

# Delineation of Leachate Plume Migration Using Electrical Resistivity Imaging on Lapite Dumpsite in Ibadan, Southwestern Nigeria

Ganiyu S. A.<sup>1,\*</sup>, Badmus B. S.<sup>1</sup>, Oladunjoye M. A.<sup>2</sup>, Aizebeokhai A. P.<sup>3</sup>, Olurin O. T.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Federal University of Agriculture Abeokuta, Ogun State Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Geology, University of Ibadan, Oyo State Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria

**Abstract** A geophysical investigation involving 2D resistivity survey was carried out on Lapite dump site that has been in operation since 1998 in ancient city of Ibadan, Southwestern Nigeria. The aim was to map the conductive leachate plume and extent of migration of leachate plumes in the subsurface for possible groundwater contamination. The 2D resistivity survey was carried out using Campus Tigre model resistivity meter with Wenner array configuration of electrode spacing distance ranging from 5 - 25m. A total of nine (9) 2D resistivity profiles with length ranging between 80 and 120 m were conducted within the dump site. A control profile of 2D resistivity survey was also carried out at about 300 m away from the dump site. The obtained resistivity data was interpreted using both RES2DINV and RES3DINV respectively. The 2D and 3D inverse resistivity models of the subsurface revealed the extent of leachate plumes with resistivity values less than 10  $\Omega$ m and allowed the location of leachate, clay soil, bedrock and seepage path from the dumpsite to be clearly delineated. There may be possible contamination of shallow groundwater system in the nearest future if proper mitigation measures are not taken into consideration at the dumpsite.

**Keywords** Dumpsite, Profile, Resistivity, Leachate, Groundwater contamination

## 1. Introduction

Solid wastes are produced on daily basis as a result of direct consequence of inevitable human activities. The intensity of man's activities has led to increasing volume of solid waste worldwide despite the current level of global technological advancement and industrialization. Landfill/dumpsite serves as the ultimate recipient of municipal solid waste. It is a common waste management practice employed by many nations in many parts of the world [13]. The waste dumped in this process causes various aesthetic and public health problems and also attracts insects, rodents and various disease vectors [21], [2]. The solid waste in this dumping process undergoes slow, anaerobic decomposition over a period of years and generate substantial amount of leachate with decomposing products such as leachate, landfill gas, heavy metals and varieties of hazardous pollutants which may seep from the landfill site into underground aquifers and thus polluting much needed urban water resources [10]. Leachate is a liquid formed from decomposed waste and has

higher conductivity due to the presence of dissolved salts.

Consequently, the electrical resistivity of leachate is often very much lower than natural groundwater. Pollutants or contaminants released into the environment rarely remains at the point of discharge. They are transported through the porous media by four basic mechanisms. These are advection, molecular diffusion, mechanical dispersion and adsorption [18]. Adsorption is one of the most important transport mechanisms that affect the fate of chemicals in soils and determine their distribution in the soil water environment [14]. Pollution of groundwater happens mostly due to percolation of fluvial water and infiltration of contaminants through the soil in waste disposal sites [1].

Leachate is generally associated with high ion concentrations and hence results in very low resistivity of the rock formations containing them [11]. This makes geoelectrical techniques most adequate for mapping the extent of leachate contamination around landfills [9]. Geoelectrical surveys on dumpsite have been carried out by numerous researchers on leachate contamination of soil and groundwater. [15] have used 2D resistivity Imaging and Vertical Electrical Sounding to estimate the depth to the groundwater table, identify and delineate the extent of contaminant leachate plume and migration paths below the surface around landfill. [1] carried out integrated

\* Corresponding author:

adekunsu@yahoo.com (Ganiyu S. A.)

