Stock Price Prediction Using the ARIMA Model

¹Ayodele A. Adebiyi., ²Aderemi O. Adewumi

^{1,2}School of Mathematic, Statistics & Computer Science University of KwaZulu-Natal Durban, South Africa

email: {adebiyi, adewumia}@ukzn.ac.za

³Charles K. Ayo

³Department of Computer & Information Sciences Covenant University Ota, Nigeria

email: charles.ayo@covenantuniversity.edu.ng

Abstract— Stock price prediction is an important topic in finance and economics which has spurred the interest of researchers over the years to develop better predictive models. The autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) models have been explored in literature for time series prediction. This paper presents extensive process of building stock price predictive model using the ARIMA model. Published stock data obtained from New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and Nigeria Stock Exchange (NSE) are used with stock price predictive model developed. Results obtained revealed that the ARIMA model has a strong potential for short-term prediction and can compete favourably with existing techniques for stock price prediction.

Keywords- ARIMA model, Stock Price prediction, Stock market, Short-term prediction.

I. INTRODUCTION

Prediction will continue to be an interesting area of research making researchers in the domain field always desiring to improve existing predictive models. The reason is that institutions and individuals are empowered to make investment decisions and ability to plan and develop effective strategy about their daily and future endevours. Stock price prediction is regarded as one of most difficult task to accomplish in financial forecasting due to complex nature of stock market [1, 2, 3]. The desire of many investors is to lay hold of any forecasting method that could guarantee easy profiting and minimize investment risk from the stock market. This remains a motivating factor for researchers to evolve and develop new predictive models [4].

In the past years several models and techniques had been developed to stock price prediction. Among them are artificial neural networks (ANNs) model which are very popular due to its ability to learn patterns from data and infer solution from unknown data. Few related works that engaged ANNs model to stock price prediction are [5, 6, 7]. In recent time, hybrid approaches has also been engaged to improve stock price predictive models by exploiting the unique strength of each of them [2]. ANNs is from artificial intelligence perspectives.

ARIMA models are from statistical models perspectives. Generally, it is reported in literature that prediction can be done from two perspectives: statistical and artificial intelligence techniques [2]. ARIMA models are known to be robust and efficient in financial time series forecasting especially short-term prediction than even the most popular ANNs techniques ([8, 9, 10]. It has been extensively used in field of economics and finance. Other statistics models are regression method, exponential smoothing, generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (GARCH). Few related works that has engaged ARIMA model for forecasting includes [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16].

In this paper extensive process of building ARIMA models for short-term stock price prediction is presented. The results obtained from real-life data demonstrated the potential strength of ARIMA models to provide investors short-term prediction that could aid investment decision making process.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents brief overview of ARIMA model. Section 3 describes the methodology used while section 4 discusses the experimental results obtained. The paper is concluded in section 5.

II. ARIMA MODEL

Box and Jenkins in 1970 introduced the ARIMA model. It also referred to as Box-Jenkins methodology composed of set of activities for identifying, estimating and diagnosing ARIMA models with time series data. The model is most prominent methods in financial forecasting [1, 12, 9]. ARIMA models have shown efficient capability to generate short-term forecasts. It constantly outperformed complex structural models in short-term prediction [17]. In ARIMA model, the future value of a variable is a linear combination of past values and past errors, expressed as follows:

$$Y_{t} = \phi_{0} + \phi_{1}Y_{t-1} + \phi_{2}Y_{t-2} + \dots + \phi_{p}Y_{t-p} + \varepsilon_{t} - \theta_{1}\varepsilon_{t-1} - \theta_{2}\varepsilon_{t-2} - \dots - \theta_{q}\varepsilon_{t-q}$$
(1)

where,

 Y_t is the actual value and \mathcal{E}_t is the random error at t, ϕ_i and θ_j are the coefficients, p and q are integers that are often referred to as autoregressive and moving average, respectively.

The steps in building ARIMA predictive model consist of *model identification, parameter estimation and diagnostic checking* [18].

III. METHODOLOGY

The method used in this study to develop ARIMA model for stock price forecasting is explained in detail in subsections below. The tool used for implementation is Eviews software version 5. Stock data used in this research work are historical daily stock prices obtained from two countries stock exchanged. The data composed of four elements, namely: open price, low price, high price and close price respectively. In this research the closing price is chosen to represent the price of the index to be predicted. Closing price is chosen because it reflects all the activities of the index in a trading day.

