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1. Introduction

We shall use the following notations all through this paper. We always assume that $H$ is a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle.,.\rangle$ and norm $\|\|$. We use the symbols $\rightarrow$ and $\rightharpoonup$ to denote strong convergence and weak convergence respectively. $\omega_w(x_n) = \{x : \exists x_n \rightarrow x\}$ denotes the weak $\omega$-limit set of $\{x_n\}$. We assume that $C$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$ and $T : C \rightarrow C$ a mapping. In this paper, we denote the fixed point set of $T$ by $F(T)$.

In the sequel, we give the following definitions of some of the concepts that will feature prominently in this study.
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Definition 1.1. Let $T : C \to C$ be a mapping. $T$ is said to be

1) **L-Lipschitzian** [4] if there exists an $L > 0$ such that

$$
\|Tx - Ty\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C,
$$
(1.1)

2) **pseudocontractive** [4] if for any $x, y \in C$, there exists $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ such that

$$
\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2,
$$
(1.2)

and it is well known that condition (1.2) is equivalent to the following:

$$
\|x - y\| \leq \|x - y + s[(I - Tx) - (I - Ty)]\|, \forall s > 0, x, y \in C,
$$
(1.3)

3) **strongly pseudocontractive** [4] if there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ and $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ such that for any $x, y \in C$,

$$
\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \leq k\|x - y\|^2,
$$
(1.4)

4) **$\lambda$-strictly pseudocontractive** [4] in the terminology of Browder and Petryshyn (\textit{$\lambda$-strictly pseudocontractive, for short}) if there exists $\lambda > 0$ and $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ such that for any $x, y \in C$,

$$
\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \lambda\|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2,
$$
(1.5)

5) **$\lambda$-demicontactive** [4] if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ and $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ such that for any $x \in C$, $p \in F(T)$,

$$
\langle Tx - p, j(x - p) \rangle \leq \|p - y\|^2 - \lambda\|x - Tx\|^2.
$$
(1.6)

6) **nonexpansive** [32] if

$$
\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in C.
$$
(1.7)

7) **asymptotically nonexpansive** [32] if there exists a sequence $\{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ with $k_n \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$ such that

$$
\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq k_n \|x - y\|, \forall n \geq 1, x, y \in C.
$$
(1.8)

8) **asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense** [24] if $T$ is continuous and the following inequality holds:

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x, y \in C} (\|T^n x - T^n y\| - \|x - y\|) \leq 0.
$$
(1.9)

Observe that if we define

$$
\zeta_n = \max \left\{ 0, \sup_{x, y \in C} (\|T^n x - T^n y\| - \|x - y\|) \right\},
$$
(1.10)
then \( \zeta_n \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). Hence, (1.9) can be reduced to
\[
\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq \|x - y\| + \zeta_n, \forall n \geq 1, x, y \in C. \tag{1.11}
\]

(9) strictly pseudocontractive \([24]\) if there exists a constant \( k \in [0, 1) \) such that
\[
\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|^2 + k\|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2, \forall x, y \in C. \tag{1.12}
\]

(10) asymptotically strict pseudocontraction \([24]\) if there exist a constant \( k \in [0, 1) \) and a sequence \( \{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty) \) with \( k_n \to 1 \) as \( n \to \infty \) such that
\[
\|T^n x - T^n y\|^2 \leq k_n\|x - y\|^2 + k\|(I - T^n)x - (I - T^n)y\|^2, \forall n \geq 1, x, y \in C. \tag{1.13}
\]

(11) asymptotically strict pseudocontraction in the intermediate sense \([24]\) if there exist a constant \( k \in [0, 1) \) and a sequence \( \{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty) \) with \( k_n \to 1 \) as \( n \to \infty \) such that
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x, y \in C} (\|T^n x - T^n y\|^2 - k_n\|x - y\|^2 - k\|(I - T^n)x - (I - T^n)y\|^2) \leq 0. \tag{1.14}
\]

Put
\[
\zeta_n = \max \left\{0, \sup_{x, y \in C} (\|T^n x - T^n y\|^2 - k_n\|x - y\|^2 - k\|(I - T^n)x - (I - T^n)y\|^2)\right\}. \tag{1.15}
\]

It follows that \( \zeta_n \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). Then, (1.14) is reduced to the following:
\[
\|T^n x - T^n y\|^2 \leq k_n\|x - y\|^2 + k\|(I - T^n)x - (I - T^n)y\|^2 + \zeta_n, \forall n \geq 1, x, y \in C. \tag{1.16}
\]

(12) asymptotically pseudocontractive \([24]\) if there exists a sequence \( \{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty) \) with \( k_n \to 1 \) as \( n \to \infty \) such that
\[
\langle T^n x - T^n y, x - y \rangle \leq k_n\|x - y\|^2, \forall n \geq 1, x, y \in C. \tag{1.17}
\]

