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We study the periodic boundary value problem
$\dddot{x}(t)+f(\dot{x}(t)) \ddot{x}(t)+g(t, \dot{x}(t-\tau))+h(x(t))=p(t)$
$x(0)-x(2 \pi)=\dot{x}(0)-\dot{x}(2 \pi)=\ddot{x}(0)-\ddot{x}(2 \pi)=0$
under some resonant conditions on the asymptotic behaviour of $x^{-1} g(t, x)$ for $|x|$
$\rightarrow \infty$. The uniqueness of periodic solutions is also examined.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the periodic boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dddot{x}+f(\dot{x}) \ddot{x}+g(t, \dot{x}(t-\tau))+h(x)=p(t)  \tag{1.1}\\
x(0)-x(2 \pi)=\dot{x}(0)-\dot{x}(2 \pi)=\ddot{x}(0)-\dddot{x}(2 \pi)=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

with fixed delay $\tau \in[0,2 \pi)$, where $f: R \rightarrow R$ is continuous, $P:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow R$ and $g:[0,2 \pi] \times R \rightarrow R$ are $2 \pi$-periodic in $t$ and $g$ satisfies certain Caratheodory conditions. The unknown function $x:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow R$ is defined for $0<t \leq \tau$ by $x(t-\tau)=[2 \pi-(t-\tau)]$. We are specifically concerned with the existence of periodic solutions of eqn. (1.1) under some resonant conditions.

The differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dddot{x}+a \ddot{x}+f(x) \dot{x}+g(t, x(t-\tau))=p(t) \\
& x(0)-x(2 \pi)=\dot{x}(0)-\dot{x}(2 \pi), \dddot{x}(0)-\ddot{x}(2 \pi)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

in which $a \neq 0$ is a constant and

PROOF : We consider a solution of the form $x(t)=e^{\lambda s}$ where $\lambda=$ in with $i^{2}=-1$.

Then Lemma 2.1 will follow if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(n, \tau)=-n^{2}+b \cos n \tau \neq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and $\tau \in[0,2 \pi)$
By (2.2) we get

$$
\psi(n, \tau) \leqslant-n^{2}+b<0
$$

Therefore $\psi(n, \tau) \neq 0$ and the result follows. If $x \in L_{2 \pi}^{1}$ we shall write

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} x(t) d t, \tilde{x}(t)=x(t)-\bar{x}
$$

so that

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \tilde{x}(t) d t=0
$$

Our next result concerns the delay equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dddot{x}+a \ddot{x}+b(t) \dot{x}(t-\tau)+c x=0 \\
& x(0)-x(2 \pi)=\dot{x}(0)-\dot{x}(2 \pi)=\ddot{x}(0)-\ddot{x}(2 \pi)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, c$ are constants and $b \in L_{2 \pi}^{2}$.
Theorem 2.1 - Let $c \neq 0$. Suppose that $b(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<b(t)<1, t \in[0,2 \pi] \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Then for arbitrary $a$ eqn. (2.4) admits in $W_{2 \pi}^{3,2}$ only the trivial solution.

PROOF : If $x$ is a possible solution of (2.4) then since

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}-\dot{\tilde{x}}(a \ddot{x}+c x) d t=0
$$

as can be easily verified, we have from (2.5) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}-\dot{\tilde{x}}(\dddot{x}+a \ddot{x}+b(t) \dot{x}(t-\tau)+c x) d t \\
&=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\ddot{\tilde{x}}^{2}-b(t) \dot{\tilde{x}} \dot{x}(t-\tau)\right) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof : Integrating by parts and using the identity

$$
-a b=\frac{[a-b]^{2}}{2}-\frac{a^{2}}{2}-\frac{b^{2}}{2}
$$

and noting that

$$
\left.\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}-\dot{\tilde{x}}(t)(a \ddot{x}+c x)\right) d t=0
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{00}^{2 \pi}-\tilde{x}(\dddot{x}+V(t) \dot{x}(t-\tau)) d t \\
&=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\ddot{\tilde{x}}^{2}(t)-V(t) \dot{\tilde{x}}^{2}(t)\right) d t \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\ddot{\tilde{x}}^{2}(t)-b(t) \dot{\tilde{x}}^{2}\right) d t-\frac{\varepsilon}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \dot{\tilde{x}}^{2}(t) d t \\
& \geq \delta|\tilde{\tilde{x}}|_{H_{2 x}^{\prime}}^{2}-\varepsilon \mid \dot{\tilde{x}}_{2}^{2} \\
& \geq \delta|\ddot{\tilde{x}}|_{2}^{2}-\varepsilon|\ddot{\tilde{x}}|_{2}^{2} \\
&=(\delta-\varepsilon)|\ddot{x}|_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall next consider the non-linear delay equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dddot{x}+f(\dot{x}) \ddot{x}+g(t, \dot{x}(t, \tau))+h(x)=p(t) \\
& x(0)-x(2 \pi)=\dot{x}(0)-\dot{x}(2 \pi)=\ddot{x}(0)-\ddot{x}(2 \pi)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f, h: R \rightarrow R$ are continuous functions and $g:[0,2 \pi] \times R \rightarrow R$ is. such that $g(\cdot x)$ is measurable on $[0,2 \pi]$ for each $x \in R$ and $g(t, \cdot)$ is continuous on $R$ for almost each $t \in[0,2 \pi]$.