To determine the best ARIMA model among several experiments performed, the following criteria are used in this study for each stock index.

- Relatively small of BIC (Bayesian or Schwarz Information Criterion)
- Relatively small standard error of regression (S.E. of regression)
- Relatively high of adjusted R²
- Q-statistics and correlogram show that there is no significant pattern left in the autocorrelation functions (ACFs) and partial autocorrelation functions (PACFs) of the residuals, it means the residual of the selected model are white noise.

The subsections below described the processes of ARIMA model-development.

A. ARIMA (p, d, q) Model for Nokia Stock Index

Nokia stock data used in this study covers the period from 25th April, 1995 to 25th February, 2011 having a total number of 3990 observations. Figure 1 depicts the original pattern of the series to have general overview whether the time series is stationary or not. From the graph below the time series have random walk pattern.



Figure 1: Graphical representation of the Nokia stock closing price index

Figure 2 is the correlogram of Nokia time series. From the graph, the ACF dies down extremely slowly which simply means that the time series is nonstationary. If the series is not stationary, it is converted to a stationary series by differencing. After the first difference, the series "DCLOSE" of Nokia stock index becomes stationary as shown in figure 3 and figure 4 of the line graph and correlogram respectively.



Figure 2: The correlogram of Nokia stock price index



Figure 3: Graphical representation of the Nokia stock price index after differencing

Autocorrelation	Partial Correlation		AC	PAC	Q-Stat	Prob
		1 1	0.022	0.022	1.8795	0.170
C +	d d	2	-0.060	-0.060	16.225	0.000
4		3	-0.041	-0.038	22.810	0.000
1		4	-0.007	-0.009	23.025	0.000
4		5	-0.002	-0.006	23.041	0.000
-p	4	6	0.047	0.045	31.841	0.000
D +	c) c)	7	-0.056	-0.059	44.332	0.000
		8	0.024	0.032	46.645	0.000
	•	9	0.020	0.016	48.307	0.000
	•	10	0.015	0.014	49.227	0.000
4		11	-0.039	-0.036	55.381	0.000
- P		12	-0.006	-0.003	55.504	0.000
4		13	0.005	0.008	55.615	0.000
4		14	0.005	-0.004	55.721	0.000
4	- P	15	-0.038	-0.037	61.583	0.000
*	2	16	0.015	0.017	62.486	0.000
*		17	-0.008	-0.009	62.768	0.000
1		18	-0.007	-0.013	62.975	0.000
·p	19	19	0.041	0.042	69.860	0.000
·p	9	20	0.039	0.037	75.866	0.000
P	1 1	21	-0.001	0.006	75.874	0.000
5	P	22	-0.022	-0.023	77.873	0.000
1	1	23	-0.023	-0.014	79.948	0.000
12	2	24	0.029	0.030	83.259	0.000
2	2	25	0.028	0.019	86.342	0.000
7	1 1	26	-0.003	-0.005	86,387	0.000
T	1 1	27	-0.015	-0.007	87.239	0.000
T	1 1	28	-0.037	-0.037	92.816	0.000
T	T T	29	0.006	0.003	92.954	0.000
		30	0.018	0.010	94.309	0.000

In figure 5 the model checking was done with Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) unit root test on "DCLOSE" of Nokia stock index. The result confirms that the series becomes stationary after the first-difference of the series.

Null Hypothesis: DCl Exogenous: Constan Lag Length: 1 (Auton Augmented Dickey-F Test critical values:	OSE has a ur t natic based on	nit root SIC, MAXLA	G=30) t-Statistic	Dark *									
Augmented Dickey-F Test critical values:	uller test stati		t-Statistic	Deck #									
Augmented Dickey-F Test critical values:	uller test stati		t-Statistic Prob.*										
Test critical values:	40/1	Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic -46.89879 0.0001											
	1% level		-3.431805										
	5% level		-2.862068										
	10% level		-2.567094										
*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.													
Method: Least Squar Date: 03/17/11 Time Sample (adjusted): 4 Included observations Variable	es e: 12:37 /28/1995 2/25/ s: 3987 after a Coefficient	2011 djustments Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.									
DCLOSE(-1)	-1.037429	0.022121	46 89879	0.000									
D(DCLOSE(-1))	0.060437	0.015814	3 821732	0 0001									
C	-0.008294	0.056703	-0.146267	0.8837									
R-squared	0.491018	Mean deper	ndent var	0.000100									
Adjusted R-squared	0.490762	S.D. depen	dent var	5.017265									
S.E. of regression	3.580364	Akaike info	criterion	5.389558									
Sum squared resid	51070.94	Schwarz cri	terion	5.394292									
.og likelihood	-10741.08	F-statistic		1921.694									
)urbin-Watson stat	2.004589	Prob(F-stati	stic)	0.000000									