Observe that (1.17) is equivalent to
\[
\|T^n x - T^n y\|^2 \leq (2k_n - 1)\|x - y\|^2 + \|x - y - (T^n x - T^n y)\|^2, \forall n \geq 1, x, y \in C. \tag{1.18}
\]

(13) asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping in the intermediate sense \([24]\) if there exists a sequence \( \{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty) \) with \( k_n \to 1 \) as \( n \to \infty \) such that
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x, y \in C} (\langle T^n x - T^n y, x - y \rangle - k_n\|x - y\|^2) \leq 0. \tag{1.19}
\]

Put
\[
\tau_n = \max \left\{0, \sup_{x, y \in C} (\langle T^n x - T^n y, x - y \rangle - k_n\|x - y\|^2)\right\}. \tag{1.20}
\]

It follows that \( \tau_n \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). Hence, (1.19) is reduced to the following:
\[
\langle T^n x - T^n y, x - y \rangle \leq k_n\|x - y\|^2 + \tau_n, \forall n \geq 1, x, y \in C. \tag{1.21}
\]
In real Hilbert spaces, we observe that (1.21) is equivalent to
\[
\|T^n x - T^n y\|^2 \leq (2k_n - 1)\|x - y\|^2 + \| (I - T^n) x - (I - T^n) y \|^2 + 2\tau_n, \forall n \geq 1, x, y \in C.
\]

Definition 1.2. [4] A Banach space \(E\) is said to satisfy the Opial condition if for any sequence \(\{x_n\} \subset E\) with \(x_n \rightharpoonup x\), the following inequality holds:
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - y\|
\]
for any \(y \in E\) with \(y \neq x\).

Definition 1.3. [28] Let \(H\) be a real Hilbert space with inner product \(\langle.,.\rangle\) and norm \(\|\|\), respectively and let \(C\) be a closed convex subset of \(H\). For every \(x \in H\), there exists a unique nearest point in \(C\), denoted by \(P_C x\), such that
\[
\|x - P_C x\| \leq \|x - y\| \quad \forall y \in C.
\]

\(P_C\) is called the metric projection of \(H\) onto \(C\).

Goebel and Kirk [7] introduced the class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings as a generalization of the class of nonexpansive mappings. They established that if \(C\) is a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of a real uniformly convex Banach space and \(T\) is an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping on \(C\), then \(T\) has a fixed point. The class of asymptotically nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense was introduced by Bruck et al. [3] in 1993. In 1974, Kirk [11] proved that if \(C\) is a nonempty close convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space \(E\) and \(T\) is asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense, then \(T\) has a fixed point. We remark that the class of mappings which are asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense contains properly the class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. The class of strict pseudocontractive maps was introduced by Browder and Petryshyn [2]. Marino and Xu [13] established that the fixed point set of strict pseudocontractions is closed convex, and they obtained a weak convergence theorem for strictly pseudocontractive mappings by Mann iterative process.

The class of asymptotically strict pseudocontractive mappings was introduced by Liu [12]. Sahu et al. [28], introduced the class of asymptotically strict pseudocontractive mappings in the intermediate sense in 2009. The class of asymptotically nonexpansive mapping was introduced by Schu [29]. Rhoades [27] produced an example to show that the class of asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings contains properly the class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. The class of asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings in the intermediate sense was introduced by Qin et al. [24]. They obtained some convergence results of Ishikawa iterative processes for the class of mappings which are asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings in the intermediate sense. Olaleru and Okeke [21] introduced the class of asymptotically demicontractive mappings in the intermediate sense and the class of asymptotically hemicontractive mappings in the intermediate sense. We established some interesting fixed points results for this class of nonlinear mappings (see, [21]).

Noor et al. [18] gave the following three-step iteration process for solving nonlinear operator equations in real Banach spaces. Let \(T : C \to C\) be a mapping. For
an arbitrary \( x_0 \in C \), the sequence \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset C \) defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_nTy_n \\
y_n &= (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_nTz_n \\
z_n &= (1 - \gamma_n)x_n + \gamma_nTx_n, \quad n \geq 0,
\end{align*}
\]  

(1.23)

where \( \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) and \( \{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) are three sequences satisfying \( \alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \in [0,1] \) for each \( n \).

It was established by Bnouhachem et al. [1] that three-step method performs better than two-step and one-step methods for solving variational inequalities. Glowinski and P. Le Tallec [6] applied three-step iterative sequences to finding the approximate solutions of the elastoviscoplasticity problem, eigenvalue problems and in the liquid crystal theory. Moreover, three-step schemes are natural generalization of the splitting methods to solve partial differential equations. What this means is that Noor three-step methods are robust and more efficient than the Mann (one-step) and Ishikawa (two-step) type schemes for solving problems in pure and applied sciences.

