Socio-Economic Factors Influencing Health Behavior of Women and Immunization Status of Children in Nigeria

Temitope O. ILUSANYA, Muyiwa OLADOSUN Department of Demography and Social Statistics, Covenant University, Ota Ogun State, Nigeria. Email: ilusanyatemitope@gmail.com

Abstract—Women's health behavior is a major barrier to the immunization status of children in Nigeria. Despite the intervention and progress over the years on childhood immunization in Nigeria four out of five children still do not see their fifth birthday due to preventable diseases. Women's health behavior has been recognized as a major barrier to the immunization status of children in Nigeria. The purpose of this study is to examine the Socio-economic factors influencing the health behavior of women and immunization status of children in Nigeria. Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS) that included the women 15-49 years. The result of the analysis shows that 69.5% of the respondents have ever had vaccination. This study revealed that mothers' level of education, type of occupation, place of residence, wealth quintile, religion and region were significantly associated with health behavior of women (p-value = 0.000). Region, residence, level of education varied significantly by respondents' check-up after delivery (pvalue = 0.000), respondent checked health status before delivery (p-value= 0.000), and number of antenatal visits during pregnancy (p-value = 0.000). Future policy geared to increase immunization coverage will have to consider these factors.

Keywords—Socio economic factors, Health Behavior of women, immunization status, Nigeria, NDHS 2013

I. INTRODUCTION

Vaccines are among the most effective preventive health measures in reducing child mortality, morbidity, and disability [1]. Immunization is described as a form of health technology that is central on child health care practice that is aiming at reducing preventing or protecting an individual against epidemic [2]. In 2011 alone, statistics reveal that, about seven million under-5 mortality occurred globally, out of which about 41% occurred in sub-Saharan Africa and vast majority of the deaths are preventable through universal immunization coverage in the region [3]. In fact, about 30 million out of the 130 million children born every year worldwide are not receiving vaccination of any kind [4].

Child mortality has fallen significantly in many lowincome countries; however, sub-Saharan Africa continues to experience the slowest fall in mortality rate among children [5]. Studies in Africa have shown that about 3 million children in developing countries still die and many more are crippled, blinded, or otherwise disabled from six major diseases that are preventable through immunization (World Health Organization [6]. While coverage was estimated to be less than 80% in 36 countries, six countries (Chad, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Nigeria, Palau and Somalia) failed to achieve 50% coverage level [6].

Nigeria is among the countries with vaccine coverage rate below 50% [7]. In Nigeria, an estimated 10.8 million children die worldwide each year, of which 41% of these deaths occur in sub-Saharan Africa and 34% in South Asia [8]. Nigeria is among the countries which has a very low coverage rate of childhood vaccines in the world [9]. Nigeria's immunization coverage rates are among the worst in the world [10]. Measles was responsible for 5 percent of the child deaths in Africa [11]. It has been documented that children from parents who live below the poverty level have lower immunization coverage than those who live above poverty level [12]. Parents of higher socio-economical background with non-minority children were far more likely to have complete immunization [13].

[14] observed that many factors such as poor knowledge of immunization, lack of suitable venues, long waiting, transportation difficulties, non-medical facilities and poor motivation impede smooth realization of the objectives of immunization programmes. Mothers who have poor health, their children are likely to also suffer poor health either because of inheritance or because of the type of place where they grew up [15]. Even though several other factors are responsible for low immunization coverage in Nigeria which is yet to be explored. Hence the need for this present study which seeks to examine the impact of socio economic factors (Mother's Educational attainment, employment status, marital status, wealth quantile, Religion and place of residence) influencing women's health behavior and immunization status.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

In immunization coverage, widespread inequalities persist to the disadvantage of parents in the lowest socio economic quintile, including parents in rural areas and no education which affects a child's immunization status [16].

