

***In vitro* Assessment of the Free Radical Scavenging Activity of Psidium Guajava**

¹O.E. Ogunlana and ²O.O. Ogunlana

¹Department of Biological Sciences, Crawford University,
Faith City, Km 8, Atan- Agbara Road, Igbesa, Ogun State, Nigeria.

²Department of Biological Science, Covenant University,
Canaan Land, 10 Idi Iroko Road, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria.

Abstract: Observable significant revisit of ancient approach to prophylaxis and anaphylaxis (herbaltherapy), though with modern dimensions of study envelopes our world of research today. Reports on the medicinal use of parts of *Psidium guajava* (Myrtaceae), including leaves and stem barks have been reported in, and beyond Africa. Air dried leaves of *P. guajava* were powdered and extracted with 95% v/v methanol by maceration, and the extract concentrated at 40°C using Rotary evaporator. The weight of the extracted plant material was recorded for yield calculations. *In vitro* assessment of the ability of the extract to scavenge the Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS), hydrogen peroxide, superoxide and the synthetic radical 1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) was determined with reference to the synthetic antioxidant Butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA). Plant extract showed concentration- dependent scavenging activity on all reactive species used. Scavenging activity of plant extract on hydrogen peroxide and superoxide was more than that of BHA on same. However, BHA showed greater DPPH scavenging activity than plant extract.

Key words: *Psidium guajava*, BHA, DPPH, Superoxide, Hydrogen peroxide, ROS.

INTRODUCTION

Free radicals are associated with various physiological and pathological events such as inflammation, aging, mutagenicity and carcinogenicity. Simply defined, the term free radicals refer to any chemical species (capable of independent existence) possessing one or more unpaired electrons, an unpaired electron being one that is alone in an orbital. When paired in orbital, (the two electrons in an orbital have different spin directions), electrons are more stable. Varying reactivities notwithstanding, radicals, free radicals inclusive, have been known to be generally less stable than non-radicals¹. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) capable of damaging DNA, proteins, carbohydrates and lipids are generated in aerobic organisms. These ROS include superoxide anion radical ($O_2^{\cdot-}$), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), hydroxyl radical (OH^{\cdot}), and single molecular oxygen. The deleterious reactions triggered by these ROS are controlled by a system of enzymic and non-enzymic antioxidants which eliminate pro-oxidants and scavenge free radicals². Radical reactions are generally chain reactions. Most free radicals are extremely reactive and this probably explains why they ordinarily exist only in low concentration of the order 10^{-5} to 10^{-9} .

The radicals are generated at the initiation step, then they react in a series of propagation steps in which the number of the free radicals is conserved and termination ensures the destruction of the radicals. Oxygen is essential and central in free radical pathology due to its physicochemical properties such as water solubility and relatively high electron negativity¹. Free radicals, especially the oxygen radical, superoxide, when formed could lead to the formation of other radicals. In fact, the toxicity of $O_2^{\cdot-}$ in living organisms is due to its conversion into OH^{\cdot} and reactive radical-metal complexes. Superoxide and hydrogen peroxide are converted into OH^{\cdot} and other reactive radical complexes through the iron-catalyzed Haber- Weiss reaction or the superoxide driven Fenton reaction³⁻⁵.

The uptake of one electron by molecular oxygen results in the formation of the superoxide anion radical. Superoxide anion radical owes its reactivity to the following factors⁶.

- It is a strong base and can therefore abstract protons from a variety of compounds.
- It is a potent reducing agent. It can reduce quinines to semiquinones and transition metal ions into their reduced forms.

- It is a nucleophile, hence may readily interact with a number of electrophiles.

Though a weak one, it is an oxidant. It may initiate the oxidation of molecules like ascorbic acid or epinephrine following hydrogen abstraction due to its basicity.

Hydrogen peroxide is the second intermediate produced during the stepwise one-electron reduction of molecular oxygen. It may also be generated directly during a two-electron reduction of molecular oxygen. Hydrogen peroxide is a stable molecule. In fact, it can act as both oxidizing and reducing agent. Hydrogen peroxide can generate hydroxyl radicals by an interaction with transition metal ions or a reaction with highly reactive oxidizing agents like NO and NO₂. The hydroxyl radical generated is a highly reactive oxidizing agent; it can abstract hydrogen atom from any hydrogen-carbon bond and partake in any addition reactions with aromatic systems at a reaction rate close to diffusion^[6].