The following results will be useful to us in this study.

**Lemma 1.4.** [24]. Let \( \{r_n\}, \{s_n\}, \) and \( \{t_n\} \) be three nonnegative sequences satisfying the following condition:

\[
r_{n+1} \leq (1 + s_n)r_n + t_n, \quad \forall n \geq n_0.
\]  

(1.24)

where \( n_0 \) is some nonnegative integer. If \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n < \infty \) and \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n < \infty \), then \( \lim_{n \to \infty} r_n \) exists.

**Lemma 1.5.** [24]. In a real Hilbert space, the following inequality holds:

\[
\|ax+(1-a)y\|^2 = a\|x\|^2 + (1-a)\|y\|^2 - a(1-a)\|x-y\|^2, \quad \forall a \in [0,1], x, y \in C.
\]  

(1.25)

We will always use \( M \) to denote \( (\text{diam } C)^2 \) henceforth.

**Lemma 1.6.** [24]. Let \( C \) be a nonempty close convex subset of a real Hilbert space \( H \) and \( T : C \to C \) a uniformly \( L \)-Lipschitz and asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequences \( \{k_n\} \) and \( \{\tau_n\} \) as defined in (1.21). Then \( F(T) \) is a closed convex subset of \( C \).

**Lemma 1.7.** [24]. Let \( C \) be a nonempty close convex subset of a real Hilbert space \( H \) and \( T : C \to C \) a uniformly \( L \)-Lipschitz and asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping in the intermediate sense such that \( F(T) \) is nonempty. Then \( I - T \) is demiclosed at zero.

In 2009, D. R. Sahu et al. [28] proved the following theorem on the modified Mann iteration process.

**Theorem SXY.** Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space \( H \) and \( T : C \to C \) a uniformly continuous asymptotically \( k \)-strict pseudocontractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequence \( \{\gamma_n\} \) such that \( F(T) \neq \emptyset \) and \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty \). Assume that \( \{\alpha_n\} \) is a sequence in \( (0,1) \) such that \( 0 < \delta \leq \alpha_n \leq 1 - k - \delta < 1 \) and \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n c_n < \infty \). Let \( \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) be a sequence in \( C \)
generated by the modified Mann iteration process:

\[ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T^n x_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \]  

(1.26)

Then \( \{x_n\} \) converges weakly to an element of \( F(T) \).

Qin et al. [24] proved the following theorem:

**Theorem QCK.** Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex bounded subset of a real Hilbert space \( H \) and \( T : C \to C \) a uniformly \( L \)-Lipschitz and asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequences \( \{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty) \) and \( \{\tau_n\} \subset [0, \infty) \) defined as in (1.21). Assume that \( F(T) \) is nonempty. Let \( \{x_n\} \) be a sequence generated in the following manner:

\[
\begin{align*}
  x_1 &\in C, \\
  y_n &= \beta_n T^n x_n + (1 - \beta_n)x_n, \\
  x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n T^n y_n + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n, \quad n \geq 1,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \{\alpha_n\} \) and \( \{\beta_n\} \) are sequences in \((0, 1)\). Assume that the following restrictions are satisfied:

- (a) \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_n < \infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (q_n^2 - 1) < \infty \), where \( q_n = 2k_n - 1 \) for each \( n \geq 1 \);
- (b) \( a \leq \alpha_n \leq \beta_n \leq b \) for some \( a > 0 \) and some \( b \in (0, L^{-2}[\sqrt{1+L^2} - 1]) \),

then the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) generated by (\( \ast \)) converges weakly to a fixed point of \( T \).

Consider the following modified Noor iterative scheme:

Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex bounded subset of a Hilbert space \( H \) and \( T : C \to C \) a uniformly \( L \)-Lipschitz and asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequences \( \{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty) \) and \( \{\tau_n\} \subset [0, \infty) \) defined as in (1.21) such that \( F(T) \neq \emptyset \). Let \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) be a sequence in \( C \) generated by the following Noor iterative process:

\[
\begin{align*}
  x_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T^n y_n, \\
  y_n &= (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T^n z_n, \\
  z_n &= (1 - \gamma_n)x_n + \gamma_n T^n x_n, \quad n \geq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(1.27)

where \( \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \), \( \{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) and \( \{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \), are three sequences satisfying \( \alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \in [0, 1] \) for each \( n \).

In this paper, we study the convergence of the modified Noor iterative scheme (1.27) for the class of asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings in the intermediate sense. Our results improve and extend many others previously announced by other authors.