A. Socio Economic Factors

Child health is significantly related to maternal health as health status of the mother impact the health of the child prior

to delivery and after [17]. Mothers below 30 years were 2.26 times more likely to be fully immunized [18]. To reduce underfive (5) mortality rate mother's education is an important independent factor [19]. Mothers who completed primary education were less likely to have their children fully immunized compared to women who have no education at all [20]. A woman is empowered through education to access relevant health care services such as prenatal care, antenatal care and childhood immunization [21]. Mothers who are educated have three times more chances to immunize their children than the uneducated mothers; therefore there is a significant relationship between mother's education status and child immunization status [22]. In another study, uneducated mothers are less concerned about their children's immunization status compared to highly educated mothers [18]. Immunization status of children can be increased through the increase in education of women on health care services [23]. Educational qualification does not influence parents to immunize their children when due and education gualification does not significantly influence the belief of people about the causes of the diseases [24], Another highly significant factor that influences parents to be willing to immunize their children is marital status [14].

In 2003, some leaders from three states in Nigeria who are religious and also into politics shunned a polio campaign organized by WHO with an assertion that vaccines are causes of AIDS and infertility in the country [2]. The choice of health practice women adopt is a function of their beliefs [25]. Religion, social and religion are not barriers to routine immunization [26]. Vaccination is believed by some respondents to be a form of family planning which is targeted towards the Muslims [27].

There is a positive association between religion, marital status, household size, and age with their knowledge of expanded programme immunization [25]. Education and wealth status of women has a strong hold on their health seeking behavior and also on child survival [21]. Mothers who are wealthier are more likely to attend a first visit than poorer mothers. Mothers' age is an influencing factor on utilization of prenatal care services [28]. The level of child health and infant mortality is a function of traditional mores, condition including health literacy or awareness as well as community development [29]. Children born to mothers educated have a lower mortality risks because educated women tend to marry and have their first child during their reproductive ages than uneducated women who give birth before and after their reproductive age which leads to lower birth weight and poor health status of the child [30].

Children in wealthier families have access to high quality health care and living conditions [31]. It is reported that children in urban area have consistency higher immunization rates than those in rural areas [32].

B. Health Behavior of Women

Other factors also associated with the immunization status of children are place of delivery, access to health facilities [33]. Two studies have indicated that antenatal visit and birth in health facility give children a more likely chance to be fully vaccinated since mothers will be exposed to health information on immunization [34]. According to [35], children who are born in the health facilities are more likely to be vaccinated with BCG since it is given immediately after birth and up to date with their vaccination unlike children who were not given birth to at home. Prenatal care increases the chances of a child having access to health care services such as immunization and institutional delivery. Almost 40 percent of women with no education gave birth without receiving any antenatal care compared with 60 percent of women who with secondary education.

III. THE DATA SOURCE

This study used the 2013 Nigeria Demographic Health Survey (NDHS) women data. According to the study report, data on immunization status was collected from vaccination cards and in cases where not available or a vaccination was not recorded, the mother's recall of vaccination was accepted. This study includes a sample of 26,046 women aged 15-49 who had children aged five years or younger in household at the time of survey.

IV. SAMPLE DESIGN

The sample survey uses as sampling frame the list of enumeration areas (EAs) prepared before 2006 population census of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, provided by the National Population Commission. The NDHS sample was selected using a stratified three stage cluster design consisting of 904 clusters, 372 in urban areas and 532 in rural areas. A representative sample of 40,680 households was selected for the survey. A fixed sample take of 45 households were selected for cluster. All women age 15-49 who were either permanent residents of the household in the 2013 NDHS sample or visitors present in the households were interviewed.

V. DATA COLLECTION

Unlike the previous NDHS surveys, data collection was carried out in six zones (rather than the states). NDHS 2013 was carried out by 37 interviewing teams, one for each of the 36 states of the country and the Federal Capital Territory (FCT). Data was collected from February 15th to the end of May 2013 (with the exception of two states Kano and Lagos who completed the data collected in June 2013).

