

ON THE EXISTENCE OF PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF
CERTAIN THIRD ORDER NON-LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL
EQUATIONS WITH DELAY

Samuel A. IYASE

Introduction

This paper is devoted to the study of the periodic boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x} + f(\dot{x})\ddot{x} + b\dot{x} + g(t, x(t-\tau)) &= P(t) \\ x(0) - x(2\pi) = \dot{x}(0) - \dot{x}(2\pi) = \ddot{x}(0) - \ddot{x}(2\pi) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

with fixed delay $\tau \in (0, 2\pi)$, where $b < 0$ is a constant, $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous, $P: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and $g: [0, 2\pi] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ are 2π periodic in t and g satisfies certain Caratheodory conditions. The unknown function $x: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is defined for $0 < t \leq \tau$ by $x(t-\tau) = x[2\pi - (t-\tau)]$.

The differential equation

$$\ddot{x} + a\dot{x} + f(x)\dot{x} + g(t, x(t-\tau)) = P(t)$$

in which $a \neq 0$ is a constant was the object of a recent study [6]. Results on the existence and uniqueness of 2π periodic solutions were established subject to certain resonant conditions on g .

In the sequel we shall use the spaces $C([0, 2\pi])$, $C^k([0, 2\pi])$ and $L^k([0, 2\pi])$ of continuous, k times continuously differentiable or measurable real functions whose k^{th} power of the absolute value is Lebesgue integrable. We shall make use of the Sobolev spaces $W_{2\pi}^{3,1}$ and $H_{2\pi}^1$ respectively defined by

$$W_{2\pi}^{3,1} = \{x: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \mid x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x} \text{ are absolutely continuous on } [0, 2\pi]\}$$

with norm

$$\|x\|_{W_{2\pi}^{3,1}} = \int_0^{2\pi} |x(t)| dt + \int_0^{2\pi} |\dot{x}(t)| dt + \int_0^{2\pi} |\ddot{x}(t)| dt + \int_0^{2\pi} |\ddot{x}(t)| dt$$

and

where a, b are constants and $c \in L^1_{2\pi}$.

THEOREM 2.1

Let $b < 0$ and set $b^{-1}c(t) = \Gamma(t) \in L^1_{2\pi}$

Suppose that

$$0 < \Gamma(t) < 1, t \in [0, 2\pi] \quad (2.5)$$

Then for arbitrary a , the equation (2.4) admits in $W^{3,1}_{2\pi}$ only the trivial solution.

PROOF

We shall rewrite (2.4) in the form

$$b^{-1}\ddot{x} + b^{-1}a\dot{x} + \dot{x} + \Gamma(t)x(t-\tau) = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

$$x(0) - x(2\pi) = \dot{x}(0) - \dot{x}(2\pi) = \ddot{x}(0) - \ddot{x}(2\pi) = 0$$

If x is a possible solution of (2.4) then since

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} b^{-1}a(\bar{x} + \dot{x}(t))\ddot{x}(t) dt = 0$$

as can be easily verified, we have from (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\bar{x} + \dot{x}(t))[b^{-1}\ddot{x} + b^{-1}a\dot{x} + \dot{x} + \Gamma(t)x(t-\tau)] dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\bar{x} + \dot{x}(t))[b^{-1}\ddot{x} + \dot{x} + \Gamma(t)x(t-\tau)] dt \\ &= \frac{-b^{-1}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ddot{x}^2(t) dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{x}^2(t) dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\bar{x} + \dot{x}(t))\Gamma(t)x(t-\tau) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{x}^2(t) dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Gamma(t)\bar{x}^2 dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Gamma(t)\bar{x}\dot{x}(t-\tau) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Gamma(t)\dot{x}(t)x(t-\tau) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using the identity