Figure 5: ADF unit root test for DCLOSE of Nokia stock index.

Table 1 shows the different parameters of autoregressive (p) and moving average (q) among the several ARIMA model experimented upon . ARIMA (2, 1, 0) is considered the best for Nokia stock index as shown in figure 6. The model returned the smallest Bayesian or Schwarz information criterion of 5.3927 and relatively smallest standard error of regression of 3.5808 as shown in figure 6.

Dependent Variable: Method: Least Squar Date: 03/19/11 Time Sample (adjusted): 4/ Included observations Convergence achieve	DCLOSE es e: 16:08 /28/1995 2/25/ :: 3987 after a d after 3 iterati	2011 djustments ions		
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C AR(2)	-0.007997 -0.059938	0.053504 0.015813	-0.149458 -3.790524	0.8812 0.0002
R-squared Adjusted R-squared S.E. of regression Sum squared resid Log likelihood Durbin-Watson stat	0.003593 0.003343 3.580866 51098.07 -10742.14 1.958555	Mean deper S.D. depend Akaike info Schwarz cri F-statistic Prob(F-stati	ndent var dent var criterion terion stic)	-0.007988 3.586866 5.389588 5.392744 14.36807 0.000153

Figure 6: ARIMA (2, 1, 0) estimation output with DCLOSE of Nokia index.

Figure 7 is the residual of the series. If the model is good, the residuals (difference between actual and predicted values) of the model are series of random errors. Since there are no significant spikes of ACFs and PACFs, it means that the residual of the selected ARIMA model are white noise, no other significant patterns left in the time series. Therefore, there is no need to consider any AR(p) and MA(q) further.

ate: 03/17/11 Tin imple: 4/26/1995 cluded observation statistic probabili	ne: 12:55 2/25/2011 ns: 3989 ties adjusted for 1 AR	MA	term(s)			
Autocorrelation	Partial Correlation		AC	PAC	Q-Stat	Prob
		1	0.024	0.024	2.2903	
E)	E D	2	-0.058	-0.058	15.495	0.000
di .	0	3	-0.038	-0.036	21.331	0.000
4		4	-0.005	-0.007	21.433	0.000
1	-	5	0.000	-0.004	21.434	0.000
-10	4	6	0.049	0.047	31.058	0.000
B	e e	7	-0.054	-0.057	42.509	0.000
ų.	4	8	0.026	0.035	45.262	0.000
•	•	9	0.023	0.018	47.298	0.000
•	•	10	0.017	0.016	48.502	0.000
E !	4 B	11	-0.037	-0.034	53.957	0.000
	4	12	-0.003	-0.001	54.002	0.000
1		13	0.007	0.011	54.223	0.000
1	1 1	14	0.007	-0.002	54.437	0.000
ų.	4 U	15	-0.036	-0.035	59.627	0.000
•	•	16	0.017	0.020	60.804	0.000
4	4	17	-0.006	-0.007	60.959	0.000
4	•	18	-0.005	-0.010	61.060	0.000
-p	4	19	0.043	0.045	68.640	0.000
·P	4	20	0.041	0.040	75.295	0.000
4	1 1	21	0.001	0.008	75.297	0.000
4	•	22	-0.020	-0.021	76.928	0.000
4	•	23	-0.021	-0.012	78.629	0.000
4	4	24	0.031	0.032	82.424	0.000
н	1 1	25	0.030	0.021	85.972	0.000
1	4	26	-0.001	-0.003	85.978	0.000
4	1 4	27	-0.012	-0.005	86.603	0.000
ą.	1 Q	28	-0.035	-0.034	91.559	0.000
di	1 0	129	0.008	0.005	91.809	0.000

Figure 7: Correlogram of residuals of the Nokia stock index.