Published online at <http://journal.sapub.org/geo>

Copyright © 2015 Scientific & Academic Publishing. All Rights Reserved

geophysical surveys involving 2D electrical resistivity, Very Low Frequency-EM and Seismic refraction tomography on Unguwan Dosa municipal solid waste disposal site in Kaduna metropolis, Northwestern Nigeria to investigate groundwater contamination. [12] carried out integrated surveys of induced polarization and 2D resistivity tomography at open waste disposal site in Kaduna with the aim of imaging the subsurface to delineate leachate plumes and their pathways into the groundwater.

[21], [5], [20] have used geophysical resistivity imaging for better understanding of contaminant transport from leachate in a basement complex terrain.

The main objective of this study is to detect the leachate plume zone in the crystalline basement area and delineate the level and extent of contamination caused by leachate derived from an opened waste disposal site. The use of resistivity imaging method will present detailed information about the delineation of contaminated zones of groundwater around the dumpsite.

**Site description and Geological Setting**

The study area, Lapite refuse dumpsite (Fig 1) is located within Ibadan metropolis in Akinyele local government area and lies within longitudes 3.91160°E and 3.91464°E and latitudes 7.5825°N and 7.57032°N in southwestern Nigeria. It was opened in 1998 and still in use till date. It covers an

approximate 20 hectares of land along Old Oyo Road. It is owned and maintained by Oyo State Waste Management Authority (OSWMA). Lapite dumpsite is one of the four designated open waste disposal being managed by OSWMA. The general overview of the dump site is shown in Figure 2. The study area falls within the humid and sub-humid tropical climate of southwestern Nigeria with a mean annual rainfall of about 1270mm mean annual potential evaporation of 1100mm and a mean maximum temperature of 32°C [3].

Geologically, the study area lies within the Nigerian basement complex terrain characterized by crystalline rocks of Pre-Cambrian age. The major rock types are quartzites, banded gneisses, augen gneisses and migmatites while the minor rock types are pegmatite, quartz, amphibolites diorites and zenoliths (Fig 3) [19]. The granite rocks, which are member of the older granite suit occupy large part of the total area of Akinyele local government where the study area is located. The basement complex rocks in their unaltered form are characterized by low porosity and permeability which determines the hydrogeological properties of the rocks depending on the texture and mineralogy of the rocks. The weathered and fractured zones are areas for groundwater occurrence in basement complex formation. The top soil has been disturbed in the study area and hence constitutes the waste dump and the leachate derived from its decomposition processing.




Figure 1. Location map of Akinyele Local Government Area showing Lapite landfill site



Figure 2. General Overview of Lapite dumpsite




Figure 3. Generalized geological map of Ibadan showing the Study Area

## 2. Methodology

A total of nine (9) electrical resistivity imaging traverses were measured using the Wenner Array configuration with the aid of Campus Tigre model resistivity meter. The electrode spacing for each traverse ranged from 5 to 25 m with a station interval of 5 m. All except traverse 9 runs in the N-S direction of the dumpsite. Traverses 1-9 of the 2D resistivity survey were mapped out within the dumpsite while control traverse was carried out at 300 m away from the dumpsite along Old Oyo Road. Resistivity measurement for the 2D resistivity profiles were acquired as shown in the data acquisition maps (Figure 4). For this survey, the tetramer was set for four cycle stacking and the standard error of measurement of 5%. At each measurement, the resistivity meter displayed resistance value and the associated root mean square (RMS) error of the reading. The recorded resistance values were then used to compute apparent resistivity values. The 2D inverse resistivity models of the subsurface was obtained from the input resistivity data using inversion code of RES2DINV [16]; [17] while 3D inverse horizontal models of the subsurface was obtained from the inversion of 3D data set obtained from the ‘‘collated 2D resistivity data sets’’ with the aid of RES3DINV computer program. RES2DINV and RES3DINV are inversion programs that automatically determines the 2D and 3D resistivity models of the subsurface from the input resistivity data using smoothness constrained least squares method [22].

