3.7.2.3 Oxidase test	69
3.7.2.4 Triple sugar iron test	69
3.7.2.5 Analytical profile index (API)	70
3.8 Probiotic properties	70
3.8.1 Acid tolerance	70
3.8.2 Bile salt tolerance	71
3.8.3 Phenol tolerance	71
3.9 Safety evaluation	72
3.9.1 Antibiotic susceptibility	72
3.9.2 Hemolytic activity	72
3.10 Isolation and identification of spoilage organism from meat	72
3.10.1 Enumeration of spoilage organisms	72
3.10.2 Identification of bacterial isolate	73
3.10.2.1 Gram staining	73
3.10.3 API identification	74
3.11 Preparation of Probiotic <i>Lactobacillus</i> cell-free supernatant (PLCS) 3.12 Antibacterial assay of PLCS against spoilage organisms	74 75
3.12.1 Agar well diffusion assay	75
3.13 Antibacterial assay of <i>Lactobacillus</i> cell culture against spoilage organisms	75

3.13.1 Agar overlay techniques	75
3.14 Shelf life study	75
3.15 Gas chromatography mass spectrum (GCMS) analysis of the PLCS	76
Exhibiting antibacterial activity	
3.16 Statistical analysis	76
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS	77
4.1 Proximate analysis of the fermented drink samples	77
4.2 Total lactic acid bacterial count from fermented drink samples	77
4.3 Morphological and Gram stain reaction of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) isolate	77
From fermented drink samples	
4.4 Identification of <i>Lactobacillus</i> species using API	81
4.5 Probiotic activity	81
4.6 Safety evaluation	87
4.6.1 Antibiotic susceptibility test of the <i>lactobacillus</i> isolates	87
4.6.2 Hemolysis of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates	87
4.7 Identification of spoilage organisms from meat using API	87
4.8 Antibacterial assay of probiotic <i>Lactobacillus</i> spp. cell-free supernatant (PLCS)	87
4.8.1 Antibacterial activity of PLCS against isolated spoilage organisms	87
4.8.2 Antibacterial activity of PLCS against reference organisms	91
4.9 Antibacterial assay of probiotic <i>Lactobacillus</i> spp. cell culture	92
4.9.1 Antibacterial activity of probiotic Lactobacillus spp. cell culture against	92
Isolated spoilage organisms	
4.9.2 Antibacterial activity of probiotic <i>Lactobacillus</i> spp. cell culture against	92
Reference organisms	
4.10 Preservative potential and shelf life of the antibacterial activity of PLCS on meat	96
4.11 GCMS analysis of the PLCS exhibiting antibacterial activity	96

CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION	
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	102
6.1 Summary	102
6.2 Conclusion	103
6.3 Contribution to knowledge	104
6.4 Recommendation	104
6.5 Limitation to study	105
6.6 Areas for further study	105
REFERENCES APPENDIX	106
Appendix A	128
Appendix B	129
Appendix D	132 133
Appendix D Appendix E	133

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURES</b>	LIST OF FIGURES	PAGES
2.1:	Examples of fermented foods	7
2.2:	Fermentation process of Palm wine	9
2.3:	Palm wine production process	10
2.4:	Fermented Palm wine	10
2.5:	Constituent of Palm sap	13
2.6:	Fermentation process of Burukutu	17
2.7:	Burukutu production process	18
2.8:	A micrograph of Lactobacillus	27
2.9:	A micrograph of Lactococci	29
2.10:	A micrograph of Streptococci	30
2.11:	A micrograph of Leuconostoc	32
2.12:	Inhibitory activity of Lactic Acid Bacteria	38
2.13:	Mechanism of inhibiting the pathogenic cells responsible for meat degradation	61
4.1:	pH Tolerance	83
4.2:	Bile Tolerance	84
4.3:	Phenol Tolerance	85
4.4:	Probiotic activity of the LAB isolates from Fermented drink	86