In forecasting form, the best model selected can be expressed as follows:

$$Y_t = \theta_1 Y_{t-1} + \theta_2 Y_{t-2} + \mathcal{E}_t$$
⁽²⁾

where, $\mathcal{E}_t = Y_t - \hat{Y_t}$ (i.e., the difference between the actual value of the series and the forecast value)

TABLE I: STATISTICAL RESULTS OF DIFFERENT ARIMA PARAMETERS FOR NOKIA STOCK INDEX

ARIMA	BIC	Adjusted R ²	S.E. of
			Regression
(1, 0, 0)	5.3936	0.9907	3.5824
(1, 0, 1)	5.3950	0.9907	3.5817
(2, 0, 0)	6.1061	0.9811	5.1157
(0, 0, 1)	8.8324	0.7126	19.9942
(0, 0, 2)	8.8871	0.6964	20.5490
(1, 1, 0)	5.3956	0.0002	3.5860
(0, 1, 0)	5.3937	0.0000	3.5859
(0, 1, 1)	5.3953	0.0002	3.5854
(1, 1, 2)	5.3941	0.0035	3.5800
(2, 1, 0)	5.3927	0.0033	3.5808
(2, 1, 2)	5.3947	0.0031	3.5812

The bold row represent the best ARIMA model among the several experiments.

B. ARIMA (p, d, q) Model for Zenith Bank Index

The stock data of Zenith bank used in this study covered the period from 3rd January, 2006 to 25th February, 2011 with total of 1296 observations. Figure 8 is the original pattern of the series. From the graph there was upward movement of the index from 2006 and downward movement is observed from 2008 possibly because of world financial crisis experienced at that time.





Figure 10: Graphical representation of the Zenith bank stock index first differencing

Correlogram of DCLOSE								
ate: 04/09/11 Tin ample: 1/03/2006 icluded observatior	ne: 04:03 2/25/2011 ns: 1296					×.		
Autocorrelation	Partial Correlation	AC	PAC	Q-Stat	Prob			
i 🗖	1	1 0.268	0.268	92.960	0.000			
ı <mark>b</mark>	1	2 0.063	-0.010	98.041	0.000			
ų.	l ti	3 -0.009	-0.025	98.141	0.000			
¢	()	4 -0.036	-0.029	99.856	0.000			
ų.	10	5 -0.015	0.003	100.17	0.000			
ា	an c	6 -0.012	-0.008	100.36	0.000			
ψ	9 0	7 -0.020	-0.017	100.88	0.000			
0	0	8 -0.034	-0.028	102.43	0.000			
Q.	U U	9 -0.027	-0.011	103.37	0.000			
D)	L D	10 -0.060	-0.053	108.09	0.000			
an -	0	11 -0.004	0.026	108.11	0.000			
		12 0.018	0.014	108.53	0.000			
	11	13 -0.002	-0.016	108.54	0.000			
- P	1 1	14 -0.002	-0.002	108.54	0.000			
19	1	15 0.030	0.034	109.69	0.000			
ų	1 1	16 0.041	0.026	111.91	0.000			
2	1	17 0.040	0.019	114.03	0.000			
1	1 1	18 0.019	-0.000	114.52	0.000			
	1	19 -0.007	-0.011	114.58	0.000			
		20 0.003	0.010	114.59	0.000			
		21 0.000	0.001	114.59	0.000			
1		22 0.013	0.017	114.82	0.000			
19		23 0.025	0.019	101.11	0.000			
		24 0.004	0.059	121.11	0.000			
19		25 0.050	0.004	122.20	0.000			
		20 0.000	0.007	120.02	0.000			
The second se		28 .0.015	-0.000	127.00	0.000			
- Th		20 0.013	0.041	128.78	0.000			
ň	i ii	30 0.036	0.030	130.52	0.000			
	1	24 0.047	0.000	100.02	0.000			

Figure 11: The correlogram of Zenith bank stock price index after first differencing.

Figure 12 is the ADF unit root test on "DCLOSE" of the series which also indicates the first difference of the series becomes stationary.

Figure 8: Graphical representation of the Zenith Bank stock index closing price.

Figure 9 is the correlogram of the time series of Zenith bank stock index. From the graph of the correlogram, the ACF dies down extremely slowly which simply means that the time series is nonstationary. If the series is not stationary, there is need to convert to stationary series by differencing. After the first difference, the series "DCLOSE" of Zenith bank stock index becomes stationary as shown in figure 10 and figure 11 of the line graph and correlogram of the series after first differencing.