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1. Interpretation of 2D Resistivity Traverses

Figures 5-7 show the inverse model resistivity sections for all the traverses. As shown in Figure 5a, the resistivity model of traverse 1 shows three low resistivity anomalies at horizontal distance of 7-50 m, 55-75 m and 98-112 m with resistivity value less than 10  $\Omega$ m, an evidence of leachate plume accumulation. The area between 78-98 m along the profile has true resistivity values between 23-85  $\Omega$ m, an indication of clayey material. Figure 5b shows the model resistivity section for traverse 2. The northern end of the traverse up to a horizontal distance of 50 m shows resistivity values between 14-23  $\Omega$ m, an indication of clayey material while there is evidence of leachate plume up to 4 m depth with resistivity values below 10  $\Omega$ m at distance of 51-87 m along the southern end of the traverse. At deeper depth, the resistivity values are mostly greater than 200  $\Omega$ m suggesting weathered basement.

Figure 5c showed inverse model resistivity section for Traverse 3. At horizontal distance of 7-28 m along the traverse, high resistivity anomaly with resistivity values between 35-118  $\Omega$ m associated with non-degradable waste material mixed with lateritic clay was observed. At horizontal distance 10-25 m at approximate depth of 4-9 m, there is evidence of low resistive region which could be clayey material. The southern end of the profile shows basement pluton at relatively shallow depth.




Figure 4. Data Acquisition Map for electrical resistivity survey



11  $\Omega$ m. The clay cap cover is consistent at horizontal position of 22- 37 m and 52-100 m towards the southern part of the profile except at 7-20 m across the profile where the plume from the waste extends to the surface. The inversion model of profile 6 (Figure 6c) showed that the northern part of the section have low resistivity anomaly below 10  $\Omega$ m up to 4 m depth, an indication of leachate plume associated with

decay of the refuse dump. There is presence of pocket of low resistive zone of resistivity less than 10  $\Omega$ m at horizontal position 44-55 m along the profile. As shown in Figure 6c, it is easier for the leachate to migrate from source down to the weathered basement as indicated by the arrows. On getting to the water table, the groundwater becomes polluted.




Figure 6. 2D Inverse model resistivity sections for Lapite Traverses 4, 5 and 6




Figure 7. 2D Inverse model resistivity sections for Lapite Traverses 7, 8, 9 and control

The inverted resistivity sections for traverses 7, 8, 9 and control site are shown in Figures 7a, 7b, 7c and 7d. As shown in Figure 7a, the inverse resistivity model section for traverse 7 consists of low resistivity anomalies occurring at horizontal distance between 50-112 m. The low resistive zones have resistivity values less than 10  $\Omega\text{m}$ , an indication of leachate plume accumulation. Higher resistivity values in the range of 85-141  $\Omega\text{m}$  at depth of 10-12 m depicts weathered rock at the southern part of the profile. Similarly for traverse 8 (Figure 7b), low resistive zones with resistivity values below 10  $\Omega\text{m}$  occur at horizontal distance of 20-92 m and towards the southern end of the traverse. The low resistive zones extend from the surface up to 6 m depth. Figure 7c shows the inverse resistivity model section for traverse 9 which runs in the E-W direction of the dumpsite. A conductive zone or leachate accumulation was noticed at depth of about 2- 8 m at horizontal distance of 20-45 m along the eastern part of the traverse. Another low resistivity anomaly with resistivity values between 7-12  $\Omega\text{m}$  occurs at horizontal distance of 50-94 m towards the western part of the traverse and extends up to 9 m depth.

The inverse resistivity model section of control traverse (Figure 7d) showed a quite heterogeneous and complex subsurface geological formation. Higher resistivity anomaly with resistivity values greater than 300  $\Omega\text{m}$  occur at position of 90-115 m and at 160-175 m. Isolated high resistive zones at varying depths due to reworked activity of the near surface materials were also noticed at horizontal distance of 10-25 m and 35-45 m respectively. An isolated low resistive zone with resistivity values between 3-15  $\Omega\text{m}$  which is suspected to be clay zone were noticed at position 128-140 m with depth of 6.4-12.4 m.