2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex bounded subset of a Hilbert space \( H \) and \( T : C \to C \) a uniformly \( L \)-Lipschitz and asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequences \( \{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty) \) and \( \{\tau_n\} \subset [0, \infty) \) defined as in (1.21) such that \( F(T) \neq \emptyset \). Let \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) be a sequence in \( C \) generated by the following Noor iterative process:

\[
\begin{align*}
  x_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T^n y_n, \\
  y_n &= (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T^n z_n, \\
  z_n &= (1 - \gamma_n)x_n + \gamma_n T^n x_n, \quad n \geq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(2.1)
Using (1.22), (2.4) and (2.5), we have

Proof. Fix \( p \in F(T) \). From Lemma 1.5, (2.1) and (1.22), we obtain

\[
\|z_n - p\|^2 = \|(1 - \gamma_n)(x_n - p) + \gamma_n(T^nx_n - p)\|^2
\]

\[
= (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n\|T^nx_n - p\|^2 - \gamma_n(1 - \gamma_n)\|T^nx_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n\|g_n\|\|x_n - p\| + \|x_n - T^nx_n\|^2 + 2\tau_n
\]

\[
= \gamma_n(1 - \gamma_n)\|T^nx_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq q_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n\|x_n - T^nx_n\|^2 + 2\gamma_n\tau_n - \gamma_n(1 - \gamma_n)\|T^nx_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq q_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n\|T^nx_n - x_n\|^2 + 2\gamma_n\tau_n
\]

\[
||z_n - T^n z_n||^2 = \|(1 - \gamma_n)(x_n - T^n x_n) + \gamma_n(T^n x_n - T^n z_n)\|^2
\]

\[
= (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - T^n x_n\|^2 + \gamma_n\|T^n x_n - T^n z_n\|^2
\]

\[
= (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - T^n x_n\|^2 + \gamma_n\|T^n x_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - T^n x_n\|^2 + \gamma_n(1 - \gamma_n)\|T^n x_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - T^n x_n\|^2 + \gamma_n\|x_n - T^n x_n\|^2 + 2\tau_n
\]

\[
= (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - T^n x_n\|^2 + \gamma_n(1 - \gamma_n)\|T^n x_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - T^n x_n\|^2 + \gamma_n\|x_n - T^n x_n\|^2 + 2\tau_n
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - T^n x_n\|^2 + \gamma_n\|x_n - T^n x_n\|^2 + 2\tau_n
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \gamma_n)\|x_n - T^n x_n\|^2 + \gamma_n\|x_n - T^n x_n\|^2 + 2\tau_n
\]

Using Lemma 1.5, (1.22), (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain:

\[
\|y_n - p\|^2 = \|(1 - \beta_n)(x_n - p) + \beta_n(T^n z_n - p)\|^2
\]

\[
= (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n\|T^n z_n - p\|^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|T^n z_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n\|q_n\|\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|z_n - T^n z_n\|^2 + 2\tau_n
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n\|q_n\|\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|z_n - T^n z_n\|^2 + 2\tau_n + \beta_n(1 - \gamma_n)\|T^n z_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq q_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n\|q_n\|\|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n\|x_n - T^n x_n\|^2 + 2\gamma_n\tau_n + \beta_n(1 - \gamma_n)\|T^n x_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq q_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n\|q_n\|\|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n\|x_n - T^n x_n\|^2 + 2\gamma_n\tau_n + \beta_n(1 - \gamma_n)\|T^n x_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq q_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n\|q_n\|\|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n\|x_n - T^n x_n\|^2 + 2\gamma_n\tau_n + \beta_n(1 - \gamma_n)(1 - \gamma_n - q_n - 2\gamma_n L^2)\|T^n x_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq q_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n\|q_n\|\|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n\|x_n - T^n x_n\|^2 + 2\gamma_n\tau_n + \beta_n(1 - \gamma_n)(1 - \gamma_n - q_n - 2\gamma_n L^2)\|T^n x_n - x_n\|^2
\]

Using Lemma 1.5, (1.22), (2.1) and (2.3), we have

\[
\|y_n - T^n y_n\|^2 = \|(1 - \beta_n)(x_n - T^n y_n) + \beta_n(T^n z_n - T^n y_n)\|^2
\]

\[
= (1 - \beta_n)(x_n - T^n y_n\|^2 + \beta_n(T^n z_n - T^n y_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n y_n\|^2 + \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|T^n z_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n y_n\|^2 + \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|T^n z_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n y_n\|^2 + \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|T^n z_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n y_n\|^2 + \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|T^n z_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
= (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n y_n\|^2 + \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|T^n z_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n y_n\|^2 + \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|T^n z_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n y_n\|^2 + \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|T^n z_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n y_n\|^2 + \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|T^n z_n - x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n y_n\|^2 + \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|T^n z_n - x_n\|^2
\]