	(Corn	elogran	n of CLC	SE				
Date: 04/09/11 Tim Sample: 1/03/2006 2 ncluded observation:	e: 04:01 /25/2011 s: 1297								[
Autocorrelation	Partial Correlation		AC	PAC	Q-Stat	Prob			l
1		1	0.998	0.998	1294.8	0.000			
1		2	0 995	-0 198	2583 2	0 000			
1		3	0.992	-0.003	3865.0	0.000			
1		4	0.989	0.018	5140.1	0.000			
		5	0.986	0.017	6409.0	0.000			
1		6	0.984	-0.003	7671.6	0.000			
1		7	0.981	0.007	8928.0	0.000			
1		8	0.978	0.005	10178.	0.000			
1)	9	0.975	0.023	11423.	0.000			
1		10	0.973	0.011	12662.	0.000			
1	•	11	0.971	0.035	13897.	0.000			
		12	0.968	-0.011	15126.	0.000			
5		13	0.966	-0.020	16350.	0.000			
		14	0.963	0.010	17569.	0.000			
1		15	0.961	-0.001	18782.	0.000			
1	<u></u>	16	0.959	-0.021	19991.	0.000			
1	0	17	0.956	-0.025	21193.	0.000			
1	<u></u>	18	0.953	-0.023	22390.	0.000			
1		19	0.950	-0.000	23581.	0.000			
1		20	0.948	0.013	24765.	0.000			
	ា	21	0.945	-0.010	25944.	0.000			
1		22	0.942	0.001	27117.	0.000			
		23	0.939	-0.018	28284.	0.000			
	<u></u>	24	0.936	-0.013	29445.	0.000			
1	9	25	0.933	-0.043	30599.	0.000			
	<u> </u>	26	0.930	-0.013	31/46.	0.000			
	5	27	0.927	-0.041	32886.	0.000			
	1	28	0.924	-0.008	34018.	0.000			
	2	29	0.920	0.012	35143.	0.000			
	1	30	0.012	-0.026	30201.	0.000			
1		Path	= c:\us	ers\adeb	ivi\docur	nents	DB = none	WF = zenithb	

Figure 9: The correlogram of Zenith Bank stock price index

Augmented Dickey-Fuller Unit Root Test on DCLOSE									
Null Hypothesis: DCL Exogenous: Constant Lag Length: 0 (Autom	.OSE has a ur t natic based on	it root SIC, MAXLA	G=22)						
t-Statistic Prob.*									
Augmented Dickey-F Test critical values:	uller test stati 1% level 5% level 10% level	stic	-27.33425 -3.435188 -2.863564 -2.567897	0.0000					
*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.									
Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test Equation Dependent Variable: D(DCLOSE) Method: Least Squares Date: 04/09/11 Time: 04:04 Sample (adjusted): 1706/2006 2/25/2011 Included observations: 1295 after adjustments									
Included observations	: 1295 after a	2011 Ijustments							
Variable	Coefficient	2011 djustments Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.					
Variable DCLOSE(-1) C	Coefficient -0.732475 0.001779	2011 djustments Std. Error 0.026797 0.021843	t-Statistic -27.33425 0.081457	Prob. 0.0000 0.9351					
Variable Variable DCLOSE(-1) C R-squared Adjusted R-squared SE. of regression Sum squared resid Log likelihood Durbin-Watson stat	05/2006 2/25/ : 1295 after ac Coefficient -0.732475 0.001779 0.366226 0.365736 0.786049 798.9102 -1524.772 1.994624	2011 djustments Std. Error 0.026797 0.021843 Mean deper S.D. depend Akaike info Schwarz cri F-statistic Prob(F-stati	t-Statistic -27.33425 0.081457 ident var dent var criterion terion stic)	Prob. 0.0000 0.9351 0.000139 0.986995 2.357948 2.365927 747.1610 0.000000					
Jampie (dujusted), IV Included observations DCLOSE(-1) C R-squared Adjusted R-squared S.E. of regression Sum squared resid Log likelihood Durbin-Watson stat	05/2006 2/257 : 1295 after ac Coefficient -0.732475 0.001779 0.366226 0.366236 0.786049 798.9102 -1524.772 1.994624	2011 djustments Std. Error 0.026797 0.021843 Mean deper S.D. depend Akaike info Schwarz cri Prob(F-stati	t-Statistic -27.33425 0.081457 dent var criterion terion stic)	Prob. 0.0000 0.9351 0.986995 2.357948 2.365927 747.1610 0.000000	ts DB = none WF = zenithb				