### 3.2. Interpretation of 3D Inverse Model Resistivity Images

#### Lapite Horizontal Section

Six sections were obtained as horizontal depth slices after inversion process with RES3DINV after 5 iterations. These section covers from the surface to the depth of 21.9m. As shown in the Figure 8a, from the surface to the depth of about 12.5m, resistivity changes from 2 - 334 $\Omega\text{m}$  while from the depth of 12.5 – 21.9m, resistivity values changes from 19 - > 334 $\Omega\text{m}$ . As section number 1, 2 and 3 show, blue layer which is of low resistivity (a mark of decomposed solid waste/leachate) can be recognized from the surface up to a depth of 12.5m. The first and second horizontal layers show complex subsurface which are more heterogeneous compared to the other layers (i.e layers 3, 4, 5 & 6). The heterogeneous nature of these two layers could be due to the effect of leachate from the dumpsites, non degradable solid

waste and probably the removal of soil samples from one place to another at the dump site during moving of the wastes inward by tractor in order to accommodate more solid wastes being dumped at the site on a daily basis. Both layers 1 and 2 of about 5.3m depth indicate a subsurface that has combination of both low and high resistivity zones where the low resistive zones probably corresponds to leachate and some few areas being water logged. The high resistive zones could be weathered basement or non degradable wastes mixed with near surface dry soil. The layers 5 and 6 show an area of less complexity compared to the first two layers thereby depicting fresh basement rock.

In the horizontal sections of the Lapite 3D imaging model, the resistivity values distribution ranges from a minimum of about 2  $\Omega\text{m}$  to a maximum of about 350 $\Omega\text{m}$ . The effective depth of investigation as observed in the 3D inverse model is greater than 12.4m obtained in the 2D inversion of the collated profiles as shown in Figure 8a.

#### 3.3. Lapite Vertical Section

This section shows the resistivity model in the XZ plane i.e. X is traverse direction and Z is the depth. The vertical sections show the resistivity distribution in the XZ plane for the layers to a depth of more than >14.7 m. For Y = 10-20 m, there is a continuous region of low resistivity anomaly extending from horizontal distance of about 15 – 110m with resistivity values between 2 – 9  $\Omega\text{m}$  and extend up to a depth of 7.03 m. At plane 2 with Y distance of 20 – 45m, the plume has extend beyond 7.03 m deep and occurs at horizontal distance 10 – 60 m on the X axis. There is a vertical drop of low resistive layer from about 2 – 12m depth at horizontal distance 10 – 35 m at Y = 95 – 145m. This isolated low resistive region could be clay.

For Y distance 215 – 250 m, there is no presence of low resistive region of less than 5 $\Omega\text{m}$  in this plane showing that this plane shows absence of leachate plume. From the depth of about 10 m down to 14.7 m upward, bedrock layers and boundaries were distinctly marked. The XZ plane of Lapite dumpsite shows 7 planes with Y distance from 10 – 250 m and effective depth of investigation greater than >14.7m as shown in Figure 8b.

The results of 2D and 3D images show the resistivity values of leachate plume derived from decomposed wastes to lies below 10  $\Omega\text{m}$  [8]; [20]; [25]; [24]; [7] and [6]. Furthermore, the horizontal sections of 3D electrical tomography model for Lapite dumpsite show depths to vary from the surface up to 21.9m with resistivity distribution of 2 - 354 $\Omega\text{m}$  while inverse 2D models shows maximum depth of investigation of not more than 12.4m with resistivity distribution of 2 - 624 $\Omega\text{m}$  [23]; [7] and [4].