Using (1.22), (2.4) and (2.5), we have
From condition (b), we observe that there exists

By triangle inequality, we have

Hence, from (2.12) we obtain

Using Lemma 1.5, (1.22) and (2.6), we obtain:

From condition (b), we observe that there exists \( n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \)

We note that

Using Lemma 1.4, we see that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - p \| \) exists. For each \( n \geq n_0 \), we observe that

Hence,

Note that

Hence, from (2.12) we obtain

By triangle inequality, we have
\[ \|x_n - T x_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - T^{n+1} x_{n+1}\| + \|T^{n+1} x_{n+1} - T^{n+1} x_n\| + L \|T^n x_n - x_n\| \]

From (2.12) and (2.14), we have

\[ \lim \limits_{n \to \infty} \|T^n x_n - x_n\|^2 = 0. \]  

But \( \{x_n\} \) is bounded, hence we observe that there exists a subsequence \( \{x_{n_j}\} \subset \{x_n\} \) such that \( x_{n_j} \rightharpoonup x^* \). From Lemma 1.7, we have that \( x^* \in F(T) \).

We now prove that \( \{x_n\} \) converges weakly to \( x^* \). Next, we prove that \( x^* \) is unique. Suppose that there exists some subsequence \( \{x_{n_j}\} \subset \{x_n\} \) such that \( \{x_{n_j}\} \) converges weakly to \( x' \in C \) and \( x^* \neq x' \). From Lemma 1.7, we can show that \( x' \in F(T) \). Put \( d = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x^*\| \). Since \( H \) satisfies Opial property, we see that

\[ d = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \|x_{n_j} - x^*\| < \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \|x_n - x^*\| \]

\[ = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \|x_{n_j} - x'\| < \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \|x_n - x^*\| \]

\[ = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \|x_{n_j} - x'\| = d. \]  

Which is a contradiction. It follows that \( x^* = x' \). The proof of the theorem is complete.

Next, we establish the hybrid Noor algorithm for \( L \)-Lipschitzian asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings in the intermediate sense to obtain a strong convergence theorem without any compact assumption.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex bounded subset of a real Hilbert space \( H \), \( P_C \) the metric projection from \( H \) onto \( C \), and \( T : C \to C \) a uniformly \( L \)-Lipschitz and asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping in the intermediate sense with sequences \( \{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty) \) and \( \tau_n \subset [0, \infty) \) defined as in (1.21). Let \( q_n = 2k_n - 1 \) for each \( n \geq 1 \). Assume that \( F(T) \neq \emptyset \). Let \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \) be a sequence in \( C \) generated by the following hybrid Noor algorithm:

\[
\begin{align*}
  y_n &= (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T^n z_n \\
  z_n &= (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T^n s_n \\
  s_n &= (1 - \gamma_n)x_n + \gamma_n T^n x_n, \quad n \geq 0, \\
  C_n &= \{u \in C : \|y_n - u\|^2 \leq \|x_n - u\|^2 + \alpha_n \theta_n + \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n q_n (\gamma_n + \gamma_n q_n + \gamma_n^2 L^2 - 1) \|T^n x_n - x_n\|^2 \} \\
  Q_n &= \{u \in C : \langle x_1 - x_n, x_n - u \rangle \geq 0 \}, \\
  x_{n+1} &= P_{C_n \cap Q_n} x_1,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) and \( \{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \), are three sequences satisfying \( \alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \in [0, 1] \) for each \( n \) and \( \theta_n = q_n (1 + \beta_n (q_n - 1)] - 1) M + 2 \tau_n (1 + q_n + q_n^2) \) for each \( n \geq 1 \). Assume that the control sequences \( \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \) and \( \{\gamma_n\} \) are chosen such that \( a \leq \alpha_n \leq \beta_n \leq \gamma_n \leq b \) for some \( a > 0 \) and some \( b \in (0, L^{-2}[\sqrt{1 + L^2} - 1)] \). Then the sequence generated by (2.18) converges strongly to a fixed point of \( T \).

**Proof.** The proof is divided into seven steps.
Step 1. We must show that \( C_n \cap Q_n \) is closed and convex for all \( n \geq 1 \). By definition of \( Q_n \), it is clear that it is closed and convex and \( C_n \) is closed for each \( n \geq 1 \). We, therefore, only need to show that \( C_n \) is convex for each \( n \geq 1 \).

