INTED 2014

8TH INTERNATIONAL TECHNOLOGY, EDUCATION AND DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE

CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

INTED 2014

8TH INTERNATIONAL TECHNOLOGY, EDUCATION AND DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE

CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

INTED2014 Proceedings
8th International Technology, Education and Development Conference
March 10th-12th, 2014 — Valencia, Spain

Edited by
L. Gómez Chova, A. López Martínez, I. Candel Torres
IATED Academy

WELCOME INTRODUCTION

Dear INTED2014 participants,

It is a pleasure to welcome you all to this 8th edition of INTED.

We live in a constantly changing society where innovation, technology and education are the key to the world's development. For this reason, INTED2014 intends to welcome educational experts from all corners of the world under a common aim: to generate thought-provoking ideas for innovative education and to promote international partnerships.

We have made every effort to bring together participants from all disciplines and cultures. In fact, every year INTED attracts over 600 participants from more than 75 countries world-wide, making it a large annual meeting point for educators, teaching and learning technology experts and researchers.

We hope that your participation to this conference will provide you with an opportunity to share best practices, open your minds to other educational perspectives and explore new horizons.

Valencia, venue of this conference, will provide you with the opportunity to discover a city full of life and history, impressive old and modern architecture and beautiful beaches and natural surroundings.

Thank you very much for coming to INTED2014. We hope that you remember this conference as an inspiring international forum.

We hope you enjoy your time with us!

INTED2014 Organising Committee

INTED2014 COMMITTEE AND ADVISORY BOARD

Aaron Doering	UNITED STATES	Kem Rogers	CANADA
Agustín López	SPAIN	Kuntida Chancharoen	THAILAND
Aistė Bartkevičienė	LITHUANIA	Laurie Burruss	UNITED STATES
Amparo Girós	SPAIN	Leonard Walletzký	CZECH REPUBLIC
Ana C.R. Martins	PORTUGAL	Liudmila Mikalayeva	GERMANY
Ana Tomás	SPAIN	Lorena López	SPAIN
Andra Jakobsone	LATVIA	Luis Gómez Chova	SPAIN
Anja Lorenz	GERMANY	Mª Jesús Suesta	SPAIN
Anna-Maria Andreou	CYPRUS	Manish Varma	INDIA
Annette Hilton	AUSTRALIA	Mª de Jesús Araiza Vázquez	MEXICO
Antonio García	SPAIN	Maria Porcel	SPAIN
Brigita Janiunaite	LITHUANIA	Mary Kirwan	IRELAND
Bruno Guimarães	PORTUGAL	Mohamed Alseddiqi	BAHRAIN
Chelo González	SPAIN	Mojca Kogovšek	SLOVENIA
Claudia Dörfer	MEXICO	Mónica Fernández	SPAIN
Cristina Lozano	SPAIN	Mustahsan Mir	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES
Dalia Hanna	CANADA	Nate Hongkrailert	THAILAND
Danny McAtominey	UNITED KINGDOM	Norhayati Ismail	SINGAPORE
David Martí	SPAIN	Norma Barrachina	SPAIN
Dragos Ciobanu	UNITED KINGDOM	Olga Teruel	SPAIN
Eladio Duque	SPAIN	Owen Leeds	UNITED KINGDOM
Fouad Chaatit	MOROCCO	Ozden Sahin Izmirli	TURKEY
Hanan Maoz	ISRAEL	Özgün Koşaner	TURKEY
Hendrawan Soetanto	INDONESIA	Panicos Masouras	CYPRUS
Iain Morrison	UNITED KINGDOM	Peter Haber	AUSTRIA
Ignacio Ballester	SPAIN	Ryuichi Matsuba	JAPAN
Ignacio Candel	SPAIN	Sergio Pérez	SPAIN
Iolie Nicolaidou	CYPRUS	Shakila Singh	SOUTH AFRICA
Ismael Serrano	SPAIN	Sheryl Williams	UNITED KINGDOM
Iván Martínez	SPAIN	Silvia Pokrivcakova	SLOVAKIA
Jacqueline O'Flaherty	AUSTRALIA	Souad Demigha	FRANCE
Javier Domenech	SPAIN	Tom Warms	UNITED STATES
Javier Martí	SPAIN	Umran Topcu	TURKEY
Jelena Gledic	SERBIA	Valentina Kratasyuk	RUSSIAN FEDERATION
Jiri Motejlek	CZECH REPUBLIC	Valerie Hascoet	IRELAND
Joanna Lees	FRANCE	Vassilis Bokolas	GREECE
Jose F. Cabeza	SPAIN	Vladimír Bradáč	CZECH REPUBLIC
Jose Luis Bernat	SPAIN	Wycliffe Nyaribo	KENYA
Judith Szerdahelyi	UNITED STATES	Xavier Lefranc	FRANCE
Judith Yturriago	UNITED STATES	Yun Fat Lam	HONG KONG
Kai Zhang	PORTUGAL	Zafer Kurtaslan	TURKEY

CONFERENCE SESSIONS

ORAL SESSIONS, 10th March 2014.