Notable research has been carried out on various parts of *P. guajava*. Permatoprotective activity of the leaf extract, molecular action mechanism against apoptosis by aqueous extract from budding leaves elucidated with human umbilical vein endothelial cell (HUVEC) model, hydrophilic and lipophilic antioxidant activities of fruits, cardio protective effects of extracts against ischemia-reperfusion injury in perfused rat hearts, studies on antimutagenic effects in *Salmonella typhimurium*, antibacterial activity of extracts against food-borne pathogens and spoilage bacteria and a number of other dimensions to the study of the applications and medicinal uses of *P. guajava* have all been reported^[7-20]. In this study, the mode of antioxidant action of the ethanolic extract of *P. guajava* leaves was probed. *In vitro* methods of assessment were used to determine the scavenging activity of the extract on hydrogen peroxide, superoxide and DPPH radicals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Extraction of Plant Materials. *P. guajava* leaves were collected *in situ*, authenticated and deposited at the herbarium, of the Botany Department, University of Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. Leaf samples were air-dried in shade and powdered. 150g of powdered plant leaves was extracted in 900ml, 95% v/v methanol by maceration for 48 hours. After decantation of crude extract, filtration and concentration were carried out using Rotary Evaporator, and the weight of concentrated dried leaves obtained was recorded for the calculation of yield.

Determination of Hydrogen Peroxide (H₂O₂) Scavenging Activity of Plant Extract. Hydrogen Peroxide scavenging activity of plant extract was determined using a modification of the method of Ruch *et al*^[21] by Gow Chin Yen and Hui-Yin Chen^[22]. 4mM solution of H₂O₂ was prepared in phosphate - buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4). H₂O₂ concentration was determined spectrophotometrically from absorbance at 230nm using molar absorptivity 81M⁻¹cm⁻¹. 20 - 400µg plant extract corresponding to 0.05, 0.10, 0.15, 0.20, 0.25ml of 1mg/ml plant extract stock solution in 4ml distilled water were added to 0.6ml hydrogen peroxide-PBS solution. Absorbance of H₂O₂ at 230nm was determined 10 minutes later against a blank solution containing plant extract in PBS without H₂O₂. 20-400µg Buthylated hydroxyanisole was added in place of plant extract in 4ml distilled water and the solution was added to 0.6ml H₂O₂ solution in PBS. Absorbance was determined 10 minutes later against a blank solution similar to that above.

Superoxide Scavenging Activity of Plant Extract. The effect of plant extract on superoxide generated in a non-enzymic system was measured spectrophotometrically^[22]. The reaction mixture consisted of (10-1000µg) dilutions of plant extract made to 1ml with distilled water, 1ml, 60µM phenazine methosulphate (PMS), in phosphate buffer (0.1M, pH7.4) and 150µM, 1ml nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) in phosphate buffer. Incubation at ambient temperature followed for 5minutes, and the resultant colour was read spectrophotometrically at 560nm against a blank. The effect of Buthylated Hydroxytoluene (BHA) was also determined by replacing plant extract with 1ml BHA (10-1000µg) in methanol in the reaction mixture.

Determination of the Effect of Plant Extract on 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (Dpph) Radical. 1mM DPPH solution was prepared by dissolving 31.54mg DPPH in 95% v/v methanol and made up to 50ml with same. DPPH scavenging activity was assessed using the method of Hatano *et al*^[23] as modified by Gow-Chin Yen and Hui-Yin Chen^[22]. 200-1000µg corresponding to 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0ml (1mg/ml) plant extract made up to 4ml with distilled water. 1ml, 1mM DPPH was added to each test tube, shaken and left to stand at room temperature for 30 minutes. Absorbance of the resulting solution was measured spectrophotometrically at 517nm. The effect of BHA on DPPH was also assessed for comparison with that of plant extract. Methanolic dilutions (0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0ml) of 1mg/ml BHA was made to 4ml with distilled water. 1ml DPPH radical (1mM) was added to each tube, and same procedure as in DPPH scavenging experiment was followed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

19.681g of extract was obtained from 150g of powdered leaves after concentration and drying of extracts. Percentage yield was calculated to be 13.12%. Results of the scavenging activity of plant extracts on hydrogen peroxide are shown in Table 1 and Figure 1.