VI. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

Due to the security situation at the time this data collection was conducted, the survey could not be accomplished in eight clusters (four in Borno, two in Yobe, one in Nasarawa, one in Plateau).

Table 1: Frequency Distribution of Socio Economic Factors, Women Health behavior, and Children Immunization

| V ar iab les | Frequency N=(26,046) | Percentage % | Variables | Frequency N=(26,046) | Percentage % |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Women age in groups | 1011 50 | \$ <u>.</u> | No antenatal visits during | | |
| 15-19 | 4153 | 15.9 | pregnancy | | |
| 20-24 | 4571 | 17.5 | None-don't know | 6808 | 35.1 |
| 25-29 | 5596 | 21.5 | 1-3 | 2407 | 12.4 |
| 30-34 | 4442 | 171 | 4-6 | 4390 | 22.6 |
| 35-39 | 3553 | 13.6 | 7-9 | 2078 | 10.7 |
| 40+ | 3731 | 143 | 10+ | 3704 | 191 |
| Region | 2721 | | Place of delivery by major types | 5701 | |
| North-Central | 4035 | 15.5 | of facility | | |
| North East | 5167 | 10.8 | Home based facility | 11501 | 59.5 |
| North West | 7696 | 20.5 | Dublic health facility | 5150 | 267 |
| South East | 2403 | 02 | Pointe health facility | 2685 | 13.0 |
| e and e and | 2403 | 120 | Filivate nearth facility | 2005 | 13.9 |
| South-South | 2200 | 12.9 | | | |
| South-West | 2228 | 15.0 | | | |
| Place of Kesid ence | 10000 | (10 | Respondents Professional | | |
| Rural | 16/54 | 64.3 | checkup - all first six children | | |
| Urban | 9292 | 35.7 | None prof | 169 | 2.0 |
| | | | Low level prof | 2036 | 23.9 |
| | | | Medium level prof | 3556 | 41.7 |
| | | | High level prof | 2768 | 32.5 |
| Highest Education level | | | Respondents health checked after | | |
| No education | 10925 | 41.9 | discharge – delivery –all first six | | |
| Primary | 5027 | 19.3 | children | | |
| Secondary | 8375 | 32.2 | No-don't know | 10432 | 79.0 |
| Higher | 1719 | 6.6 | Yes | 2769 | 21.0 |
| Marital Status | | | Child ever been Vaccinated - all | 759789 | 200 |
| Name in union | 4134 | 15.9 | first six Children | | |
| Magning lining together | 20084 | 80.6 | No | 3024 | 26.5 |
| No longer living together widewed | 028 | 3.6 | OVAL CONFERENCE ON | 10857 | 73.5 |
| tvo tonger hving together-widowed- | 720 | | VELODMENT ISSUES (CILICA | 10857 | 13.5 |
| divorced-separated | | | P I I PCC II C I CO-ICA | | |
| Keligion of respondent | 14000 | | Received BCG - all first six | | |
| Islam-Irad. | 14693 | 56./ | children | | |
| Catholic | 2285 | 8.8 | No | 8522 | 44.8 |
| Other Christian | 8939 | 34.5 | Yes | 10512 | 55.2 |
| Wealth Index | | | Received Measles Vaccination all | | |
| Poorest | 5304 | 20.4 | first six children | | |
| Poorer | 5699 | 21.9 | No | 10569 | 55.6 |
| Middle | 5332 | 20.5 | Yes | 8438 | 44.4 |
| Richer | 5126 | 19.7 | | | |
| Richest | 4585 | 17.6 | | | |
| Number of Living Children and | | | Received Polio 0 Vaccination- all | | |
| pregnancy | 4116 | 15.8 | six children | | |
| None | 7547 | 29.0 | No | 9684 | 50.9 |
| 1-2 | 7133 | 274 | Yes | 9358 | 491 |
| 3.4 | 7250 | 27.8 | | | |
| 54 | 1200 | 27.0 | | | |
| JT F num la runs ant Status | | | Received Dalie 2 Versingtion all | | |
| L'impiloyment Status | 0418 | 261 | Received Folio 5 vacchation-an | 0 4 72 | 45.0 |
| No working | 9418 | 50.4 | six children | 04/5 | 45.0 |
| working | 1648/ | 03.0 | NO | 103/0 | 55.0 |
| | | | Yes | | |
| V isited Health Facility | 122228 | 100000000 | | | |
| No | 19151 | 73.9 | | | |
| Yes | 6771 | 26.1 | | | |