Research on Technology in Education (1)
Video games for learning
Experiences in Educational/Serious Games
Web 2.0 and Social Networking
Quality Assurance in education (1)
Meet the Keynote
Experiences in STEM education
Education and Globalization

Research on Technology in Education (2)
3D Applications, Augmented and Virtual Reality
Collaborative and Problem-based Learning (1)
Technology-Enhanced Learning (1)
Quality Assurance in education (2)
Evaluation and Assessment of Student Learning
Experiences in Business education (1)
Pre-service teacher experiences

Virtual Universities and Distance education
Mobile learning
Collaborative and Problem-based Learning (2)
Technology-Enhanced Learning (2)
Experiences in Foreign Languages education (1)
Learning Assessment
Experiences in Business education (2)
In-service teacher training and development

International projects
Collaborative and Virtual Learning Environments (CVE & VLE)
Computer Supported Collaborative Work
Social Media and Computer Supported Collaborative Work
Language learning innovations (1)
Experiences in Architecture & Urban planning education
Research & Experiences in Maths education
ICT skills and competencies among teachers

POSTER SESSIONS, 10th March 2014.

Pedagogical and Didactical Innovations

Experiences in Education and Curriculum Design

ORAL SESSIONS, 11th March 2014.

New challenges for the Higher Education Area (1)
Videos for Learning
Workplace Learning programmes
Curriculum Design
Quality Assurance in education (3)
e-Learning
Curriculum Design in Engineering education
Experiences in Health Sciences education (1)

New challenges for the Higher Education Area (2)
Digital Libraries and Open Educational Resources
Work Employability
New Experiences for Curriculum Design
Lifelong Learning
ePortfolios
Experiences in Engineering education
Experiences in Health Sciences education (2)

Barriers to Learning
Flipped & Blended learning
Entrepreneurship education
University-Industry Collaboration
Tutoring and Coaching
Language learning innovations (2)
Enhancing learning in Engineering education
ICTs in Health education

Research in Education
Educational games experiences
Pedagogical & Didactical Innovations. Learning and Teaching Methodologies
Vocational education
Special education & inclusive learning
Experiences in Foreign Languages education (2)
Accreditation & Assessment processes
ICT in primary and secondary education

Links between Education and Research
LMS and managed learning environments
Experiences in Education. New projects and innovations
Technology-Enhanced Learning and Community Development
Competence Evaluation
New Technologies in Foreign Languages education
Impact of Education on Development
Learning experiences in primary and secondary education

POSTER SESSIONS, 11th March 2014.

Technology in Education and Educational Software

New Challenges in Education and Research

VIRTUAL SESSIONS

Barriers to Learning
Blended Learning
Collaborative and Problem-based Learning
Competence Evaluation
Computer Supported Collaborative Work
Curriculum Design and Innovation
Diversity issues and women and minorities in science and technology
E-content Management and Development
e-Learning
Education and Globalization
Education in a multicultural society
Educational Research Experiences
Educational Software and Serious Games
Enhancing learning and the undergraduate experience
Ethical issues in Education
Evaluation and Assessment of Student Learning
Experiences in Education. Transferring disciplines
Impact of Education on Development
Inclusive Learning
International Projects
Language Learning Innovations
Learning and Teaching Methodologies
Learning Experiences in Primary and Secondary School
Lifelong Learning
Links between Education and Research
Massively Open Online Courses (MOOC)
Mobile learning
New challenges for the Higher Education Area
New projects and innovations
New Trends in the Higher Education Area. ECTS experiences
Organizational, legal and financial issues
Quality assurance in Education
Research Methodologies
Research on Technology in Education
Student and staff mobility programmes
Student Support in Education
Technological Issues in Education
Technology-Enhanced Learning
University-Industry Collaboration
Virtual Universities. Distance education
Vocational Training

ABOUT INTED2014 Proceedings CD

HTML Interface: Navigating with the Web browser

This CD includes all presented papers at INTED2014 conference. It has been formatted similarly to the conference Web site in order to keep a familiar environment and to provide access to the papers trough your default Web browser (open the file named " INTED2014.html").