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLES</b>	LIST OF TABLES	<b>PAGES</b>
2.1:	Commonly identified probiotic species	34
2.2:	Some common spoilage bacteria associated with meat	50
2.3	and their spoilage characteristics Gaps in knowledge	62
4.1	Proximate analysis of fermented drink samples	78
4.2	Total Lactic Acid Bacterial Count from fermented drink Samples	79
4.3	Morphological and Gram staining of Bacterial isolates From fermented drink sample	80
4.4	Lactobacillus species identification using API	82
4.6a	Antibiotic susceptibility test carried out on <i>Lactobacillus</i> Isolates from fermented drink samples	88
4.6b	Hemolysis of the <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from fermented	89
	Drink samples	
4.7	API 50 CHL Identification of isolated spoilage bacteria From meat	90
4.8a	Inhibitory activities exhibited by Lactobacillus spp. CFS	91
	Against isolated spoilage organisms	
4.8b	Inhibitory activities exhibited by Lactobacillus spp. CFS	93
	Against reference organisms	
4.9a	Inhibitory activities exhibited by Lactobacillus spp. Cell	94
	Culture against isolated spoilage organisms	
4.9b	Inhibitory activities exhibited by Lactobacillus spp. Cell	95
	Culture against reference organisms	
4.10	Preservative properties and shelf life study of probiotic	97
	Lactobacillus cell-free supernatant	
4.11	Volatile compounds with antimicrobial/antibacterial	98
	Activity Identified in CFS of <i>Lactobacillus</i> spp.	

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AHLs Acyl-homoserine lactones

API Analytical profile test

BAs Biogenic amines

CFS Cell-free supernatant

LAB Lactic acid bacteria

LA Lactic acid

MRS De Man, Rogosa and sharpe

QQ Quorum quenching

QS Quorum sensing

SCFAs Short chain fatty acids

TSI Triple sugar iron test

#### **ABSTRACT**

Fermented beverages such as Palm wine (Elaeis guineensis) and Burukutu (Sorghum bicolor) are integral to the Nigerian diet and are often associated with health benefits due to beneficial bacteria like Lactobacillus. This study evaluated the antibacterial activity and preservative properties of probiotic Lactobacillus sp. isolated from fermented drinks. Six locally fermented drink samples (palm wine=3 and burukutu=3) were purchased in Ota, Nigeria. The nutritional contents of the fermented drinks were determined by analysing the protein, carbohydrate, and lipid contents. Lactobacillus species were isolated and identified using morphological, biochemical, and Analytical Profile Index (API 50 CHL). The antibacterial activity of the cell-free supernatant (CFS) of the screened probiotic lactobacilli was tested against spoilage and pathogenic bacterial isolates. Also, the preservative potential and bioactive profiling of the selected *Lactobacillus* CFS were determined. The result showed that the ash (5-24%), carbohydrate (25-29%), and moisture content (37-93%) of Burukutu and Palm wine samples was significantly high in all the fermented drink samples (P> 0.05). A total of six Lactobacillus spp. Including L. plantarum (BB05), L. acidophilus (B006), L. plantarum (PB03), L. fermentum (BA01), L. fermentum (BB06), L. acidophilus (PA03) were isolated from the fermented drink samples. The probiotic activity of Lactobacillus sp. showed that isolates PB03, BO06, and BB06 exhibited a high survival rate (109-187%) pH 2, bile (1.0%), and phenol (1%), respectively, indicating the isolates' ability to tolerate low pH and bile salts in the gastrointestinal tract. For the antibacterial activity of Lactobacillus spp. cell cultures against isolated spoilage bacteria, BBO6, PB03, and BA01, showed significant inhibition (7-25mm%), against P. putida (p>0.05), while for Lactobacillus spp. CFS BB06 showed significant inhibition against all isolated spoilage bacteria and PA03 except for P.fluorescens (p>0.05). All Lactobacillus CFS isolates showed substantial inhibitory activity against E. coli (p>0.05). PB03, BA01, and BB06 showed significant zones against all reference strains. Meat treated with Lactobacillus CFS showed delayed spoilage and maintained quality for up to 48 hours at room temperature (BB06, BA01, PB03, and BO06), contrary to the untreated control at room temperature. The metabolites detected in the CFS of L. fermentum, L. plantarum, and L. acidophilus were 2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol, Benzoic acid, 4-nitroso-, ethyl ester, and n-Hexadecanoic acid. Hence, Lactobacillus species from fermented drinks could be an effective source of antibacterial metabolites with preservative ability for food products.

Keywords: Fermented beverages, Probiotics, Lactobacillus species, Antibacterial activity, Preservative properties