Table 2: Logistics Regression: Socio economic factors, Health behavior of women and children immunization

| v anables | received | BCG | Measles | 0 Polio | 3 Polio |
|--|---------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|
| Women age in groups | annionization | | | | |
| 15-19 (Ref) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 20-24 | 1 461* | 1 617*** | 1 546*** | 1.037 | 1 508*** |
| 25-29 | 1 614** | 1 699*** | 2 117*** | 1 233 | 1 913*** |
| 30.34 | 1.657** | 1 973*** | 2 202*** | 1160 | 2 005*** |
| 35.30 | 1.864** | 2 127*** | 2.292 | 1391* | 1 746*** |
| 404 | 1.004** | 1 693** | 2.290 | 0.066 | 1.723*** |
| +u+ Panian | 1.929** | 1.065*** | 2.373*** | 0.900 | 1.732*** |
| Nexth Control (Ref) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| North-Central (Ref) | 0.917 | 0.000* | 0.070 | 0.560### | 1 210** |
| North West | 2 001*** | 0.450*** | 0.034 | 0.193*** | 1.501*** |
| South East | 0.791 | 1360 | 0.022 | 1197 | 1.002 |
| South Cauth | 1 40688 | 1.572 *** | 1 20688 | 0 65/888 | 1 214*** |
| South -South | 1.460*** | 1.3/3 | 0.750*** | 0.604*** | 0.01188 |
| South - West | 1.085 | 0.830 | 0.750*** | 0.600*** | 0.811** |
| Place of residence | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Kural (Ker) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Urban | 0.827 | 1.159 | 1.014 | 1.231** | 1.035 |
| nighest education level | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| No Education (Ket) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frimary | 1.685*** | 1.812*** | 1.598*** | 1.463*** | 1.349*** |
| Secondary | 1975*** | 2.717*** | 2.142*** | 1.862*** | 1.580*** |
| Higher | 3.170*** | 3.681*** | 2.568*** | 2.361*** | 1.763*** |
| Marital Status | 20202.04 | 2533625 | 2:22/22 | 12001020 | 12452.04 |
| Never in union (Ref) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Marned-living together | 0.573 | 0.720 | 0.864 | 0.732 | 0.868 |
| No longer living together-widowed-divorced- | 0.967 | 0.681 | 0.912 | 0.706 | 0.946 |
| separa ted | | | | | |
| Religion | | | | | |
| Islam-trad (Ref). | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Catholic | 1.593** | 1.515** | 1.775*** | 1.436** | 1.184 |
| Other Christian | 1.360** | 1.571*** | 1.506*** | 1.477*** | 1.274*** |
| Wealth Index | | | | | |
| Poorest (Ref) | 1.00 | NT 11.00/EDG | LITY 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Poorer | 0.924 | 1.142 | 1.466** | 1.192 | 1.032 |
| Middle | 1.056 | 1.495** | 1.883*** | 1.548*** | 1.043 |
| Richer | 1.039 | 1.798*** | 2.118*** | 1.915*** | 1.085 |
| Richest | 1.620** | 2.839*** | 2.807*** | 2.858*** | 1.401** |
| Number of living children | | | | | |
| 1-2 (Ref) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 3-4 | 1.046 | 1.029 | 1.623*** | 1.035 | 0.993 |
| 5+ | 0.950 | 0.986 | 1.503*** | 1.035 | 0.953 |
| Employment Status | 2019/07/2019 | 105054575 | 0.000.000.000 | 01102.547 | |
| Noworking (Ref) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Working | 1 564*** | 1 210** | 1 298*** | 1164* | 1 102 |
| Visited health facility | 1.201 | | 1.270 | 1.104 | 1.102 |
| No (Raf) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Vas | 1 3 2 5 *** | 1 61 2 *** | 0.004 | 1 274*** | 1 300*** |
| No of an tan at a l vigits d wing nucesara | 1335444 | 1.015*** | 0.994 | 1.3/4+44 | 1.590**** |
| Nona-don't lmow (R af) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1 2 | 1746888 | 1 004*** | 1 510*** | 1 606828 | 1 622 *** |
| 1.6 | 1.740 | 1.550*** | 1.510*** | 2.090*** | 1.033*** |
| 7-0 | 1.725 | 2.2/3 | 1.040*** | 2.409*** | 1.429*** |
| 1-3 | 2.280*** | 3.041*** | 1.836*** | 2./11*** | 1.409*** |
| | 2.413*** | 3.828*** | 2.045*** | 2.851*** | 1./28*** |
| Place of delivery by major types of facility | 1.00 | 1 44 | | | 1.00 |
| Flome based facility (Kef) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Public health facility | 1.15/ | 1.55/*** | 1.351*** | 2.055*** | 0.977 |
| Private health facility | 1.024 | 1.116 | 1.185 | 1.684*** | 0.902 |
| Respondent Professional Checkup- all first six | | | | | |
| c hildren | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| None prof (Ref) | 0.490** | 1.256 | 1.215 | 1.079 | 0.684* |
| Low level prof | 0.591* | 1.600** | 1.363 | 1.513* | 0.727 |
| Medium level prof | 0.643 | 2.106*** | 1.538* | 1.733** | 0.694* |
| High level prof | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Wald: (Model chi square) | 1934.355 | 2719.154 | 660.343 | 1434.301 | 231.013 |
| -2 Log likelihood | 4280.921 | 5692.891 | 9246.166 | 7598.838 | 10747.086 |
| Nagelkerke R Square | 0.178 | 0.394 | 0.234 | 0.350 | 0.074 |
| | | | | | |