Observe that
\[
C_n = \{ u \in C : \| y_n - u \|^2 \leq \| x_n - u \|^2 + \alpha_n \theta_n + \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n \| n \| + \gamma_n \| n \| + \gamma_n \| n \| + \frac{\gamma_n^2 \| n \|^2}{\gamma_n \| n \| + \gamma_n \| n \| + \gamma_n \| n \| + 1} \| T^n x_n - x_n \|^2 \} \tag{2.19}
\]
is equivalent to
\[
C'_n = \{ u \in C : 2 \langle x_n - y_n, u \rangle \leq \| x_n \|^2 - \| y_n \|^2 + \alpha_n \theta_n + \alpha_n \beta_n \gamma_n \| n \| + \gamma_n \| n \| + \gamma_n \| n \| + 1 \| T^n x_n - x_n \|^2 \} \tag{2.20}
\]
Clearly, we see that \( C'_n \) is convex for each \( n \geq 1 \). This implies that \( C_n \cap Q_n \) is closed and convex for each \( n \geq 1 \). This completes Step 1.

Step 2. We must show that \( F(T) \subset C_n \cap Q_n \) for each \( n \geq 1 \).

Let \( p \in F(T) \). From Lemma 1.3 and the algorithm (2.18), we observe that
\[
\| y_n - p \|^2 = \| (1 - \alpha_n) (x_n - p) + \alpha_n (T^n z_n - p) \|^2
\]
\[
= (1 - \alpha_n) \| x_n - p \|^2 + \alpha_n \| T^n z_n - p \|^2 - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) \| T^n z_n - x_n \|^2
\]
\[
\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \| x_n - p \|^2 + \alpha_n \| q_n \| z_n - p \|^2 + \| z_n - T^n z_n \|^2 + 2 \alpha_n \theta_n
\]
\[
= (1 - \alpha_n) \| x_n - p \|^2 + \alpha_n \| q_n \| z_n - p \|^2 + \| z_n - T^n z_n \|^2 + 2 \alpha_n \theta_n
\]
\[
\| s_n - p \|^2 = \| (1 - \gamma_n) (x_n - p) + \gamma_n (T^n x_n - p) \|^2
\]
\[
= (1 - \gamma_n) \| x_n - p \|^2 + \gamma_n \| T^n x_n - p \|^2 - \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) \| T^n x_n - x_n \|^2
\]
\[
\leq (1 - \gamma_n) \| x_n - p \|^2 + \gamma_n \| q_n \| x_n - p \|^2 + \| x_n - T^n x_n \|^2 + 2 \gamma_n \theta_n
\]
\[
= (1 - \gamma_n) \| x_n - p \|^2 + \gamma_n \| q_n \| x_n - p \|^2 + \| x_n - T^n x_n \|^2 + 2 \gamma_n \theta_n
\]
\[
\| z_n - p \|^2 = \| (1 - \beta_n) (x_n - p) + \beta_n (T^n s_n - p) \|^2
\]
\[
= (1 - \beta_n) \| x_n - p \|^2 + \beta_n \| T^n s_n - p \|^2 - \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) \| T^n s_n - x_n \|^2
\]
\[
\leq (1 - \beta_n) \| x_n - p \|^2 + \beta_n \| q_n \| s_n - p \|^2 + \| s_n - T^n s_n \|^2 + 2 \beta_n \theta_n
\]
\[
= (1 - \beta_n) \| x_n - p \|^2 + \beta_n \| q_n \| s_n - p \|^2 + \| s_n - T^n s_n \|^2 + 2 \beta_n \theta_n
\]
\[
\| s_n - T^n s_n \|^2 = \| (1 - \gamma_n) (x_n - T^n s_n) + \gamma_n (T^n x_n - T^n s_n) \|^2
\]
\[
= (1 - \gamma_n) \| x_n - T^n s_n \|^2 + \gamma_n \| T^n x_n - T^n s_n \|^2
\]
\[
\leq (1 - \gamma_n) \| x_n - T^n s_n \|^2 + \gamma_n \| T^n x_n - x_n \|^2
\]
\[
\| z_n - T^n z_n \|^2 = \| (1 - \beta_n) (x_n - T^n z_n) + \beta_n (T^n s_n - T^n z_n) \|^2
\]
\[
= (1 - \beta_n) \| x_n - T^n z_n \|^2 + \beta_n \| T^n s_n - T^n z_n \|^2
\]
\[
\leq (1 - \beta_n) \| x_n - T^n z_n \|^2 + \beta_n \| T^n s_n - x_n \|^2
\]