An Author Index, a Session Index, and the Technical Program are included in HTML format on this disk to aid you in finding conference papers. Using these HTML files as a starting point, you can access other useful information related to the conference.

The links in the Session List jump to the corresponding location in the Technical Program. The links in the Technical Program and the Author Index open the selected paper in a new window. These links are located on the titles of the papers and the Technical Program or Author Index window remains open.

Full Text Search: Searching INTED2014 index file of cataloged PDFs

If you have Adobe Acrobat Reader version 6 or later (www.adobe.com), you can perform a full-text search for terms found in INTED2014 proceedings papers.

Important: To search the PDF index, you must open Acrobat as a stand-alone application, not within your web browser, i.e. you should open directly the file "INTED2014.pdf" in the CD with your Adobe Acrobat or Acrobat Reader application.

This PDF file is attached to an Adobe PDF index that allows text search in all PDF papers by using the Acrobat search tool (not the same as the find tool). The full-text index is an alphabetized list of all the words used in the collection of conference papers. Searching an index is much faster than searching all the text in the documents.

To search the INTED2014 Proceedings index:
1. Open the Search PDF pane through the menu "Edit > Advanced Search" or click in the PDF bookmark titled "SEARCH PAPERS CONTENT".
2. The "INTED2014_index.pdx" should be the currently selected index in the Search window (if the index is not listed, click Add, locate the index file .pdx on the CD, and then click Open).
3. Type the search text, click Search button, and then proceed with your query.

For Acrobat 9:
1. In the "Edit" menu, choose "Search". You may receive a message from Acrobat asking if it is safe to load the Catalog Index. Click "Load".
2. A new window will appear with search options. Enter your search terms and proceed with your search as usual.

For Acrobat 8:
1. Open the Search window, type the words you want to find, and then click Use Advanced Search Options (near the bottom of the window).
2. For Look In, choose Select Index.
3. In the Index Selection dialog box, select an index, if the one you want to search is available, or click Add and then locate and select the index to be searched, and click Open. Repeat as needed until all the indexes you want to search are selected.
4. Click OK to close the Index Selection dialog box, and then choose Currently Selected Indexes on the Look In pop-up menu.
5. Proceed with your search as usual, selecting other options you want to apply, and click Search.

For Acrobat 7 and earlier:
1. In the "Edit" menu, choose "Full Text Search".
2. A new window will appear with search options. Enter your search terms and proceed with your search as usual.

ETHNICIZATION OF UNIVERSITY EDUCATION AND NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT: THE NIGERIAN EXPERIENCE

M.M. Duruji, J. Segun, I.P. Olarenwaju, F.O. Oviasogie, O.O. Ajayi, E.R. Loromeke

Covenant University (NIGERIA)

Abstract

At the base of national development is an advancement in education; specifically university education. The Nigerian case reveals that the system of education is at the mercy of state's internal intricacies. The issue of ethnicity, which has fuelled distrust, suspicion and competition among the ethnic groups has resulted in the introduction of certain resolutions such as quota system, federal character, revenue allocation, rotation, zoning, etc. which have further exacerbated the issue; normalizing and legalizing ethnicity. The destructive effects of ethnicity have trickled down to education, and national development remains at the receiving end. In fact, it reflects in the county's public university admission process, appointment of university dons, and even in the location of university facilities: culminating in the deprivation of university education for qualified applicants, displacement of frustrated students and brilliant academics abroad, and the eventual loss of those that could assist in national development to more inclusive societies of the world. As one of the world's most pluralised society, Nigeria becomes the preferred case for the study. A descriptive-analytical approach is applied, while the data are basically collected from texts, institutional records and academic journals. Findings indicate that merit is sacrificed at the altar of national integrity and political accommodation.

Keywords: ethnicization, Nigeria, national development, quota system, federal character.

1 INTRODUCTION

University education is the bedrock of national development and provides a platform of social mobility for folks. Little wonder there has been a continuous struggle between and among the major ethnic groups in Nigeria to utilize its gains for development ahead of the others. This particularly reflects in an educational imbalance between the northern and southern parts of the country. As a result, government since independence have been preoccupied with the need to conjure policies to ensure peace and stability. Among these policies are the quota system and federal character.