VII. RESULTS

A. Basic Description of Respondents

The majority of women (72%) were aged 34 or younger, and were from the North Central (15%), North East (19%), North West (29%), South East (9%), South South (12%), and South West (13%). Most of the respondents were from the rural areas (64%) and most were working (64%) at the time of survey. Most of the women had no education/Primary education (61%), and the m over half (55%) had three or more children., Results shows that the majority of women were married or living together (80%), and considerable proportion (58%) were of the middle/rich socioeconomic status. Over half of the respondents were either Moslems or Traditionalist. With respect to health behavior, close to 60% used home based facility during delivery, 27% used public health facility, and 14% used private health facility. Only 26% of women visited health facility, and of these, about half (52%) reported having had at least four antenatal visits, 21% reported health check after discharge, and 74% of these were checked by medium or high level professional.

Findings show that 73% of children aged five or younger had been vaccinated as at the time of the survey. Of those vaccinated, 44% had measles vaccination, 49% received polio 0, 55% polio 3, and 55% BCG.

B. Multivariate Results

1) Child Ever Received Immunization vs. Background Factors and Health Behavior

Women's health behavior was measured as; (1) visited health facility, (2) number of antenatal visits during pregnancy, (3), place of delivery of child, and (4) respondents professional check-up after delivery. Results in Table 2 show that the odds women immunized their children increased with age, education, and wealthiest women, and the odds varied significantly by region, religion, and work status.

The odds that women would have their children immunized varied significantly by whether they visited health facility, and the odds increased by number of antenatal visits during pregnancy, and level of professionals that checked them during visits.