Using (2.22)-(2.25), we obtain:
\[\|y_n - p\|^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n \|z_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n \|z_n - T^n z_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \tau_n (1 - \alpha_n)\|T^n z_n - x_n\|^2\]
\[\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n \|z_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n \|z_n - T^n z_n\|^2 + \beta_n \|s_n - T^n s_n\|^2 + 2\beta_n \tau_n - \beta_n (1 - \beta_n)\|T^n s_n - x_n\|^2 + \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n z_n\|^2 + \alpha_n \|s_n - z_n\|^2 + \alpha_n \|z_n - T^n s_n - x_n\|^2 + \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n)\|T^n s_n - x_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \tau_n - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n)\|T^n z_n - x_n\|^2\]
\[= (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n \|s_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n \|z_n\|^2 + \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n s_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \tau_n - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n)\|T^n s_n - x_n\|^2\]
\[\leq ((1 - \alpha_n) + \alpha_n q_n (1 - \beta_n))\|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n \|z_n\|^2 + \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n s_n\|^2 + 2\gamma_n \tau_n - \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n)\|T^n x_n - x_n\|^2 + \gamma_n L^2 \|x_n - s_n\|^2 + \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n)\|T^n x_n - x_n\|^2 + \alpha_n \|s_n - z_n\|^2 + \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n)\|T^n s_n - x_n\|^2 + \alpha_n \|x_n - T^n s_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \tau_n - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n)\|T^n s_n - x_n\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \|x_n - T^n s_n\|^2 + \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n \|s_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n s_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \tau_n - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n)\|T^n s_n - x_n\|^2\]
\[\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n \|s_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n s_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \tau_n - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n)\|T^n s_n - x_n\|^2\]
\[\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n s_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \tau_n - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n)\|T^n s_n - x_n\|^2\]
\[\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n s_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \tau_n - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n)\|T^n s_n - x_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \|x_n - T^n s_n\|^2 + \alpha_n \|z_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n \|z_n\|^2 + \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n s_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \tau_n - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n)\|T^n s_n - x_n\|^2\]
\[\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n s_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \tau_n - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n)\|T^n s_n - x_n\|^2 + \gamma_n L^2 \|x_n - s_n\|^2 + \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n)\|T^n x_n - x_n\|^2 + \alpha_n \|s_n - z_n\|^2 + \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n)\|T^n s_n - x_n\|^2 + \alpha_n \|x_n - T^n s_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \tau_n - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n)\|T^n s_n - x_n\|^2\]
\[\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n)\|x_n - T^n s_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \tau_n - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n)\|T^n s_n - x_n\|^2 + \gamma_n L^2 \|x_n - s_n\|^2 + \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n)\|T^n x_n - x_n\|^2 + \alpha_n \|s_n - z_n\|^2 + \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n)\|T^n s_n - x_n\|^2 + \alpha_n \|x_n - T^n s_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \tau_n - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n)\|T^n s_n - x_n\|^2\]
(2.26)

where \(\theta_n = q_n(1 + \beta_n(q_n - 1) - 1)M + 2(q_n + 1)\tau_n\) for each \(n \geq 1\). It follows that \(p \in C_n\) for all \(n \geq 1\). This shows that \(F(T) \subseteq C_n\) for all \(n \geq 1\).

We now show that \(F(T) \subseteq Q_n\) for all \(n \geq 1\). We prove this by inductions. Clearly, \(F(T) \subseteq Q_1 = C\). Suppose that \(F(T) \subseteq Q_k\) for some \(k > 1\). Since \(x_{k+1}\) is the projection of \(x_1\) onto \(C_k \cap Q_k\), we see that

\[\langle x_1 - x_{k+1}, x_{k+1} - y \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C_k \cap Q_k.\]

By induction, we know that \(F(T) \subseteq C_k \cap Q_k\). Hence, for each \(y \in F(T) \subseteq C\), we obtain:

\[\langle x_1 - x_{k+1}, x_{k+1} - y \rangle \geq 0,\]
(2.27)

this implies that \(y \in Q_{k+1}\). Hence, \(F(T) \subseteq C_{k+1}\). This shows that \(F(T) \subseteq Q_n\) for all \(n \geq 1\). Hence, \(F(T) \subseteq C_n \cap Q_n\) for each \(n \geq 1\). This completes step 2.

Step 3. We must show that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x_1\|\) exists. From (2.18), we observe that \(x_n = P_{Q_n} x_1\) and \(x_n+1 \in Q_n\) which shos that

\[\|x_1 - x_n\| \leq \|x_1 - x_{n+1}\|\]
(2.28)

Hence the sequence \(\|x_n - x_1\|\) is nondecreasing. Recall that \(C\) is bounded. This implies that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x_1\|\) exists. This completes step 3.