This paper, therefore, examines the ethnicization of university education in Nigeria. Also, it criticises the twin policies (quota system and federal character) by investigating their impact on Nigeria's university education system.

2 CONCEPTUAL DISCOURSE

2.1 Ethnicity

According to Chafe (1994) the primary requirement for debating a thing is to first understand the actual thing being talked about. This position underscores the need for exhaustive analysis of terms. The first concept that is discussed in this paper is ethnicity. To start with, ethnicity as a concept is derived from Greek word "ethnikos", the adjective of ethnos which simply means "a people or nation" (Cashmore, 1992: 97). For analytical purposes, it is essential to examine ethnic group as a concept before defining ethnicity. Some scholars believe that everyone is a product of an ethnic group "in the same way that every ant belongs to an ant nest and every bee to a hive, so every human being belongs to an ethnic group" (Mare, 1993: 26). Despite considerable attention devoted to the subject, opinions are divided as regards its precise meaning. Gbadeyan (1981; cited in Joshua, 2007) sees it as a collection of human beings who are related by descent from common ancestors. To Thompson (1980: 127), ethnic group is a collectivity having real or putative common ancestry, memories of shared historical past, and a cultural focus on one or more symbolic elements as the epitome of their 'peoplehood'. Cashmore (1992) perceived it not as a mere aggregate of people nor a sector of a population, but a self-conscious collection of people united or closely related by shared experiences. In his own view, Nnoli (1978) defined ethnic groups as social formation distinguished by the communal character of

their boundaries. The relevant communal character according to him could be culture, language or both.

Ethnicity as a concept refers to the corpus or relationships among people from different ethnic groups who decided to base their relationships on these differences (Osaghae, 1994; cited in Vaaseh, 2013). Ethnicity also denotes a contextual discrimination by members of one group against others on the basis of differentiated systems of socio-cultural symbols (Otite, 1989: 2). Which is why Egwu (1998) asserts that ethnicity is derived from interactions among members of different ethnic groups.

Suberu (1996;z. cited in Uhunmwuangho and Epelle, 2011) contends that ethnicity hardly exists in pure forms. It is a consequence of ethnic group identity mobilization and politicization especially in a competitive ethnically plural environment or context. This is similar to the line of thoughts of Nnoli (1989; cited in Egwu, 1999: 56), that there are four major attributes of ethnicity. First it exists in a polity in which there is a variety of ethnic groups. Second, it is characterised by exclusiveness which is manifested in inter-ethnic discrimination. Third, conflict is inherent particularly in situations of strong competition over limited resources.

From the above analysis it is clear that ethnicity can blight relationship among people of different ethnic background. It is therefore not a surprise that Vaaseh (2013) argued that ethnicity is an unfriendly, parochial, spiteful and resentful attitude towards a particular person or harm done to someone else because he or she is of another ethnic group.

2.2 National Development

Nwaorgu, and Ihedioha (2007) postulates that the concept of development defies universally acceptable definition, comprehension and elucidation. Little wonder that Henry (1977) avers that the word development delineates a vast area but does not specify what play is been enacted. Todaro (1980: 96; cited in Joshua, et al. 2012: 164) sees development as "a multi-dimensional process involving changes in structures, attitudes and institutions as well as the acceleration of economic growth, the reduction of inequality and eradication of absolute poverty". McLean (1993: 137-138; cited in Nwaorgu and Ihedioha) defined development in a broad sense as a multidimensional process that normally connotes change from a less to a more desirable state. He further stated that it is the fulfilment of the necessary conditions for the realization of the potential of human personality, which translates into reductions in poverty, inequality and unemployment. Development has also been characterised more simply as the increasing satisfaction of basic needs such as food.

National development according to the Principal and CEO of Temasek Polytehnic, Singapore, comes with it the implications of valued and positive changes that bring about a better quality of life for the population as a whole. Concomitant with this notion are economic and social development. Thus, development is related to increasing the efficiency of the production system of a nation (whether it be processes, goods or services), meeting the basic needs and satisfaction of the population, reducing poverty, creating employment and creating equality of opportunities and increasing the welfare of all social groups are embraced within the concept of national development (Saliu, 2006).