Percentage free radical scavenging activity was calculated using the formula:

$$\% \text{ SA} = \frac{(A_c - A_e)}{A_c} \times 100$$

Where A_c = Absorbance of control
 A_e = Absorbance of extract
 % SA = Percentage scavenging activity

It could be seen from Table 1 that plant extract scavenged H_2O_2 more effectively than BHA. Both BHA and plant extract scavenged H_2O_2 in concentration-dependent manner. Superoxide anion scavenging data shown in Table 2 and corroborated by Figure 2 showed an interesting trend. Even at concentrations as low as 0.05mg/ml, where BHA had less than 6% efficiency, plant extract mopped up more than 60% superoxide anion *in vitro*. Similarly while the percentage hydrogen peroxide activity of plant extract was 32.69% at a minimum concentration of 0.01mg/ml, which of BHA was 21.15% at same concentration. Plant extract and BHA scavenged 73.08% and 53.85% respectively at a maximum concentration of 0.05mg/ml. From Figure 3 (percentage DPPH scavenging activity), a trend that is removed from the one observed for hydrogen peroxide and superoxide anion above could be easily seen. At minimum concentration of 0.04mg/ml, plant extract and BHA scavenged 35.59% and 56.41% DPPH respectively. 61.10% and 82.06% DPPH scavenging activity at a maximum concentration of 0.16mg/ml was observed respectively for plant extract and BHA. Though both plant extract and BHA scavenged DPPH in concentration-dependent manner, BHA displayed better DPPH scavenging efficiency over plant extract. This may have been due to BHA's possession of a methoxy group which increases the accessibility of the radical centre of DPPH to BHA^[24].

Statistical analysis (test of significance) of the data obtained from the free radical scavenging activity of plant extract and BHA using T-test (Paired two-sample for means) showed that the difference between the free radical scavenging activities of plant extract and BHA on the natural ROS used, was significant ($P < 0.05$). However, a non significant difference in DPPH scavenging activity was seen between the two at same confidence limit. In other words, the plant extract's

Table 1: Hydrogen peroxide Scavenging Activity

Conc. of extracts(mg/ml)	Absorbance (230nm)	
	<i>P. guajava</i>	BHA
0.0109	0.035±0.002	0.041±0.001
0.0217	0.032±0.002	0.036±0.002
0.0326	0.029±0.001	0.035±0.001
0.0435	0.018±0.003	0.028±0.001
0.0543	0.014±0.002	0.024±0.003

Absorbance of control = 0.052±0.001

Table 2: Superoxide scavenging activity of plant extract

Conc. of extracts (mg/ml)	Absorbance (560nm)	
	<i>P. guajava</i>	BHA
0.05	0.063±0.002	0.178±0.002
0.1	0.058±0.003	0.171±0.002
0.15	0.038±0.002	0.170±0.002
0.2	0.014±0.002	0.146±0.005
0.25	0.011±0.000	0.142±0.001

Absorbance of control = 0.189±0.003

Table 3: Dpph Scavenging Activity of Plant Extract

Conc. of extracts mg/ml)	Absorbance (517nm)	
	<i>P. guajava</i>	BHA
0.04	0.467±0.009	0.316±0.012
0.08	0.406±0.005	0.302±0.002
0.12	0.313±0.002	0.158±0.031
0.16	0.282±0.026	0.126±0.001
0.04	0.467±0.009	0.316±0.012

Absorbance of control = 0.725±0.012




Fig. 1: Percentage Hydrogen Peroxide Scavenging Activity.




Fig. 2: Percentage Scavenging Activity of Extract on Superoxide Anion.




Fig. 3: percentage DPPH scavenging activity of plant extract

superoxide radical and hydrogen peroxide scavenging activities were significantly higher than that of BHA. Although BHA's DPPH scavenging activity is higher

than that of plant extract, the difference in the DPPH scavenging activity of the two was not significant ($P < 0.05$).

Discussion: Hydrogen peroxide only initiates lipid peroxidation weakly^[25]. However, its ability to produce active oxygen species is due to its ability to generate highly reactive hydroxyl radical through the Fenton reaction^[26]. The ability of plant extract to scavenge H_2O_2 could also reflect its ability to inhibit the formation of hydroxyl radical *in vivo*. Indirect stimulation of lipid oxidation by superoxide as a result of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide act as precursors of singlet oxygen and hydroxyl radical^[27]. Since according to Harber Weiss reaction, both hydrogen peroxide and superoxide radical are required in the presence of metal catalyst for the formation of hydroxyl radical which is the dreaded free radical responsible in combination with molecular oxygen for cellular damages and oxidative degradation of macromolecules, it is therefore not illogical to presume that the marked ability of plant extract to scavenge both hydrogen peroxide and superoxide anion to a remarkable extent would culminate in remarkable hydroxyl radical formation, hence protection of macromolecules from oxidative damage. *In vivo* studies are however required to confirm this presumption.

BHA's better efficiency at scavenging DPPH may have been due to its possession of a methoxy group which increases the accessibility of the radical centre of DPPH to BHA^[28].