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= \frac{[a+b]^2}{2} - \frac{a^2}{2} - \frac{b^2}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{x}^2(t) dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Gamma(t)\bar{x}^2 dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Gamma(t)\bar{x}\dot{x}(t-\tau) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Gamma(t) \left\{ \frac{[x(t-\tau) + \dot{x}(t)]^2}{2} - \frac{\dot{x}^2(t)}{2} - \frac{x^2(t-\tau)}{2} \right\} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{x}^2(t) dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Gamma(t)\bar{x}^2 dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Gamma(t)\bar{x}\dot{x}(t-\tau) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Gamma(t) \left\{ \frac{[x(t-\tau) + \dot{x}(t)]^2}{2} - \frac{\dot{x}^2(t)}{2} - \frac{\bar{x}^2(t-\tau)}{2} - \bar{x}\dot{x}(t-\tau) - \frac{\bar{x}^2}{2} \right\} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{x}^2(t) dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma(t)}{2} [\dot{x}^2(t) + \bar{x}^2(t-\tau)] dt \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [\bar{x} + \dot{\bar{x}}(t)] [b^{-1} \ddot{\bar{x}} + \dot{\bar{x}} + V(t)x(t-\tau)] dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} [-b^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \ddot{\bar{x}}^2(t) dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{\bar{x}}^2(t) dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [\bar{x} + \dot{\bar{x}}(t)] V(t)x(t-\tau) dt] \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{\bar{x}}^2(t) dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [\bar{x} + \dot{\bar{x}}] V(t)x(t-\tau) dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{\bar{x}}^2(t) dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{V(t)}{2} [\dot{\bar{x}}^2(t) + \bar{x}^2(t-\tau)] dt \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{V(t)}{2} \{ [x(t-\tau) + \dot{\bar{x}}(t)]^2 + \bar{x}^2 \} dt \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{\bar{x}}^2(t) dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{V(t)}{2} [\dot{\bar{x}}^2(t) + \bar{x}^2(t-\tau)] dt \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{\bar{x}}^2(t) dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(\Gamma(t) + \epsilon)}{2} [\dot{\bar{x}}^2 + \bar{x}^2(t-\tau)] dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{\bar{x}}^2(t) dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Gamma(t) \left[\frac{\dot{\bar{x}}^2}{2} + \frac{\bar{x}^2(t-\tau)}{2} \right] dt \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \epsilon \left[\frac{\dot{\bar{x}}^2}{2} + \frac{\bar{x}^2(t-\tau)}{2} \right] dt
 \end{aligned}$$

Arguing like in theorem 2.1

$$\geq \delta |\bar{x}|_{H^1_{2\pi}}^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\frac{\dot{\bar{x}}^2}{2} + \frac{\bar{x}^2(t-\tau)}{2} \right] dt$$

Using Wirtingers Inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \delta |\bar{x}|_{H^1_{2\pi}}^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\frac{\dot{\bar{x}}^2}{2} + \frac{\bar{x}^2(t-\tau)}{2} \right] dt \\
 &= \delta |\bar{x}|_{H^1_{2\pi}}^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{\bar{x}}^2 dt \\
 &\geq \delta |\bar{x}|_{H^1_{2\pi}}^2 - \epsilon |\bar{x}|_{H^1_{2\pi}}^2 \\
 &= (\delta - \epsilon) |\bar{x}|_{H^1_{2\pi}}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

We shall consider the non-linear delay equation

$$\ddot{x} + f(\dot{x})\dot{x} + b\dot{x} + g(t, x(t-\tau)) = P(t) \quad (3.2)$$

$$x(0) - x(2\pi) = \dot{x}(0) - \dot{x}(2\pi) = \ddot{x}(0) - \ddot{x}(2\pi) = 0$$

where $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a continuous function and $g: [0, 2\pi] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is such that $g(\cdot, x)$ is measurable on $[0, 2\pi]$ for each $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and $g(t, \cdot)$ is continuous on \mathbf{R} for almost each $t \in [0, 2\pi]$.

