

You can cite this article as follows:

Edewor, P. A., & Imhonopi, D. (2013). The health risks of global system of mobile communication (GSM): Perceptions of health professionals and radiation experts in Ogun State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Review, Vol. 4, No. 2*. Pp. 72-78, Department of Sociology, Kogi State University.

THE IMPLICATION OF GLOBAL SYSTEM OF MOBILE COMMUNICATION (GSM): PERCEPTIONS OF HEALTH PROFESSIONALS AND MICRO-WAVE TELECOMMUNICATION EXPERTS IN OGUN STATE, NIGERIA

Patrick A. Edewor, Ph.D.
Department of Sociology
Covenant University, Ota
Ogun State, Nigeria
Email: edeworpat@yahoo.com

and

David Imhonopi Ph.D.
Department of Sociology
Olabisi Onabanjo University
Ago-Iwoye,
Ogun State, Nigeria
Email: davidimhonopi@yahoo.com

Abstract

The use of Global System of Mobile Communication (GSM) is becoming widespread in Nigeria and other parts of the world. The arrival of this sophisticated technology, which has turned the world into a global village, has, however, been greeted with heated debated on its possible health hazards. This study utilizes evidence from literature and responses to questionnaire and in-depth interviews among health professionals and microwave telecommunication experts in Ogun state, Nigeria. The results show two rather opposing schools of thought. On the one hand, there are those who share the view that the radiation emitted from handsets and mast towers constitutes serious health hazards, while on the other hand, there are also those who argue that the radiations are so minimal and, as such, do not constitute any health hazards and that there is no proof of any harmful effects of GSM use. This controversy calls for more independent investigation on this subject while necessary precautions should be taken in the mean time.

Key words: Global System of Mobile Communication, Health Hazards, Low-wage countries, advanced societies, GSM mast tower

Introduction

The use of Global System of Mobile Communication (GSM) is becoming widespread in Nigeria as it is also witnessed in most developed countries of the world. Though, telecommunication arrived in Nigeria over 100 years, it is interesting to note that, as at independence in 1960, Nigeria only had about 18,724 phone lines for use by a population that was not less than 40 million (Wojuade, 2005). However, in 1999, there were roughly 500,000 lines available for a population of about 120 million in Nigeria (Okoruwa, 2004). Hence, one of the major achievements recorded by the Olusegun Obasanjo's civilian regime is the advent of a deregulated communication sector. MTN Communication and ECONET wireless as well as NITEL were subsequently awarded full GSM licenses by the Obasanjo administration to operate in Nigeria.

While the ECONET wireless commenced operations on August 6, 2001, MTN Communication opened activities later, with similar packages. On the other hand, Globacom commenced its operation on August 29, 2003 (Audile, 2000). No doubt, GSM operation has come to stay in Nigeria and it is becoming widespread as it has done in most developed parts of the world. This new invention has not only turned the world into a global village, it has also received much applause and ignited a lot of interest from many Nigerians; especially those in the cities. Aside from the highly placed individuals who own their personal handsets, many common people including mechanics, taxi drivers, motorcyclists, students and market women, especially those in the cities either own or have unrestricted access to this world-transforming technology - regardless of its financial implications (Aluko, 2004).

The introduction of GSM by the Nigerian government has a number of benefits. In the first place, it has made telephone communication accessible to the common man. Also, many direct and indirect jobs have been created as workers have been absorbed by individual operators as well as direct and indirect dealers (Balogun, 2000). With the mobile phone in one's pocket, one is always accessible, though sometimes inconveniently.

Handling a GSM phone or handset does not qualify for effective usage of same neither does having it amount to a basic knowledge of the safe and responsible use of it (Adomi, 2006; Al-Shallah and Haddad, 2006).

Consequently, a key area of activity of GSM Association is to ensure or promote safe and courteous use of GSM, enhancing responsible environment practices and acting as a clearing house for information for members. It should also encourage customers to use their phones responsibly and obey safety instructions issued by competent bodies including turning off phones in certain locations and encouraging good mobile etiquette (International Engineering Consortium, 2005).

Since the advent of GSM, there has been concern from individuals, companies and some medical professionals, about the effect of its radiation. The rising concern is about the possible link between mobile telephone usage and cancer or other risks to human health. One of the authors of this article, in whose compound a GSM mast tower was being erected, was personally alerted by a friend on the dangers of its radiation. It is this concern that spurred the authors of this paper into investigating the health implications of GSM.