Figure 8a. Horizontal depth slices obtained from the 3D inversion of orthogonal 2D profiles on Lapite dumpsite




Figure 8b. Extracted 2D images in X-Z plane from the 3D constrained inverse resistivity model at the location of 2D profile measured on Lapite dumpsite

## 4. Effectiveness of Electrical Resistivity Imaging

The results of geoelectrical imaging method through the inverse models revealed the true resistivity distribution of the subsurface and varying lithologies with depth. From the inversion results of traverses of 2D and 3D models of the subsurface, low resistivity bodies of less than 10  $\Omega\text{m}$  are evidence of leachate accumulation. The resistivities of the 2D inverse models of the subsurface vary from 2 to 624  $\Omega\text{m}$  while the resistivity distributions vary from 2 - 334  $\Omega\text{m}$  in the 3D inverse models. The resistivity values for the traverses ran within the dump site are mostly lower than values obtained at the control site especially at shallow depths. Thus, there is possible contamination of shallow groundwater system in the nearest future. The migration of leachate plume at Lapite dump site was traced in the form of low resistivity zones (with resistivity less than 10  $\Omega\text{m}$ ). The leachate occurred as relatively continuous plumes while non-degraded refuse are imaged as isolated relatively high resistivity bodies. The results of the inverted models of the subsurface elucidated the effectiveness of 2D resistivity imaging methods in mapping the location and spatial distribution of leachate within the area.

## 5. Conclusions

2D resistivity imaging method was employed to investigate active dumpsite in southwestern part of Nigeria. The 2D resistivity imaging technique has been successfully used in mapping the contaminant leachate plume and to characterize the dumpsite in terms of subsurface resistivity distribution of leachate, waste material and soil condition beneath the dumpsite. The horizontal and vertical extent of leachate plumes were delineated by the geoelectrical imaging as a response of the varying electrical conductivity in the refuse dump site. There is possible contamination of shallow groundwater systems in the western part of the dumpsite in years to come due to migration depth of the leachate plume. The heterogeneous nature of the dumpsite and the complexity of its subsurface condition require that an effective remediation measures be put in place to reduce environmental hazards from the dumpsite. Furthermore, a multidisciplinary technique of hydrogeological, geochemical and geophysical techniques should be adopted on the dumpsite to be able to characterize it effectively.

---

## REFERENCES

- [1] Abdullahi, N.K., Osazuwa, I.B and Sule, P.O. (2011): Application of integrated Geophysical techniques in the investigation of groundwater contamination. A case study of municipal solid waste leachate. *Ozean Journal of Applied Science* 4 (1), 7-25.
- [2] Adeyemi, O., Oloyede, O.B., and Oladiji, A.T. (2007): Physicochemical and microbial characteristics of leachate-contaminated groundwater. *Asian J. Biochem*, 2: 343-348.
- [3] Akintola, J.O. (1986): Rainfall distribution in Nigeria, 1892-1983. Impact Publishers, Ibadan. 380pp.
- [4] Aizebeokhai, A.P. and Singh, V.S. (2013): Field evaluation of 3D geo-electrical resistivity imaging for environmental and engineering studies using parallel 2D profiles. *Current Science*, Vol 105: 504-512.
- [5] Amidu, S.A and Olayinka, A.I. (2006): Environmental assessment of sewage disposal system using 2D electrical resistivity imaging and geochemical analysis. A case study of Ibadan, southwestern Nigeria. *Environ Eng. Geosci*, 12:261-272.
- [6] Andrea, T.V., Vagner, R.E., Giulliana, M, Lazaro, V.Z. and Heraldo, L.G.(2012): Case study: a 3D resistivity and induced polarization imaging from downstream a waste disposal site in Brazil. *Environ Earth Sci*.66: 763-772.
- [7] Andrew, N.D., Aning, A.A., Danuor, S.K and Noye, R.M. (2013): Geophysical investigations at the proposed site of the KNUST teaching hospital building using the 2D and 3D resistivity imaging techniques. *Int.Res.J. of Geology and Mining*. 3 (3) pp113-123.
- [8] Barker, R., Venkatewara, R and Thangarajan, M. (2001): Delineation of contaminant zone through electrical imaging technique. *Current Science*, 81(3): 277-283.
- [9] Bernstone, C and Dahlin, T. (1999): Assessment of two automated DC Resistivity Data acquisition systems to landfill location surveys. Two case studies “*Journal of Environmental and Engineering Geophysics*. 4(2): 113-121.
- [10] Bhuiya, M.A.H, Huq, N.E. and Hossain, M.M. (2002): Unplanned waste disposal and its possible impacts on subsurface environment of Dhaka City, Bangladesh, In *Bangladesh Environment 2002*. Vol 2(ed) pp 7231-7331.
- [11] Cristina, P., Cristina, D., Alicia, F. and Pamela, B. (2012): Application of Geophysical methods to waste disposal studies, municipal and Industrial waste disposal. Dr Xian-Ying Yu (Ed) ISBN 978-953-51-0501-5. In *Tech*.
- [12] Jegede, S.I., Ujuanbi, O, Abdullahi, N.K and Iserhien-Ewekeme, R.E (2012): Mapping and monitoring of leachate plume migration at an Open Waste Disposal Site using Non-Invasive Methods. *Research Journal of Environmental and Earth Sciences* 4(1) :26-33.
- [13] Jhamnani, B. and Singh, S.K. (2009): Groundwater contamination due to Bhalaswa landfill site in New Delhi. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Engineering* 1(3). Pp 121-125.
- [14] Kah, M., and Brown, C.D. (2007) Change in pesticides adsorption with time at high soil to solution ratio. *Chemosphere* 68 1335-1343.
- [15] Keller, G.V. and Frischescht, F.C. (1999): Electrical methods in Geophysical prospecting. Pergamon Press Inc: New York, NY. 38-39. Pp516.
- [16] Loke, M.H. and Barker, R.D. (1996): Rapid least squares inversion of apparent resistivity pseudosections by a quasi-Newton method. *Geophys. Prospect.*, 44: pg 131-152.