Extrapolating from Figures 1 to 3, the IC_{50} of *P. guajava* scavenging activity on hydrogen peroxide is 0.037mg/ml while the extract's IC_{50} for its scavenging activity on both $SO_2^{\cdot -}$ and DPPH could not be determined within the extract concentrations used for the experiment. The minimum plant extract concentration (0.05mg/ml) used for $SO_2^{\cdot -}$ scavenging had above the 50% scavenging effect, required to be measured for IC_{50} determination. Similarly, the maximum plant extract concentration used for DPPH scavenging experiment did not scavenge up to 50% DPPH. Conversely, only the IC_{50} for BHA's superoxide scavenging activity could not be determined from the range of concentration of BHA used for the experiment. IC_{50} for hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity of BHA was 0.049mg/ml, while that of its DPPH scavenging activity was 0.10mg/ml. These results agree with previous works which obtained an IC_{50} a concentration above the maximum extract concentration used in present work for DPPH radical scavenging activity of *P. guajava* extract^[29,30].

This research provides information which could trigger further research in the direction of partial or full isolation and characterization of the constituents of leaf extract of *P. guajava* in order to decipher the specific phytochemical constituent(s) responsible for the free radical scavenging activity of the plant. Where successful, the phytochemical(s) could be packaged in the appropriate dose(s) for the prevention of the onset of carcinogenesis, delay in the process of ageing, as well as the prevention of other free radical-induced health conditions.

Conclusion: Guarded inferences about the mechanism of antioxidant action of the methanolic extract of *Psidium guajava* leaves can be made from the present data. The remarkable mop-up potential of hydrogen peroxide and superoxide by the plant leaves could present a possible amelioration for the damages connected with hydroxyl radical to macromolecules by the following mechanisms among others:

- Scavenging hydrogen peroxide
- Scavenging superoxide anion radical
- Inhibiting the formation of hydroxyl radical from both hydrogen peroxide and superoxide as powered by Harber-Weiss reaction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We Wish to appreciate Dr. Obuotor and Dr. Osoniyi, of the Biochemistry Department, Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria; Dr. Farombi of the Drug Metabolism and Toxicology unit of the Biochemistry Department, University of Ibadan, Oyo state, Nigeria for their supervisory attention.

REFERENCES

1. Tappel, A.L. 1970. Lipid peroxidation damage to all components. Federation Proceedings, 32(8): 1870-4.
2. Arouma, O.I., 1996. Characterization of drugs as antioxidant prophylactics. Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 20(5): 675-705.
3. Goldstein, S., D. Meyerstein and G. Czapski, 1993. The Fenton reagents. Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 15: 435-445.
4. Fenton, H.J.H., 1894. Oxidation of tartaric acid in the presence of iron. Journal of Chemical Society, 65: 899-910.
5. Koppenol, W.H., 1993. The centennial of the Fenton reaction. Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 15: 645-651.