Theoretical Framework

This paper draws its theoretical strength from Ulrich Beck's (2005) work on the "risk society." Beck's work was actually an offshoot of Anthony Giddens' idea on the subject of risk. In line with Giddens' thought, Beck in his 'risk society' rejected the notion that the modern world has moved into modern age. Rather, he emphasized the fact that we are still in the age which can be rightly referred to as the advanced modernity.

Beck also made a distinction between the capitalist society (which is characterized by industrialization) and the 'risk society' which emanates from the capitalist society.

According to him, the 'risk society' or advanced modernity is characterized by modern technological advancement. Such technological breakthrough is not without certain risks including environmental, nutritional and technical hazards, as negatives outcomes of the industrialized society. Among the most frightening of these uncertainties is the prospect of radiation and other types of toxic contamination. There is at present a universalization of hazards which accompanies industrial production, independent of the place where they are produced. Such risks display a social boomerang effect in their diffusion so much that the rich and powerful are not safe from them. Just as there is

unequal distribution of resources in the capitalist societies, there is an unequal distribution of risks emanating from the output of modern industrial technologies in advanced modernity. Advanced countries of the world with the abundant tremendous resources at their disposal are better able to cope with such risks than the poor countries.

The agents of modernization themselves are emphatically caught in the web of hazards they unleash and profit from. However, the worldwide equalization of risk position does not erase the new social inequalities within the affliction by risk. As risk position and class position overlap, the resultant effect is the systematic attraction between extreme poverty and extreme risk (Peck, 2005). To worsen the plight of low-wage countries, hazardous industries are being transferred from the industrialized world to them, thus increasing the level of risks they are exposed to. While such poor countries see the installations of chemical factories and other technological facilities in their domain as huge success in technological advancement, their eyes seem to be closed to the possible side effects (risks) of such installations. Owing to the benefits or profit, the producers of such technologies and the government that back them up tend to conceal or at least neglect the risks associated with such technologies. The first priority of techno-scientific curiosity is utility for productivity, and the hazards connected with it are considered only later and often not at all (Beck, 2005)

While it cannot be denied that there is a continuous global diffusion of GSM into developing countries like Nigeria, with its tremendous opportunities and benefits, there is need for caution as there may be certain accompanying risks to battle with.

Methods

Both qualitative and quantitative methods were used in this study. Qualitative materials were sought from the internet in order to bring out up to date information on GSM in relation to health. In-depth interviews were conducted among five health professionals (four in Ijebu Igbo and one in Ago-Iwoye) and two microwave telecommunication experts (one in Ijebu Igbo and one in Ota; all in Nigeria).

Quantitatively, a questionnaire was administered to medical doctors in both private and public hospitals in Ijebu Igbo, Ago-Iwoye and Shagamu, all in Ogun state, south western Nigeria. In Ijebu Igbo, questionnaire was administered to medical doctors

in Victory Specialist Hospital, Idunnu Hospital, Life Care Hospital, Dominion Clinic and Adedoyin Memorial Hospital (all of which are private hospitals) as well as the General Hospital in Ago-Iwoye. Questionnaire was also administered to medical doctors in Best Care Hospital (a private hospital) and the Olabisi Onabanjo University Health Center. In Shagamu, questionnaire was administered to medical doctors at the Olabisi Onabanjo University Teaching Hospital (Former Ogun State University Teaching Hospital, (OSUTH).

The questionnaire was divided into two main sections. The first was on the characteristics of the respondents including sex, age, highest qualification, marital status, name of hospital, town of the hospital as well as whether or not respondent used GSM, and frequency of use. The second was on the health implication of GSM. In this section, respondents were expected to indicate their perception regarding whether or not GSM has any effect on the health of the user. They were also to state the specific effects of the GSM handset and how the effects occurred as well as whether or not they considered the effects of GSM harmful. Similar questions were also asked with respect to the GSM mast tower. Finally, the respondents were required to supply information on how the effects (if any) of the GSM handset and mast tower could be minimized. A total 56 health professionals completed and returned the questionnaire.

Results

This section is divided into two parts. The first presents the characteristics of the respondents while the second presents their responses to questions on the health implication of GSM. In discussing the health implications, the responses given by the respondents were supplemented with information obtained from the internet as well as the results of the in-depth interviews.