We assume moreover that for each $r > 0$ there exists $\gamma_r \in L^1_{2\pi}$ such that

$$|g(t, x)| \leq \gamma_r(t) \quad (3.3)$$

Let

$$X = W_{2\pi}^{4,1}, \quad Z = L_{2\pi}^1$$

$\text{dom } L = \{x \in X : x(0) - x(2\pi) = \dot{x}(0) - \dot{x}(2\pi) = \ddot{x}(0) - \ddot{x}(2\pi) = 0 \text{ and } \dot{x}, \ddot{x} \text{ are absolutely continuous on } [0, 2\pi]\}$

Define as in [2]

$$\begin{aligned} L: \quad & \text{dom } LCX \rightarrow Z, \quad x \rightarrow \ddot{x} + bx \\ F: \quad & X \rightarrow Z, \quad x \rightarrow f(\dot{x})\ddot{x}(t) \\ G: \quad & X \rightarrow Z, \quad x \rightarrow \bar{Y}(t, x(t-\tau))x(t-\tau) \\ H: \quad & X \rightarrow Z, \quad x \rightarrow h(t, x(t-\tau)) \\ A: \quad & X \rightarrow Z, \quad x \rightarrow \Gamma(t)x(t-\tau) \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.8) is equivalent to

$$Lx + Fx + Gx + Hx = P(t) \quad (3.9)$$

The existence of a solution will follow from theorem 4.5 in [3] if we show that the possible solutions of the equation

$$Lx + \lambda Fx + (1-\lambda)Ax + \lambda Gx + \lambda Hx = \lambda P(t) \quad (4.0)$$

In $\text{dom } L$ are *a priori* bounded independently of $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

By our construction we have that

$$0 < (1-\lambda)\Gamma(t) + \lambda\bar{Y}(t, x(t-\tau)) \leq \Gamma(t) + \frac{\delta}{2} \quad (4.1)$$

Hence by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [\bar{x} + \dot{\bar{x}}(t)] \{ b^{-1}\bar{x} + \lambda b^{-1}f(\dot{\bar{x}})\ddot{\bar{x}} + \dot{\bar{x}} + [(1-\lambda)\Gamma(t) + \lambda\bar{Y}(t, x(t-\tau))]x(t-\tau) \} dt \\ \geq \frac{\delta}{2} |\bar{x}|_{H_{2\pi}^1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } 0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [\bar{x} + \dot{\bar{x}}(t)] \{ b^{-1}\bar{x} + \lambda b^{-1}f(\dot{\bar{x}})\ddot{\bar{x}} + \dot{\bar{x}} + [(1-\lambda)\Gamma(t) \\ &\quad + \lambda\bar{Y}(t, x(t-\tau))]x(t-\tau) + \lambda h(t, x(t-\tau)) - \lambda P(t) \} dt \\ &\geq \frac{\delta}{2} |\bar{x}|_{H_{2\pi}^1}^2 - 2\pi(|Y_0|_{L^1} + |P|_{L^1}) (|\bar{x}| + |\dot{\bar{x}}|_c) \\ &\geq \frac{\delta}{2} |\bar{x}|_{H_{2\pi}^1}^2 - \beta (|\bar{x}| + |\bar{x}|_{H_{2\pi}^1}^2) \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Hence

$$|\bar{x}|_{H_{2\pi}^1}^2 \leq \beta_1 (|\bar{x}| + |\bar{x}|_{H_{2\pi}^1}^2)$$

for some constant $\beta_1 > 0$. On integrating (4.0) and using the arguments in [5] we obtain

$$|x|_{H_{2\pi}^1} \leq \beta_2 \quad (4.3)$$

where $\beta_2 > 0$ is a constant. The inequality (4.3) implies that

- [2] J. Mawhin and J.R. Ward, *Non-uniform non-resonant conditions at the two first eigenvalues of periodic solutions of forced Lienard and Duffing equations*. Rocky Mountain J. Math **12** (1982) 643-654.
- [3] J. Mawhin, *Topological degree methods in nonlinear problems*, CBMS conference in Math No. 140 Amer. Math. Soc. Providence R.I. 1979.
- [4] J. Mawhin, *Compacité, Monotonie et convexité dans L'étude de Problemes aux Limites semi-Linéaires*, Sémin. Anal. Moderne No. 19, Université de Sherbrooke, Quebec 1981.
- [5] E. De Pascale and R. Iannaci, *Periodic solutions of generalised Lienard equations with delay*, Proceedings equadiff 82, Wurzburg 1982. 148-156.
- [6] H.O. Tejumola, *Existence of periodic solutions of certain third order non-linear differential equations with delay I*. J. Nigerian Math. Soc. **7** (1988), 59-66.

Department of Mathematics
Statistics and Computer Science
University of Abuja
Abuja, Nigeria.