6. Maged, Y., 1999. Free Radicals and Reactive Oxygen Species. In Toxicology, Eds., Marquardt H., S.G. Schafer, R.O. McClellan and F. Welsch. Academic Press, pp: 111-125.
7. Rai, P.K., S.K. Singh, A.N. Kesari and G. Watal, 2007. Glycaemic evaluation of *Psidium guajava* in rats. Indian Journal of Medicinal Research, 126: 224-7.
8. Owen, P.L., T. Matainaho, M. Sirois and T. Johns, 2007. Endothelial cytoprotection from oxidized LDL by some crude Melanesian plant extracts is not related to their antioxidant capacity. Journal of Biochemical and Molecular Toxicology, 21(5): 231-42.
9. Hsieh, C.L., C.N. Huang, Y.C. Lin and R.Y. Peng, 2007. Molecular action mechanism against apoptosis by aqueous extract from guava budding leaves elucidated with human umbilical vein endothelial cell (HUVEC) model. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 55(21): 8523-33.
10. Magassouba, F.B., A. Diallo, M. Kouyaté, F. Mara, O. Mara, O. Bangoura, A. Camara, S. Traoré, A.K. Diallo, M. Zaoro, K. Lamah, S. Diallo, G. Camara, S. Traoré, A. Kéita, M.K. Camara, R. Barry, S. Kéita, K. Oularé, M.S. Barry, M. Donzo, K. Camara, K. Toté, D.V. Berghe, J. Totté, L. Pieters, A.J. Vlietinck and A.M. Baldé, 2007. Ethnobotanical survey and antibacterial activity of some plants used in Guinean traditional medicine. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 114(1): 44-53.
11. Kaileh, M., W.V. Berghe, E. Boone, T. Essawi and G. Haegeman, 2007. Screening of indigenous Palestinian medicinal plants for potential anti-inflammatory and cytotoxic activity. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 113(3): 510-6.
12. Wang, B., S. Jiao, H. Liu and J. Hong, 2007. Study on antioxidative activities of *Psidium guajava* Linn leaves extracts. Wei Sheng Yan Jiu, 36(3): 298-300.
13. Begum, S., S.N. Asli, S.I. Hassan and B.S. Siddiqui, 2007. A new ethylene glycol triterpenoid from the leaves of *Psidium guajava*. Natural Product Research, 21(8): 742-8.
14. Paranhos, B.A., J.M. Walder and C.D. Alvarenga, 2007. [Parasitism on medfly by *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) in different guava cultivars]. Neotropical Entomology, 36(2): 243-6.
15. Chen, K.C. C.L. Hsieh, C.C. Peng, H.M. Hsieh-Li, H.S. Chiang, K.D. Huang and R.Y. Peng, 2007. Brain derived metastatic prostate cancer DU-145 cells are effectively inhibited in vitro by guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) leaf extracts. Nutrition and Cancer, 58(1): 93-106.
16. Rattanachaiksompon, P. and P. Phumkhachorn, 2007. Bacteriostatic effect of flavonoids isolated from leaves of *Psidium guajava* on fish pathogens. Fitoterapia, 78(6): 434-6.
17. Wang, B., H.C. Liu, J.R. Hong, H.G. Li and C.Y. Huang, 2007. [Effect of *Psidium guajava* leaf extract on alpha-glucosidase activity in small intestine of diabetic mouse] Sichuan Da Xue Xue Bao. Yi Xue Ban, 38(2): 298-301.
18. Olatunji-Bello, I.I., A.J. Odusanya, I. Raji and C.O. Ladipo, 2007. Contractile effect of the aqueous extract of *Psidium guajava* leaves on aortic rings in rat. Fitoterapia, 78(3): 241-3.
19. Carasek, E. and J. Pawliszyn, 2006. Screening of tropical fruit volatile compounds using solid-phase microextraction (SPME) fibers and internally cooled SPME fiber. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 15; 54(23): 8688-96.
20. Ojewole, J.A., 2006. Antiinflammatory and analgesic effects of *Psidium guajava* Linn. (Myrtaceae) leaf aqueous extract in rats and mice. Methods and Findings in Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology, 28(7): 441-6.
21. Ruch, R.J., S.J. Cheng and J.E. Klauning, 1989. Prevention of cytotoxicity and inhibition of intercellular communication antioxidant catechins isolated from Chinese green tea. Carcinogenesis, 10: 1003-1008.
22. Gow-Chin, Y., and C. Hui-Yin, 1995. Antioxidant activity of various tea extracts in relation to their antimutagenicity. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 43: 27-32.
23. Hatano, T., H. Kagawa, T. Yasuhara and T. Okuda, 1988. Two new flavonoids and other constituents in licorice roots: their relative astringency and radical scavenging effects. Chemical and Pharmacological Bulletin, 36: 2090-7.
24. Sanchez-Moreno, C., J.A. Larrauric and I. Sauro-Calisto, 1998. Procedure to measuring the antiradical efficiency of polyphenols. Journal of Science and Food Agriculture, 76: 270-6.
25. Cohen, G. and R. Heikkila, 1974. The generation of hydrogen peroxide, superoxide and hydroxyl radical by P-hydroxyl dopamine, dialuric acid and related cytotoxic agents. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 249: 2477-2452
26. Namiki, M., 1990. Antioxidants / Antimutagens in food. Critical Review of Food Science and Nutrition, 29: 273-300.
27. Kellog, E.W. and I. Fridovrich, 1988. Superoxide, hydrogen peroxide and singlet oxygen in lipid peroxidation by a xanthine oxidase system. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 263: 4704-11.

28. Gow- Chin Y. and D. Pin-Der, 1994. Scavenging effect of methanolic extracts of peanut hulls on free radicals and active- oxygen species. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 42: 629-632
29. Amanda, R.R.V. and M. Fabio de Sousa, 2007. Antioxidant activity of plant tinctures commonly sold in pharmacies and indicated for several types of diseases using the DPPH methodology. *Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia*, 17(3): 384-387.
30. Hui-Yin, C., L. Yuh-Charn and H. Chui-Lan, 2007. Evaluation of antioxidant activity of aqueous extract of some selected nutraceutical herbs. *Food Chemistry*, 104(4): 1418-24.