We assume moreover that for each $r>0$ there exists $\gamma_{r} \in L_{2 \pi}^{2}$ such that $|g(t, y)| \leq \gamma_{r}(t)$ for a.e $t \in[0,2 \pi]$ and all $x \in[-r, r]$ such a $g$ is said to satisfy Caratheodory's conditions.

Theorem 3.1 - Let $g$ be a Caratheodory's function with respect to the space $L_{2 \pi}^{2}$ such that
(i) There exists $s>0$ such that
$x g(t, x) \geq 0$ for $|x| \geq s$

Define as in Mawhin and Ward ${ }^{5}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L: \operatorname{dom} L C x \rightarrow z, x \rightarrow \dddot{x} \\
& F: x \rightarrow z, x \rightarrow f(\dot{x}) \ddot{x} \\
& G: x \rightarrow z, x \rightarrow \tilde{\gamma}(t, \dot{x}(t-\tau)) \dot{x}(t-\tau) \\
& H: x \rightarrow z, x \rightarrow h(x) \\
& A: x \rightarrow z, x \rightarrow b(t) \dot{x}(t-\tau) \\
& G_{0}: x \rightarrow z, x \rightarrow g_{0}(t, \dot{x}(t-\tau))
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of the theorem will follow from Theorem 4.5 of Mawhin ${ }^{7}$ if we show that the possible solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L x+\lambda F x+(1-\lambda) A x+\lambda G x+\lambda G_{0} x+(1-\lambda) c x+\lambda H x=\lambda p(t) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$ are a priori bounded independently of $\lambda \in[0,1]$.
For $\lambda=0$ we get the equation

$$
\dddot{x}+b(t) \dot{x}(t-\tau)+c x=0
$$

which by theorem (2.1) has only the trivial solution.
Observe that

$$
0 \leq(1-\lambda) b(t)+\lambda \tilde{\gamma}(t, \dot{x}(t-\tau)) \leq b(t)+\frac{\delta}{2} .
$$

Hence by Lemma 3.1 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}-\dot{\tilde{x}}(t)\{\ddot{x}+\lambda f(\dot{x}) \ddot{x}+[(1-\lambda) b(t)+\lambda \tilde{\gamma}(t, \dot{x}(t-\tau))] \\
& \dot{x}(t-\tau)+(1-\lambda) c x\} \\
& \geq \frac{\delta}{2}|\ddot{x}|_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} & -\dot{\tilde{x}}(t)\{\ddot{x}+\lambda f(\dot{x}) \ddot{x}+[(1-\lambda) b(t) \\
& +\lambda \tilde{\gamma}(t, \dot{x}(t-\tau))] \dot{x}(t-\tau)+(1-\lambda) c x \\
& \left.+\lambda g_{0}(t, \dot{x}(t-\tau))+\lambda h(x)-\lambda p(t)\right\} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.8) we obtain

$$
x(t)=x\left(t^{*}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{t^{*}}^{2 \pi} \dot{x}(s) d s
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|_{\infty} \leq \beta_{6}+|\dot{x}|_{\infty} \leq \beta_{6}+\beta_{2}=\beta_{7} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\beta_{7}>0$
From eqn. (3.5) and by continuity of $h$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\dddot{x}|_{1} \leq \beta_{8} \quad \text { for some } \beta_{8}>0 \tag{4.0}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now since $\dot{x}(0)=\dot{x}(2 \pi)$, there exists $t_{0} \in(0,2 \pi)$ such that $\ddot{x}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. Hence

$$
\ddot{x}(t)=\ddot{x}\left(t_{0}\right)+\int_{t_{0}}^{2 \pi} \ddot{x}(s) d s .
$$

## Therefore

$|\ddot{x}|_{\infty} \leq \beta_{9}$ for some $\beta_{9}>0$.
Hence

$$
|x|_{c^{2}}=|x|_{\infty}+|\dot{x}|_{\infty}+|\ddot{x}|_{\infty} \leq \beta_{7}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{9}=\beta_{10}
$$

Choosing $\rho>\beta_{10}>0$ we obtain the required a priori bound in $c^{2}[0,2 \pi]$ independently of $x$ and $\lambda$.

## 4. Uniqueness Result

If in (1.1) $f(x)=a, h(x)=d$ where $a$ and $d$ are constants, then we have the following uniqueness result.

Theorem 4.1 - Let $a$ and $d$ be constants with $d>0$. Suppose $g$ is a Caratheodory function satisfying

$$
0 \leq \frac{g\left(t, \dot{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)-g\left(t, \dot{x}_{2}\right)}{\left(\dot{x}_{1}-\dot{x}_{2}\right)} \leq b(t)
$$

for all $\dot{x}_{1}, \dot{x}_{2} \in R, \dot{x}_{1} \neq \dot{x}_{2}$, where $b(t) \in L_{2 \pi}^{2}$ is scuh that $0<b(t)<1$. Then for all arbitrary constant $a$ and every $\tau \in[0,2 \pi)$ the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dddot{x}+a \ddot{x}+g(t, \dot{x}(t-\tau))+d x=p(t)  \tag{4.1}\\
& x(0)-x(2 \pi)=\dot{x}(0)-\dot{x}(2 \pi)=\ddot{x}(0)-\ddot{x}(2 \pi)=0
\end{align*}
$$

has at most one solution