The above submission presupposes that national development is a multi-dimensional process that encapsulates economic, social and political systems of a country. It is important to note that development seems to be inexorably linked with education. Saliu (2006) argues that the focus on education as a tool for national development is essentially a post-World War II phenomenon. This is the case because British historians have noted that in the early stage of industrialization in England (1780-1850) education was never seen to be related to economic advancement as the level of knowledge and skills required for early factories were about the same as that of a successful farmer. But more recent, history tells a different story. After World War II, Japan embarked on formal education programme with emphasis on education as a key to economic growth. The amazing success recorded by the Japanese brought wide conviction that there is a direct link between education and economic development.

The perspective of the Liberal school of thought basically sees development as economic growth, thus, representing the dominant bourgeois thinking of 1950s. This is described as eradication of poverty without proper detailing of how this can be achieved (Nwaorgu and Ihedioha, 2007).

However, there is a radical school, which has adopted the Marxist perspective. Scholars of this persuasion view development as a multi-dimensional and consequently put man at the centrepiece of development action, scheme and programme. The human angle and dimension given to development in preference to institution has received wide acceptance among the third world countries. This is

premised on extreme poverty and disease that have devalued the quality of life in these societies (Nwaorgu and Ihedioha, 2007). Howard (2004: 43) argues that development takes place only when the central problems of poverty, unemployment and inequalities in a society have reduced from high levels. It is necessary to state that the objective of development is to extend the frontier of human lives. This approach is what is adopted in this paper as university education is human centred. Saliu (2006) contends that in developing countries, education plays a crucial role in inculcating in them essential work habits and discipline, equipping their people with basic literacy and fundamental skills, as well as the bedrock of technological knowledge. And that the development of human resources is now widely accepted as a strategic tool for socio-economic development, particularly in promoting industrialization and technological upgrading.

Since education, most especially university or better still higher education is critical to bringing about human development which will obviously translate to national development, unequal access to education most especially at higher level on the pretext of ethnic background or on the guise of bringing about balanced ethnic ratio is a cog in the wheel of national development.

3 THE ROLE OF EDUCATION IN NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

In many parts of the world, education plays an important role in national development. There are significant literatures that have been developed to support this argument of education being a major catalyst or driver of development (NIU, 2005: 3; Ojiambo, 2009: 133-149; Addo, 2010: 85; Debeauvais, 1981; Orobosa, 2010; Dienye, 2011). The importance of education to national development can explain why nations of the world have embarked on investing on it and exploring the world of knowledge in various aspects of human life like science, technology, industrialization, self-sufficiency in food, standards of living and well-being, the environment, healthcare system, good governance, and economics among others hoping that the knowledge discovered will bring about corresponding human advancement and societal development (Orji and Maekae, 2013; Dienye, 2011; Thomson, 2008). In other words, education can be said to be the life wire of a nation and the key lever of sustainable development (UNESCO, 2012). There are various roles education performs in making national development become reality.

First, education performs socio-economic roles through the process of socialization and acculturation. This means that education is an agent of socialization that helps in inculcating relevant societal virtues and values like sound human values, ideals of brotherhood, religious and moral beliefs into the citizens. It shapes both personal and collective identities that promote social participation. Through education, better citizenship is promoted in that, the citizens will be responsible to themselves, others and their nation, and also respect the human right of others despite cultural diversity (Addo, 2010: 85; UNESCO, 2012: 4; Oghuvbu, 2007: 19). Education reduces social vices and creates conducive environment and conditions for achieving the collective development goals.

Second, education addresses the challenges of social inequality and reduces social stratification in the society. According to Ojiambo (2009: 133-149), educational development will bring about advancement like economic growth, redistribution of wealth and income and increase of equality of opportunities, availability of skilled human power, political stability, control of population growth, enhancement of life span, reduction of crime rates and insecurity security and improvement of national cohesion.

Education also plays a major role in national development through the discovery, understanding and addressing societal problems through involvement in research. Centres of education are centres of research. Most tertiary institutions undertake research and facilitate knowledge that improve the quality of life, decisions and also enrich policies (Sirleaf, 2012: 2; Oghuvbu, 2007: 21; Thomson, 2008). Apart from making provisions for laboratory researches, educational institutions also organise public lectures, academic conferences, where seasoned academics are gathered to discuss societal issues with the ultimate aim of proffering solutions to them.

It is essential to mention also as Thomson (2008) opined that development is not a phase to be attained rather it is a continuous process of improvement that occurs with research and development (R&D) playing a salient role in ensuring that individuals that are the agents of change, communities, the institutions and structures that support the agents of change and being moulded over time. Continuous research encourages the discovery of gaps, problems and areas where the society needs to improve on and also proffer solutions for the development of the society. Developed countries understand the essence of research institutes and centres and continue to invest significantly into

education (Cordoba and Ripoll, 2007: 1). The Asian Tigers have recorded noticeable gains from investing in education, as a result of their clear understanding of its pivotal effect on the actualisation of their dreams and aspirations (Dienye, 2011: 15).