Table 2 shows the different parameters of autoregressive (p) and moveing average (q) of the ARIMA model in order to get the best fitted model. ARIMA (1, 0, 1) is relatively the best model as indicated in figure 13. The model returned the smallest Bayesian or Schwarz information criterion of 2.3736 and relatively smallest standard error of regression of 0.7872 as shown in figure 13.

Dependent Variable: (Method: Least Square Date: 04/09/11 Time Sample (adjusted): 1/ Included observations Convergence achieve Backcast: 1/03/2006	CLOSE es : 04:24 04/2006 2/25/ : 1296 after ad d after 7 iterati	2011 Ijustments ons		
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C AR(1) MA(1)	27.76649 0.997704 0.254140	11.97506 0.001829 0.026934	2.318694 545.5149 9.435526	0.0206 0.0000 0.0000
R-squared Adjusted R-squared S.E. of regression Sum squared resid Log likelihood Durbin-Watson stat	0.997254 0.997250 0.787215 801.2819 -1527.370 1.966274	Mean depen S.D. depend Akaike info Schwarz crit F-statistic Prob(F-stati	ident var dent var criterion terion stic)	26.70431 15.01153 2.361682 2.373642 234805.8 0.000000
Inverted AR Roots Inverted MA Roots	1.00 25			

Figure 13: ARIMA (1, 0, 1) estimation output with DCLOSE of Zenith bank index.

Figure 14 is the correlogram of residual of the seies. From the figure it is obvious there is no significant spike of ACFs and PACFs. This means that the residual of this selected ARIMA model are white noise. There is no other significant patterns left in the time series and there is no need for further consideration of another AR(p) and MA(q).

Correlogram of Residuals								
te: 04/09/11 Tin mple: 1/04/2006 luded observatior statistic probabili	ne: 04:25 2/25/2011 ns: 1296 ties adjusted for 2 AR	RMA term(s)	0					
Autocorrelation	Partial Correlation	AC	PAC	Q-Stat	Prob			
	ի փ	1 0.017	0.017	0.3672				
i p	ip i	2 0.064	0.064	5.7132				
ψ		3 -0.015	-0.018	6.0217	0.014			
Q.	(L)	4 -0.030	-0.034	7.1976	0.027			
ψ	4	5 -0.005	-0.002	7.2318	0.065			
ψ	4	6 -0.007	-0.003	7.3005	0.121			
Ψ	4	7 -0.010	-0.010	7.4213	0.191			
Q.		8 -0.030	-0.030	8.5808	0.199			
₽.	<u> </u>	9 -0.004	-0.002	8.6026	0.282			
U I	4	10 -0.060	-0.057	13.274	0.103			
11	1	11 0.008	0.008	13.348	0.147			
11 I.	1 1	12 0.019	0.024	13.814	0.182			
10	1 (1)	13 -0.004	-0.008	13.838	0.242			
16		14 -0.005	-0.012	14 722	0.309			
3	1	16 0.029	0.027	16 962	0.325			
3	1	17 0.031	0.030	17 126	0.322			
a di seconda di s		18 0.016	0.025	17 473	0.356			
ii.	1 1	19 -0.011	-0.012	17 633	0.412			
di l		20 0.008	0.008	17 724	0 474			
di la	in in	21 -0 004	0 001	17 742	0 540			
di l	di di	22 0.014	0.016	17 991	0.588			
- Ú		23 0.008	0.009	18.086	0.644			
da i	10	24 0.063	0.063	23.367	0.381			
ψ	1 0	25 0.003	0.006	23.381	0.439			
ų –	1 1	26 0.055	0.053	27.453	0.284			
- D	1 0	27 0.017	0.020	27.854	0.315			
¢.	(0	28 -0.025	-0.028	28.657	0.327			
ų.	•	29 0.029	0.029	29.782	0.324			
- iki	I ih	1 20 0 000	0 0 0 0	20 442	0 244			-