2) Child received BCG immunization vs. background factors and health behavior

Results show that the odds that children received BCG increased by age, education, and wealth status index, and it varied significantly by regions (in some), religion, and employment status. The odds that children received BCG varied significantly by whether their mothers visited health facility, especially public health facility, and the odds increased by number of antenatal visits and level of professional that did checkup for them during visits

3) Child Received Measles Immunization vs. Background Factors and Health Behavior

Findings in Table 2 show that the odds that children received measles immunization increased with age, level of education, wealth index, and number of living children, and it varied significantly by region (some), religion, and employment status. The odds that children received measles immunization increased by number of antenatal visits, and varied significantly by whether they had check-up at public health facilities with high level health professionals.

4) Child Received Polio 0 & 3 Immunization vs. Background Factors and Health Behavior

Results in Table 2 suggest that the odds for children immunization on polio 0 and 3 increased with age (mostly for polio 3), level of education, and wealth index (mostly for polio 0), and the odds varied significantly by region, religion, employment status and residence (only for polio 0). The odds that children received polio 0 and polio 3 immunization varied significantly by whether women visited health facility, place of delivery (public or private), and the odds increased significantly by number of antenatal visits, and level of professional that checked the woman during visits.

VIII. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The results of this study is a pointer to the fact that background characteristics of women and their health behavior have significant effects on whether they would immunize their children or not. Key characteristics of women that are consistent in the data analysis and should be consider in designing programs to increase children immunization intakes in Nigeria are; age, region, education, religion, and wealth or socioeconomic status of women. These key background factors were consistently significant all through the five key immunization indicators examined in this study.

The number of visits women make to the health facility, whether they were attended to by high or medium level professional at a public or a private health facility have positive effects on immunization of children. These health behavioral factors should be factored into policies and programs geared to increase immunization intake in the country.

REFERENCES

- [1] Nyarko P, Pence B, Debpuur C (2001). Immunization Status and Child Survival in Rural Ghana. *Population Research Division Population Council, New York, No 147.*
- [2] Jegede, A.S (2005). The Cultural and Political Dynamics of Technology Delivery: Case Study of Infant Immunization in South West Nigeria. West African Social Science and Immunization network (WASSIN), Paper 3.
- [3] Rutherford, M. E., Mulholland, K., Hill, P. C. (2010). How access to health care relates to under-five mortality in sub-Saharan Africa: Systemic review. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, 15, 508-519.

- [4] World Health Organisation (WHO). (2000). World Health Report, 2000: Health Systems. WHO
- [5] Ahmad O, Lopez A, Ionue O. (2000). The decline in child mortality: a reappraisal. Bull World Health Organ, 78:, 1175-1191.
- [6] WHO/UNICEF. (2010). Percentage of Routine EPI Vaccines Financed by Government, District Coverage, Measles Control Activities and Immunization Schedules. WHO/UNICEF joint Reporting Form on Immunization.
- [7] Hersh, B. (2005). Beyond next steps in measles control. 5th Annual Measles Partners for Measles Advocacy Meeting; Geneva, Switzerland.
- [8] Maduabum, C. (2005). Immunization as a strategy for improved healthcare delivery: An empirical study of the six geopolitical zones in Nigeria. *Journal of Society Development and Public Health*, 2, 4-20.
- [9] Babalola S, Aina O. (2004). Community and systemic factors affecting the uptake of immunisation in Nigeria: A qualitative study in five states. National Report, Abuja: PATHS.
- [10] United Nations Children's Fund. (2001). The State of the World's Children. New York: UNICEF.
- [11] Bryce J, Boschi-Pinto C, Shibuya K, Black RE. (2005). WHO estimates of the causes of death in children. *Lancet*, 365:, 1147-1152.
- [12] Centres for Disease Control and Prevention. (2012). National, State and Local Area vaccination coverage among children 19 – 35 months – United State, 2011. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 61 (35): , 689 -696.
- [13] Bundt, T. (2004). National examination of compliance predictors and the immunization status of children: precursor to a developmental model for health systems. *Military Medicine*, 169, 795-802.
- [14] Rasheed Kola Ojikutu. (2012). Beliefs, Knowledge and Perception of Parents to Peadeatric. *Journal of Management and Sustainability*.
- [15] Propper,C.J., Rigg and S.Burgess 2007, (2007). Child health: Evidence on the roles of family income and maternal mental health from a Uk birth cohort. *Health Economics*, 1245-1269.
- [16] National Population Commission. (2004). Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey 2003. Calverton, Maryland: National Population Commission and ORC Macro.
- [17] UNICEF. (2007). The state of the world's children. New york : UNICEF.
- [18] Odusanya, O. O., Alufohai, E. F., Maurice, F.P., & Ahonkhai, V. I. (2008). Determinants of vaccination coverage in rural Nigeria. *BMC Public Health*, 8, 381.
- [19] Breiman RF1, Streatfield PK, Phelan M, Shifa N, Rashid M, Yunus M. (2004). Effect of infant immunisation on childhood mortality in rural Bangladesh: analysis of health and demographic surveillance data. *Lancet.*, 364 (9452):, 2204-11.