Education also helps in solving the leadership questions that a number of countries face today. At the helm of national development is a leader. The common ground in many literatures on education and national development is that via education, socially responsible individuals that can lead their nation towards development would be raised. Education is about raising the quality of life and empowerment via development of skills and acquisition of relevant knowledge (Faure 1972; cited in Thomson, 2008). Education therefore, will teach individuals to make season decisions for themselves and the world around them, choose good leaders, oppose and fight ineffective ones for the good of their country. Oyedepo (2011; cited in Dienye, 2011: 18) supported this by saying that quality education brings right leadership. When the challenges of choosing good leaders are overcome, then it will be right to say that development is in view.

According to the observation of Addo (2010: 83), the human capital theory shows that investment in education has high socioeconomic gains for a country. It is a platform on which personnel are trained and in turn drive development. Dienye (2011: 18) observed that when a society is intellectually bankrupt it will automatically become socially, economically and politically bankrupt, which invariably means no development. In other words, quality education is fundamental to societal development. It stresses the fact that a nation develops in tandem with its advancement in education (Orji and Maekae, 2013). Consequently, this means that development can only be driven by competent people, who have the necessary skills; adequate capacity to create and adapt knowledge with the technology needed to transform and advance the society (Dienye, 2011: 18; Orobosa, 2010; Cohn, 1978; Cavalevu, 2014; Ojiambo, 2009: 133-149).

It is the rise in the productivity of the individual that increases the total output of the economy. In addition, education increases the numbers of educated citizens a country has within her boundaries, making available enough hands to drive development and realize national socioeconomic plans (Addo, 2010: 83; Sirleaf, 2012; Thomson, 2008). This remains the most salient role of education in a society as education first develops the individual who then develop the society; they are the medium through which education can bring about development. It is for this reason that education must be consolidated in response to the manpower needs of the country (Orobosa, 2010).

In other words, education that brings about development is that which has a targeted aim and addresses the need of the society. To ensure societal development in Nigeria, long term investment in education should be pursued to confront the multifaceted challenges of the Nigerian state.

4 HISTORICITY OF ETHNICITY IN NIGERIA

Ethnicity is the cultural characteristic that connects a particular group of people to each other (Duruji, 2008). Ethnic groups are "human groups which cherish a belief in their common origins such that it provides a basis for the creation of a community" (Mbaku, et al. 2001: 61). When we talk about ethnicity, attention is centered on a set of beliefs that are not necessarily biological traits (race) or objective group characteristics (religion, language). However, ethnicity can be seen as referring to differences in language, religion, colour, ancestry and culture among groups to which social meanings are attributed and around which identity and group formation occur (Nagel, 1995: 443).

Ethnicity can result from choice or ascription. An individual chooses to be identified with a recognized ethnic group, or membership in a certain ethnic group can be imposed on an individual by the greater society (Barth, 1969). By implication, individuals can choose their ethnicity, but the choice must be acceptable to society. As such, ethnicity is a combination of individual choice and social imposition (Mbaku, 2001, Davies, 1991, Harris, 1964). From this we can infer that ethnicity is not a permanent trait, but dynamic, which implies that the boundary of an ethnic group as a social category can change (Barth, 1969).

The Nigerian state is one where ethnic diversity has defined the tone of politics (Nnoli, 2008). About 250 ethnic groups and 400 linguistic groups are indigenous to Nigeria (Aluko, 2007). The action of the colonialists to merge these disparate groups and cultures into one political entity, created the problem of nation building for the post-independent administrations (Asia, 2001). The administrative style of the colonialists compounded the problem by its encouragement of identity and ethnic division as a strategy for entrenching their foothold in the country. For instance, the colonial policy of indirect rule localised politics and prevented cross-cultural political interaction among the Nigerian groups, thus

making suspicion the hallmark of inter-ethnic relations (Ekeh, 1996). This was not helped by the nature of the colonial economy, which supplanted the self-sufficing subsistence economy and created the condition of competition for the limited job opportunities offered by the modernisation process along ethnic lines. This state of affairs engendered the emergence of communal associations as a social security fodder for the rising number of migrants who flooded the urban centers for succour (Nnoli, 2008).

