

BUILDING A REPUTATION OF RESEARCH EXCELLENCE THROUGH GRANTSMANSHIP AND INDUSTRY PARTNERSHIPS



CIS Department

Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria

A Presentation @ the 2016/2017 Executive Advance

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Research Excellence Framework
- 3. Lessons from ACE
- 4. Grantsmanship & Industry Partnership
- 5. Concluding Remarks



Introduction

- □ Research Excellence/Reputation is a vital component of THE Ranking parameters:
 - √ Teaching (the learning environment) 30%
 - ✓ Research (volume, income and reputation) 30%
 - ✓ Citations (research influence) 30%
 - ✓ International outlook (staff, students and research) 7.5%
 - ✓Industry income (knowledge transfer) 2.5%

Introduction

- Research Component is futher broken down to:
 - ✓ Research Reputation 18%
 - ➤ A measure of Research excellence among peers (Survey)
 - ✓ Research Income and reputation) 6%
 - ➤ Research Income per staff
 - ✓ Research Reputation 6%
 - ➤ No of papers published per scholar indexed by Scopus



REF

✓ Every nation of the world formulates a Framework for Measuring Excellence in Research

The British Model

- It used to be known as Research Excellence Assessment (REA)
- REF is the current system for assessing Research Quality among the British Higher Education Institutions



REF Cont'd

✓ REF is responsible for:

Selective allocation of Research Funds HEI

Establishing Reputation yardsticks as well as benchmarking parameters

REF — Criteria for Ranking

- 1. Quality of research outputs
- 2. Impact of research (beyond the University)
- 3. Vitality of the research environment

REF — Criteria for Ranking

Panels judged the overall quality of each submission



Quality of research outputs

20%

Impact of research on society 15%

The research environment

Publications and equivalent. Maximum of 4 outputs per member of staff

Impact case studies and impact template. One case study per ten members of staff. E.g. Research strategy, support for staff, research income, infrastructure

REF — Criteria for Grading

- Four star: Quality that is world-leading in originality, significance and rigour.
- Three star: Quality that is internationally excellent in originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- Two star: Quality that is recognised internationally in originality, significance and rigour.

REF — Criteria for Grading Cont'd

One star: Quality that is recognised nationally in originality, significance and rigour.

• Unclassified Quality: that falls below the standard of nationally recognised work.

Self Appraisal

- What's the quality of our research as an institution?
- What impact have we made or are we making on global issues?
 - -Food Security, global warming etc
 - -Diseases and Control (HIV/Aids, Ebola, Malaria etc)
- Any National, Continental and Global recognitions?



ACE - Objectives

- To promote regional specialization among participating universities within areas that address regional development challenges; and
- To strengthen the capacities of these universities to deliver high quality training and applied research.

ACE - Overview

Scope:

- CoE on a specific development challenge
- Science, Tech, Eng, Math, Agriculture, and Health Sciences
- 15 CoE grants @ max
 US\$ 8 million
- Regional competitive selection
- Bottom-up approach university led

Center Activities:

- Outreach and impact on society
- Build **education** capacity
- Build **research** capacity
- Partnerships academic and industry
- Improve **governance** and management of the institution

Results: Increase

- Regional post-graduate students
- Regional Faculty qualifications
- Meet international quality standards of education
- Research publications
- Internships and industry linkages
- External Revenue Generation
- Improve learning resources
- Institutional Fiduciary capacity and governance

Selected institutions

Center Challenge / Development challenge	Institution	Discipline
Genomics of Infectious Diseases	Redeemers University, Ogun State, Nigeria	Health
PAN African Materials Institute	African University of Science and Technology, Abuja, Nigeria	STEM
Sciences et Technologies de l'Eau, l'Energie et l'Environnement	Institut International d'Ingénierie de l'Eau et de l'Environnement (2iE)	Agriculture
Centre for Agricultural Development and Sustainable Environment	Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria	Agriculture
West African Center for Cell Biology of Infectious Pathogens	University of Ghana	Health
Neglected Tropical Diseases and Forensic Biotechnology	Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria	Health
Training plant breeders, seed scientists and seed technologists	University of Ghana, Legon, Ghana	Agriculture
Sciences Mathématiques et Applications	Université d'Abomey – Calavi (UAC), Bénin	STEM
Centre for Oil Field Chemicals	University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria	STEM
Water and Environmental Sanitation	Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana	STEM
Technologies de l'information et de la Communication, TIC	I 'Université de Yaoundé I, Cameroon	STEM



GIP

We have laid foundations for:

- ✓ Grants proposal writing
- ✓ Industry partnership already launched
- ✓ Research partnership (thru our Visiting Profs.)

THE OUESTION IS WHERE ARE WE????

GIP Cont'd

ACE Awardees:

- ✓ ABU, UI, FUNAAB, UG, KNUST, ...
 - >Public, older, experienced in research, and recognised.
- ✓ RUNs and AUST ...
 - >Private and young.
 - What played out is collaboration, focus and patnership

GIP Cont'd

The way forward:

- ✓ More partnership with experts
 - ◆Profs. Mbarika, Ogi, Ogunfunmi, Odedina, Ikhide etc
- ✓ Build more capacity in our areas of Niche
 - ◆Bio. Scs, CIS, ICT, Engineerin, Eco & Soc/Pysch.
- ✓ A few are ongoing with Harvard, Cornel, etc.

GIP Cont'd

- ✓ --Recognitions:
- -- Bio Sciences: Dr. Eni, Adebayo, etc
- -- CIS: Prof. Adebiyi
- -- Eco: Dr. Evans, Okodua, etc
- -- Other ones not known to me.

Concluding Remarks

Lessons from the UNESCO Chairs

- ✓ Requirements include:
- -- Objectives and Scopes
- -- Collaborations with Industry and Academia
- -- Schedules and Cost

Concluding Remarks Cont'd

Lessons from the UNESCO Chairs

- √ The submissions could be Templates for the future
- ✓ After 3 months of submission, you will discover a lot of errors and inconsistencies
- ✓ Heads of units should be more committed to quality
- ✓ All clusters should get their PG students involved in the activities of the cluster

Concluding Remarks Cont'd

The quality of our research and impact,
partnership with Industry/Government and
Researchers will qualify us for super Grants
as well as International recognitions



Thank you For Listening