Characteristics of respondents

The majority of the respondents (77 percent) were males and three fifths were below the age of 40, while 93 percent were qualified medical doctors with at least an M.B.B.S. or M.B.C.B degree, while others had other qualifications like B.Sc Nursing. They were mainly of the Christian Faith (88 percent), and with two-third married, while

others were single. The distribution of respondents by town where they practiced medicine showed that two-third were from Shagamu, 20 percent were from Ijebu-Igbo and 14 percent were from Ago-Iwoye. On the basis of hospital affiliation, two-thirds were from the teaching hospital in Shagamu, while the rest were mainly from private hospitals in Ijebu Igbo and Ago-Iwoye. About nine in every ten of the responses used GSM phone. Of this number, three fifths used it on a daily basis; another 18 percent used it on a weekly basis, while others used it less frequently.

Health Effects of GSM

Mrs. A. is a happily married woman, living on the top floor of a high rise apartment building in Rotterdam for 15 years. She works and has no history of unusual complaints of illness. One evening, a few weeks ago, upon returning home from work, she heard high pitched hum, fluctuating in volume. Apparently permission had been granted to build two GSM masts (for transmitting and reception) on the roof, right on the top of her apartment.

Thick cables were laid over the flat. The hum arose even before the apparatus was connected, according to the Telephone Company. Soon, thereafter, the following symptoms manifested themselves: tremors and tingling, warm hands and fingers. A sensation as if the brain was vibrating and a tight hand was clamped around her head. Sometimes the ear got stopped up – as in an aircraft - and she felt as if her head was bursting. Soon she could no longer sleep and had trouble concentrating. When she left the house, the tremors continued for one more day and then her condition returned to normal (Dutch Monitoring Network, 1998)

The above quotation is the case or history of a woman who had health problems thought to be associated with mobile telephones. Complaints such as the one cited above arrive regularly at the Dutch Monitoring Network for Health and Environment. People who take all sorts of complaints, and sometimes – vague complaints, to the Monitoring Network after a transmission mast is erected nearby, tend to think that the mast might be causing the complaints. But is GSM harmful to health? Does GSM have any effect on the health of the users? When this second question was posed to the health professionals in our study, half of the respondents answered in the affirmative, 11 percent answered otherwise while the rest 30 percent had no idea. When those who answered in the affirmative were asked to mention specific areas of the GSM handset, the following were the specific effects mentioned: “exposure to radiation or radiation hazards” and “emissions of radioactive rays affect the heart,” “electromagnetic waves can cause

distortion/affect growth of cells.” Other effects mentioned are “mutation,” ‘neoplastic changes,” ”neurological damage of the brain,” ‘increased risk of brain tumor,” “predisposition to cancerous cells,” “irradiation leading to malignant changes of cells in the body,” “emission of gamma radiation which is an associating factor with cancer or tumor” and ‘placing handset close to the ear which can damage the eardrum.”

Similarly, specific responses given as to the effects of the GSM mast tower include the following: “atmospheric ‘pollution’ with electromagnetic waves,” “emission of radioactive rays into community,” “and possible contribution to the depletion of earth’s ozone layer thereby leading to heat generation.” Also, the GSM mast tower is said to be able to “affect the pulse rate,” “has long-term consequences still being investigated,” “is potentially carcinogenic,” “leads to restlessness,” “can cause neurosis” and similar phrases were used to capture the effects of the mast tower.

In contrast, responding to an in-depth interview, a radio-wave telecommunication expert admitted that GSM is so insignificant as to constitute a health hazard. It thus appears that the question as to whether or not GSM is harmful cannot, as yet, be answered with an unqualified yes or no.

From the literature, attempts have been made to understand how GSM affects the body. However, little is known as yet about the biological mechanism involved. The psychological effects arise principally through the induction of small current in the case of lower frequencies, and principally through heat in the case of higher frequencies (carrier wave), so that both mechanisms may apply. Amplitude, frequency and modulation together determine which biological effects occur. Pulse modulation is the most crucial in this regard. In other words, a weak pulse modulated signal such as that of mobile phones has a greater biological effect than a strong frequency-modulated signal (such as that of FM transmission masts) (Maes, 1995, cited in Dutch monitoring network, 1998).

Recent research on the possible impact of GSM on health has shown that GSM pulse-modulated radiation can cause various psychological effects, such as alteration of the calcium balance in the verve tissue of rats and also inhibition of cell growth in the human amniotic epithelium. Changes in the EEG (electroencephalogram, graphic representation of the general electrical activity of the brain, identifying characteristics

wave patterns) have been observed. These sub-clinical effects do not always have to lead to disease but do call for alertness. It is assumed that GSM has no mutagenic characteristic (investigated in yeast cells) but can further the development of tumor (in rats) (Dutch Monitoring Network, 1998)

Verschaeve of the VITO (Flemish Institute for Technological Research) carried out other biological investigations into possible genetic (carcinogenic) effects of electromagnetic field on human beings. He is a member of the European Group of Experts on danger connected with mobile phones and representative at the European Cost 224(a) Campaign and at the World Health Organization (WHO). They had been carrying out research on the VITO for the last ten years into the biological effects of non-ionized radiation and especially into radio frequencies. The conclusion was that there are no clear indications that these waves can be harmful under normal circumstances. However, there were alarming reports which necessitated further investigation.