These communal organisations would later become the springboard for political parties, including two of the major pre-independent parties: the Northern People's Congress (NPC), which evolved from 'Jamiyyar Mutanem Arewa', a cultural organisation dominated by the Hausa-Fulani people in 1949, and the Action Group (AG), which evolved from 'Egbe Omo Oduduwa', a cultural organisation of the Yoruba ethnic group in 1951. The emergence of these parties increased ethnic consciousness and turned the politics of decolonisation into contests for ethnic superiority. It was the rivalry and bickering between these ethnically based political parties that led to the demise of the first republic following the military coup d'état in January 1966. That intervention of the military polarised the institution along ethnic lines, as a section of the military interpreted the action of their colleagues as ethnically motivated. This created the condition for a counter coup six months later that degenerated into a civil war between the former eastern region and the rest of the country.

The civil war has been interpreted variously, both as a war of unity and an act of persecution, depending on what side of the divide the analysis belongs. One analysis sees the Biafran secessionist movement as a fight for justice, which was aborted by the superior might of the federal military government supported by foreign powers whose interests were to secure a managed condition for economic exploitation in Africa (Amadiume, 2000). The remote causes of the war could be traced to events that occurred with the five years preceding the war. Some of these events included the intense political uprisings and violence that erupted across the country. Fearon and Laitin (2006) recorded 124 of such instigated riots. Most of the victims of the riots were Igbo people living in the northern parts of the country where the killings were more pronounced and coordinated. The attacks were prompted by the fear of Igbo domination, especially after Major Kaduna Nzeogwu and four other majors of Igbo extraction staged a coup in which prominent northern political leaders were killed. The policies of General Aguiyi Ironsi, an Igbo who took over the reins of power, especially the promulgation of Decree 34 that attempted to abolish Nigerian federalism in place of a unitary system, heightened the fear of the North. The counter coup in July 1966 that ushered in General Yakubu Gowon as the head of state turned the table in favour of the north and triggered further attacks against the Igbo in the north. Onu (2001) estimates that about 30,000 easterners were killed in the north and another 1.8 million were forced back to the east as refugees in 1967. The failures of the central government to either stop the pogrom or bring the perpetrators to justice sparked off reprisal killings in the east, and the justification of the decision of the region to secede from the federation. The declaration of the former eastern region as the Republic of Biafra was premised on the argument that the Nigerian state could not guarantee the security of the Igbo and other easterners. This was aggravated by the over-stretching of the then eastern regional government capacity to handle the massive influx of refugees displaced from other parts of the country by the pogrom and the inability of the federal military government to stop the attacks.

Guided by events of the immediate post-independent experience, military administrators who dominated governance attempted to consolidate nation building on the bequeathed legacies of colonialism by stifling political mobilisation along ethnic lines. To achieve this, they introduced principles that combine elements of federalism and consociationalism as a panacea for Nigeria's unity. Some of these actions included the creation of states from the four it inherited in 1966 to thirty-six when Nigeria transited to democracy in 1999. The rationale was to weaken the federating units so as to prevent the re-occurrence of Biafra. The military also incorporated the principle of federal character in the Nigerian constitution recognising ethnic and regional affiliation as the basis of appointments, recruitment, and promotions in federal institutions and agencies. This principle sacrifices merit and does not promote conditions for hard work and patriotism in public service (Oyovbaire, 1983; Suberu, 2001).

The implementation of this policy has by no means achieved the intended unity of the country, but instead worsened the structural imbalance of the Nigerian federation, activating latent centrifugal forces in places where it was hitherto dormant and adding strain on the polity (Mustapha, 2007). This has been reflected in the many ethno-religious riots and communal clashes that washed over the entire country under the fourth democratic dispensation (Ginifer and Ismail, 2005; Ploughshares, 2004). The consequence of this inter-ethnic strife is deepening mistrust among the Nigerian ethnic

groups and weakening of governmental institutions (Aluko, 2007). These factors made the Nigerian space boisterous for ethnic rivalry, abuse of human rights, mistrust of government, corruption, unemployment, and pervasive poverty, all of which feed on deep frustrations, creating divisiveness and promoting violent attitudes that have given rise to a new form of ethno-nationalism manifesting in Nigeria since the late 1990s (Duruji, 2008).

The root of ethnic consciousness as has been noted in several studies was laid by Nigeria's colonial experience. The British colonial style of administration in Nigeria emphasizes the cultural distinctions of the disparate kinship groupings as the center of political organization, thus providing a limited space for inter-ethnic interaction in the 1950's.