Step 4. We must show that \(x_n+1 - x_n \to 0\) as \(n \to \infty\). Observe that \(x_n = P_{Q_n} x_1\) and \(x_{n+1} = P_{C_n \cap Q_n} x_n \in Q_n\). This implies that

\[\langle x_{n+1} - x_n, x_1 - x_n \rangle \leq 0,\]
(2.29)

from which it follows that
Similarly, from the assumption, we observe that there exists $n_0$ such that
\[ \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 = \| (x_{n+1} - x_1) + (x_1 - x_n) \|^2 \]
\[ = \| x_{n+1} - x_1 \|^2 + \| x_1 - x_n \|^2 + 2 \langle x_{n+1} - x_1, x_1 - x_n \rangle \]
\[ \leq \| x_{n+1} - x_1 \|^2 - \| x_1 - x_n \|^2. \]  
(2.30)

Hence, we obtain $x_{n+1} - x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This completes step 4.

Step 5. We must show that $T^n x_n - x_n \to 0$ and $T^n s_n - x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

In view of $x_{n+1} \in C_n$, we obtain
\[ \|y_n - x_{n+1}\|^2 \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|^2 + \alpha_n \theta_n \]
\[ + \| x_{n+1} \|^2 - \| x_{n+1} \|^2 = \| x_{n+1} \|^2 - \| x_{n+1} \|^2. \]
(2.31)

Combining (2.31) and (2.32) and recalling that $y_n = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T^n z_n$, we obtain:
\[ \alpha_n \|T^n z_n - x_n\|^2 + 2 \langle T^n z_n - x_n, x_n - x_{n+1} \rangle \]
\[ \leq \theta_n + [\alpha_n \beta_n^2 L^2 \gamma_n (q_n \gamma_n + L^2 \gamma_n^2 - 1)] \|T^n x_n - x_n\|^2 \]
\[ + (\alpha_n \beta_n^2 - \gamma_n \alpha_n q_n \beta_n^2 + \alpha_n \beta_n^2 L^2 \gamma_n + \alpha_n \beta_n^2 - \gamma_n \alpha_n q_n \beta_n^2) \|T^n x_n - x_n\|^2. \]
(2.33)

From the assumption, we observe that there exists $n_0$ such that
\[ 1 - q_n \gamma_n - L^2 \gamma_n^2 > \frac{1 - 2b - L^2 b^2}{2} > 0, \forall n \geq n_0. \]
(2.34)

For any $n \geq n_0$, it follows from (2.33) that
\[ a(1 - 2b - L^2 b^2) \]
\[ \frac{2}{2} \leq \theta_n + 2 \|T^n z_n - x_n\| \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|. \]
(2.35)

Similarly,
\[ a(1 - 2b - L^2 b^2) \]
\[ \frac{2}{2} \leq \theta_n + 2 \|T^n z_n - x_n\| \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|. \]
(2.36)

Note that $\theta_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Hence, by step 4, we have:
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T^n x_n - x_n\| = 0. \]
(2.37)

and
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T^n s_n - x_n\| = 0. \]
(2.38)

This completes step 5.

Step 6. We must show that $T x_n - x_n \to 0$ and $T s_n - x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.
\[ \|x_n - T x_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - T^{n+1} x_{n+1}\| \]
\[ + \|T^{n+1} x_{n+1} - T x_n\| + \|T^{n+1} x_n - T x_n\| \]
\[ \leq (1 + L) \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - T^{n+1} x_{n+1}\| \]
\[ + L \|T^n x_n - x_n\|. \]
(2.39)

Similarly,
\[ \|x_n - T s_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - T^{n+1} s_{n+1}\| \]
\[ + \|T^{n+1} s_{n+1} - T s_n\| + \|T^{n+1} s_n - T s_n\| \]
\[ \leq (1 + L) \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - T^{n+1} s_{n+1}\| \]
\[ + L \|T^n s_n - x_n\|. \]
(2.40)
The conclusion follows from Step 5. This completes Step 6.

**Step 7.** We must show that $x_n \rightarrow q$, where $q = P_{F(T)}x_1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

From Lemma 1.5, we have that $\omega_w(x_n) \subset F(T)$. From $x_n = P_{Q_n}x_1$ and $F(T) \subset Q_n$, we observe that

$$\|x_1 - x_n\| \leq \|x_1 - q\|. \quad (2.41)$$

From Lemma 1.5 of Yanes and Xu [31], we obtain Step 7. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete.

**Remark 2.3.** The results of Theorem 2.2 is more general and it is an improvement of X. Qin et al. [24], in the sense that if $\gamma_n = 0 \ \forall n \geq 1$, then, we obtain the results of Qin et al. [24]. If $\tau_n = 0, \ \forall n \geq 1$, then we obtain the results of Kim and Xu [10], Marino and Xu [13], Qin et al. [24], Sahu et al. [28] and Zhou [33].

3. Conclusion

The fixed points results established in this paper improves, generalizes and extends several other fixed point results in literature including Schu [29], Qin et al. [24] among others.
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