If there was a genetic effect, it would prove that the development of cancerous cells could be possible but in no way whatsoever certain. On the other hand, if this effect could be possible, then it could be said that, there is no direct carcinogenic effect. By the use of cytogenetic techniques (chromosome research, direct research into DNA), research was carried out on human blood cells into the damage caused to hereditary materials (DNA). The results showed that sometimes there is a genetic effect, but only in circumstances differing from normal exposure. This confirmed an earlier investigation, which showed that this effect only occurs in the case of exposure with thermal effects, or in the case of frequencies which have nothing to do with the use of mobile phones. It is also claimed that effects in other experiments on mammals are due to either exposure higher or for instance stress situation.

Discussion and Conclusion

Although a lot of apprehension has been expressed by the public on the likely health hazards of mobile phones, especially the possible links between its use and cancer or other risks to human health; the existing scientific evidence as found in the literature, provides no convincing evidence of a public health hazard from the use of mobile phones or living near a base station. Most of the health professionals in our sample allude to the

possible health effects of GSM because, theoretically speaking, exposure of the body, especially prolonged exposure, to electromagnetic radiation could predispose the body to future cancerous growth. It is a known fact that illness from other types of low-level radiation exposure typically take 10 - 15 years to develop. This implies that studies that could actually verify the health effects of GSM could take about 15 years.

In spite of the fact that industry has not yet found risks from mobile phone radiation, US-based health experts warn that more independent research is needed. The telecom companies and the government, both profit enormously from mobile phone revenues and have vested interests in the existing systems being declared safe. It is not surprising that any health hazard has yet to be proven when most research to date has been industry funded. There is need for more independent research on this subject. In the mean time, every possible precaution against radiation dangers should be taken, including siting of transmitters or mast towers not just away from school grounds but also away from all inhabited areas. If we ignore this warning and act without common sense or prudence, the proliferation of network masts may turn out to be our own undoing health-wise. In the same vein, electromagnetic radiation absorbers may be attached to handsets and the use of earphones may help keep the handset not too close to the head while making calls. Handsets should also not be kept too close to the heart in breast pocket.

References

- Audile, N. O. (2000). Matters Arising on Mobile Wireless Licensing. *The Guardian*, November 7, 2000, p. 41
- Adomi, E. A. (2006). Mobile Phone Usage: Patterns of Library and Information Science Students at Delta State University, Abraka, Nigeria. *Electronic Journal of Academic and Special Librarianship* 7 (1), 1 – 11
- Aluko, M. E. (2004). “Resolving the Telecommunications Interconnectivity Battle in Nigeria.” From URL: <http://www.jidaw.com/itsolutions/telecom1.html>, Retrieved on 5 September, 2010
- Al-Shallah, S. and Haddad, M. (2006). The Social Economic Impact of Mobile Phone in the Arab World. From URL: <http://www.mtctelecom.com>, Retrieved on 5 September, 2010

- Balogun, J. (2000). Impact of GSM on Economic Development. Gwagwalada, Abuja: Centre for Culture and Technical Interchange Between East and West
- Dutch Monitoring Network for Health and Environment (1990) Newsletter, November, Internet material available at www.ecomarkt.nl/sgm
- GSM World News – Media Coverage (2002) “health, wealth and happiness” http://www.gsmworld.com/news_2002/health_yb2002.shtml
- Maes, W. (1995) “Stress durch Strom and Strahlung” in Dutch Monitoring Network for Health and Environment (1998) Newsletter, November. Internet , material available at www.ecomark.nl.gsm
- Okoruwa, C. (2004). Three of Years of GSM in Nigeria. The Guardian, August 4, p.5
- International Engineering Consortium (IEC). (2005). Global System for Mobile Communication (GSM) Definition and Overview. From URL: <http://www.iec.org/online/tutorials/gsm>, Retrieved on 5 September, 2010
- Wajuade, J. I. (2005). Impact of Global System of Mobile Telecommunication on Nigerian Economy: A Case of Some Selected Local Governments in Oyo State: An Unpublished Medical Thesis Submitted to the University of Ibadan, Nigeria.