- [20] Jamil, K., Bhuiya, A., Streatfield, K., & Chakrabarty, N. (1999). The immunization programme in Bangladesh: Impressive gains in coverage, but gaps remain. *Health Policy and Planning*, 14(1):, 49-58.
- [21] Becker, S. P. (1993). The determinants of use of maternal and chld health services in Metro Cuba. *The Philippines Health Transition Review*, 3:, 77-89.
- [22] Patra, N. (2006). Universal immunization programme in India: The determinants of childhood immunization. *Dehli School of Economics*.
- [23] Munthali, A. C. (2007). Determinants of vaccination coverage in Malawi: evidence from the Demographic and Health Surveys. *Malawi Medical Journal*, 19(2):, 79-82.
- [24] Jibowo, A. (1992). Essentials of Rural Sociology. Gemi Sodipo Press Ltd. Abeokuta, Nigeria, 21-25.
- [25] Yomi Alfred S. D. and B. E. (2012, January). Rural Households' Perception of the Expanded Programme on Children Immunization (Epi) in the Southwest of Nigeria. Alfred Greener Journal of Medical Sciences, Vol. 2 (1), 012-018.
- [26] Christopher, O. (2009). The Social Determinants of Routine Immunisation in Ekiti State of Nigeria.
- [27] Mitchter, N. (1995). Vaccination in South Asia: false expectations and commanding metaphors. In: Coreil J, ed. Anthropology and primary health care. Oxford: Westview Press., 196-221.
- [28] Kroeger, A. (1983). Anthropological and socio-medical health care research in developing countries. *Social Science and Medicine.*, 17 (3):, 147–61.
- [29] Aigbe, G. (2012). Infant and child mortality rates are important indicators of the health status of a country. *International Journal of Humanities and social science*, 214.
- [30] Darlene. (2002). Association of early child bearing and low cognitive ability. Perspective on Sexual and Reproductive Health, 34(5), 236-243.
- [31] Case, A., Lubolsky, D.Payson, C., (2002). Economic statusand health in childhood: the origins of the grandient. *American Economic*, 92(5).
- [32] Doctor et al. (2011). Northern Nigeria, maternal newborn and child health programme : selectede analysis from population base line survey,. ODJ, 11-21.
- [33] Funmilayo, A. (2013). Determinants of full child immunization among 12-23 months old in Nigeria.
- [34] Mutua, M., Kimani-Murage, E., Ettarh, R. (2011). Childhood vaccination in informal urban settlements in Nairobi, Kenya: Who gets vaccinated? *Biomedical Central Public Health*, 11:6.
- [35] Odiit, A., Amuge, B. (2003). Comparison of vaccination status of children born in health units and those at home. *East African Medical Journal*, 18(1):3-6.