- [17] Loke, M.H. (2000): RES2DINV version 3.44 for windows 95/98 and NT: Rapid 2D Resistivity and IP inversion using the least squares method. Advanced Geosciences Inc. Austin, TX, USA.
- [18] Marylyn, V.Y. (1990): Modeling microbial transport in soil and groundwater. Vol 56. No. 6.
- [19] Okunlola, O.A., Adeigbe, O.C., and Oluwatoke, O.O. (2009): Compositional and petrogenetic features of Schistose rocks of Ibadan area, southwestern Nigeria. *Earth Sciences Research Journals*, 13(2) 29-43.
- [20] Oladunjoye, M.A., Olayinka, A.I. and Amidu, S.A. (2011): Geoelectrical Imaging at an Abandoned Waste dump site in Ibadan, Southwestern, Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Science* 11(22), 3755-3764.
- [21] Olayinka, A.I. and Olayinwola, M.A. (2001): An integrated use of geoelectric Imaging and hydrogeochemical methods in delineating limits of polluted surface and groundwater at a landfill site in Ibadan area, Southwestern, Nigeria. *J. of Mining and Geology*, Vol 37(1): pp53-68.
- [22] Sasaki, Y. (1992): Resolution of resistivity tomography inferred from numerical simulation. *Geophys. Prospect*, 40: 453-464.
- [23] Soupios, P.M., Georgakopoulos, P., Papadopoulos, N., Saltas, V., Andreadakis, A., Vallianatos, F., Sarris, A. and Makris, J.P. (2007): Use of engineering geophysics to investigate a site for a building foundation. *J. Geophys. Eng.* 4: 94-103.
- [24] Srinivasamoorthy, K., Sarma, V.S., Vasantavigar, M., Vijayaraghavan, K., Chidambaram, S and Rajivganthi, R. (2006): Electrical imaging techniques for groundwater pollution studies: A case study from Tamil Nadu State, South India. *Earth Sci. Res. J.* 13(1): 30-39.
- [25] Yalo, N., Lawson, M. and Adihou, C. (2014): Geophysical contribution for the mapping the contaminant plume of leachate from Rubbish dumpsite of Hevie, Benin. *British. J. of Applied Science and Tech.* Vol 4(1): 127-143.