Figure	14:	Correlogram	of	residuals	of	the	Zenith	bank	stock	index.
0										

In forecasting form, the best model selected can be expressed as follows:

$$Y_{t} = \phi_{1}Y_{t-1} - \theta_{1}\varepsilon_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t}$$
(3)

where,

 $\varepsilon_t = Y_t - \hat{Y_t}$ (i.e., the difference between the actual value of the series and the forecast value)

TABLE II: STATISTICAL RESULTS OF DIFFERENT ARIMA PARAMETERS FOR ZENITH BANK STOCK INDEX

ARIMA	BIC	Adjusted	S.E. of
		\mathbf{R}^2	Regression
(1, 0, 0)	2.4385	0.9970	0.8151
(1, 0, 1)	2.3736	0.9972	0.7872
(2, 0, 0)	3.3682	0.9925	1.2974
(0, 0, 1)	6.9285	0.7372	7.6951
(0, 0, 2)	6.9815	0.7228	7.9018
(1, 1, 0)	2.3659	0.0708	0.7860
(0, 1, 0)	2.4338	0.0000	0.8151
(0, 1, 1)	2.3693	0.0669	0.7873
(1, 1, 2)	2.3714	0.0701	0.7863
(2, 1, 0)	2.4370	0.0031	0.8144
(2, 1, 2)	2.4412	0.0036	0.8142

The bold row represent the best ARIMA model among the several experiments

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experimental results of each of stock index are discussed in the subsection below.

A. Result of ARIMA Model for Nokia Stock Price Prediction

Table 3 is the result of the predicted values of ARIMA (2, 1, 0) considered the best model for Nokia stock index. Figure 15 gives graphical illustration of the level accuracy of the predicted price against actual stock price to see the performance of the ARIMA model selected. From the graph, is obvious that the performance is satisfactory.

Sample Period	Actual Values	Predicted Values
1/3/2010	13.28	13.58
2/3/2010	13.51	13.69
3/3/2010	13.86	13.80
4/3/2010	13.78	13.91
5/3/2010	14.13	14.02
8/3/2010	14.17	14.13
9/3/2010	14.12	14.24
10/3/2010	14.56	14.35
11/3/2010	14.49	14.45
12/3/2010	14.84	14.56
15/3/2010	14.81	14.67
16/3/2010	15.14	14.77
17/3/2010	15.42	14.88
18/3/2010	15.28	14.98
19/3/2010	15.07	15.09
22/3/2010	15.11	15.19
23/3/2010	15.26	15.30
24/3/2010	15.07	15.40
25/3/2010	15.20	15.50
26/3/2010	15.46	15.60
29/3/2010	15.42	15.71
30/3/2010	15.41	15.81
31/3/2010	15.54	15.91

TABLE III: SAMPLE OF EMPIRICAL RESULTS OF ARIMA (2,1,0) OF NOKIA STOCK INDEX.



Figure 15: Graph of Actual Stock Price vs Predicted values of Nokia Stock Index

B. Result of ARIMA Model for Zenith Bank Stock Price Prediction

In this case, ARIMA (1, 0, 1) was selected as the best model for Zenith bank stock index after several adjustment of the autoregressive (p) and moving average (q) parameters in Eviews software used. Table 4 contained the predicted values of the model selected and figure 16 is the graph of predicted price against actual stock price to demonstrate the correlation of accuracy. From the graph, the performance of the ARIMA model selected is quite impressive as there are some instances of closely related of actual and predicted values.

TABLE IV: SAMPLE OF EMPIRICAL RESULTS OF ARIMA (1,0,1) OF ZENITH BANK INDEX

Sample Period	Actual Values	Predicted Values
1/3/2010	16.19	15.83
2/3/2010	15.98	15.86
3/3/2010	15.71	15.89
4/3/2010	15.50	15.91
5/3/2010	15.70	15.94
8/3/2010	15.75	15.97
9/3/2010	15.86	15.99
10/3/2010	16.00	16.02
11/3/2010	16.19	16.05
12/3/2010	16.99	16.08
15/3/2010	17.83	16.10
16/3/2010	17.71	16.13
17/3/2010	17.50	16.16
18/3/2010	16.85	16.18
19/3/2010	17.69	16.21
22/3/2010	18.00	16.24
23/3/2010	18.00	16.26
24/3/2010	17.85	16.29
25/3/2010	17.89	16.31
26/3/2010	18.11	16.34
29/3/2010	19.01	16.37
30/3/2010	19.96	16.39
31/3/2010	18.97	16.42



Figure 16: Graph of Actual Stock Price vs Predicted values of Zenith Bank Stock Index

V. CONCLUSION

This paper presents extensive process of building ARIMA model for stock price prediction. The experimental results obtained with best ARIMA model demonstrated the potential of ARIMA models to predict stock prices satisfactory on short-term basis. This could guide investors in stock market to make profitable investment decisions. With the results obtained ARIMA models can compete reasonably well with emerging forecasting techniques in short-term prediction.

REFERENCES

- P. Pai and C. Lin, "A hybrid ARIMA and support vector machines model in stock price prediction", Omega vol.33 pp. 497-505, 2005
- [2] J.J. Wang, J.Z. Wang, Z.G. Zhang and S.P. Guo, "Stock index forecasting based on a hybrid model", Omega vol.40 pp.758-766, 2012.
- [3] L.Y. Wei, "A hybrid model based on ANFIS and adaptive expectation genetic algorithm to forecast TAIEX", Economic Modelling vol. 33 pp. 893-899, 2013.

- [4] G.S. Atsalakis, E.M Dimitrakakis. and C.D. Zopounidis, "Elliot Wave Theory and neuro-fuzzy systems, stock market prediction: The WASP system", Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 38, pp.9196– 9206, 2011.
- [5] S. K.Mitra, "Optimal Combination of Trading Rules Using Neural Networks", International Business Research, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 86-99, 2009.
- [6] G.S. Atsalakis and P.V. Kimon, "Forecasting stock market short-term trends using a neuro-fuzzy methodology", Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 36, no. 7, pp.10696–10707, 2009.
- [7] M.M. Mohamed, "Forecasting stock exchange movements using neural networks: empirical evidence from Kuwait", Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 27, no. 9, pp.6302–6309, 2010.
- [8] L.C. Kyungjoo, Y. Sehwan and J. John, "Neural Network Model vs. SARIMA Model In Forecasting Korean Stock Price Index (KOSPI), Issues in Information System, vol. 8 no. 2, pp. 372-378, 2007.
- [9] N. Merh, V.P. Saxena, and K.R. Pardasani, "A Comparison Between Hybrid Approaches of ANN and ARIMA For Indian Stock Trend Forecasting", Journal of Business Intelligence, vol. 3, no.2, pp. 23-43, 2010.
- [10] J. Sterba and Hilovska, "The Implementation of Hybrid ARIMA Neural Network Prediction Model for Aggregate Water Consumption Prediction", Aplimat- Journal of Applied Mathematics, vol.3, no.3, pp.123-131, 2010.
- [11] C. Javier, E. Rosario, J.N. Francisco and J.C. Antonio, "ARIMA Models to Predict Next Electricity Price", IEEE Transactions on Power Systems vol. 18 no.3, pp.1014-1020, 2003.
- [12] N. Rangan and N. Titida, "ARIMA Model for Forecasting Oil Palm Price", Proceedings of the 2nd IMT-GT Regional Conference on Mathematics, Statistics and Applications, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 2006.
- [13] M. Khasel, M. Bijari, and G.A.R Ardali, "Improvement of Auto-Regressive Integrated Moving Average models using Fuzzy logic and pp. 956-967, 2009.
- [14] C. Lee, C. Ho, "Short-term load forecasting using lifting scheme and ARIMA model", Expert System with Applications, vol.38, no.5, pp.5902-5911, 2011.
- [15] M. Khashei, M. Bijari, G. A. R. Ardal, "Hybridization of autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) with probabilistic neural networks", Computers and Industrial Engineering, vol. 63, no.1, pp.37-45, 2012
- [16] C. Wang, "A comparison study of between fuzzy time series model and ARIMA model for forecasting Taiwan Export", Expert System with Applications, vol.38, no.8, pp.9296-9304, 2011.
- [17] A. Meyler, G. Kenny and T. Quinn, "Forecasting Irish Inflation using ARIMA Models", Central Bank of Ireland Research Department, Technical Paper, 3/RT/1998.
- [18] B.G. Tabachnick and L.S. Fidell, "Using multivariate statistics", 4th ed., Person Education Company, USA 2001.