For instance, the doctrine of indirect rule restricted administrative action within each locality and made inter-ethnic political interactions difficult (Ekeh, 1996). Thus, ethnic groups emerged from the colonial experience as the most stable unit of political action in Nigeria, as its intensive development was encouraged rather than an evolution of nationwide political tradition.

This was what reflected in the politics of decolonization in Nigeria, which was turned into a theatre of war by the dominant ethnic groups to control the soul of Nigeria and replace the colonialists. The quest for dominance and hegemony of the state has been the hallmark of Nigeria's politics, with each group struggling to gain prominence and ultimately determining the allocation and distribution of resources.

Another issue arising from mistrust among the Nigerian group centers on the problem of settler-indigene dichotomy. This of course has its root in the administrative style of British colonialism. In the first instance, the amalgamation of the Northern and Southern Protectorates in January 1914 was purely an imposition without any iota of consultation of the Nigerian people and their leaders (Duruji, 2010). The promulgation of the Native Authority Ordinance and the policy of indirect rule for many decades localised politics, making no room for inter-ethnic and cultural interactions among the Nigerian peoples. Consequently, the environment for Nigerians of different ethnic and cultural groups to meet and understand themselves was not provided; as seen in the run up to independence, there was widespread suspicion among the Nigerian groups. This led to the formation of political parties as champions and projectors of ethnic interests. More so, the policy of the British colonialists to keep Nigerians of diverse ethnic and cultural groups aside and separated from one another helped to compound the problem (Uzoigwe, 1996). For instance southern non-Muslim migrants to the northern part of the country were kept in quarters called 'sabongeri'. The same can be said of northerners that migrated to the south, the pattern of settlement which have endured till the present sees them converging and concentrating in a particular area such as 'Ama Awusa' in Owerri, Gariki in Enugu, Agege in Lagos etcetera.

This foundation culminated in creating the problem of citizenship in Nigeria resulting in the discriminations and exclusion meted out to people on the basis of ethnic, regional, religious and gender identities. This is because those who see themselves are "natives" or "indigenes" exclude those considered as "strangers" from the enjoyment of certain rights and benefits that they ought to enjoy as Nigerians upon the fulfillment of certain civic duties, such as the payment of tax (Bamidele and Ikubaje, 2004: 65). The 1979 Constitution from which the 1999 Constitution was modified has been seen as laying the basis or foundation for the indigeneship problems. This is because it expressly provides that in order to enjoy access to positions and opportunities on the basis of "federal character" one needs to be an "indigene" of the state or local government concerned. Being an indigene involves showing evidence of belonging, through one's parents or grandparents to a community indigenous to a State or Local Government, which in effect suggests the membership of a local ethnic and linguistic community. Thus, the inability to prove such membership of a group of people will result in being defined as a "stranger" who cannot enjoy all the rights and privileges of indigenes and/or natives (Bamidele and Ikubaje, 2004: 76).

This development has been linked to the Nigerian 'national question', which Jimoh (2001) have remarked, relates to how a state made up of diverse nations, ethnic groups or peoples should order relations among its constituent parts. Adejumobi argues that the crisis of the Nigerian state and the consequent problem of peaceful co-existence lie in this question which is two - dimensional (Adejumobi, 2002). The first dimension, he identified as inter-group relations, that is the tension and contradictions that arise from inter-group relations on issues of marginalisation, domination, inequality, fairness and justice among ethnic groups, and is re-inforced by the second dimension, the exacerbation of class inequalities and antagonism in society dichotomized as rich and poor, the affluent and the underclass. As such the main issue on the national question revolves around how to

structure the Nigerian federation in order to accommodate groups in a way that can guarantee access to power and equitable distribution of resources (Oseghae, 1998). This is because the perceived domination of some ethnic groups by others is rooted on the structural nature of the Nigerian federation and the heavy lopsidedness in centre-state relations (Adejumobi, 2002).

5   NIGERIA AND UNIVERSITY EDUCATION

Throughout its history, the heterogeneity of the Nigerian state has more often than not, been a major impediment to national development. Since the conquest of hitherto independent territories and the eventual amalgamation of the Northern and Southern protectorates by British Colonialists in 1914, Nigeria's multi-ethnic nature has always culminated in competitions among its numerous ethnic groups. This competitive ethnicity has immensely contributed to the developments in the nation-state; be it in political, social and economic. Unfortunately, education, which is meant to be the base for national development, is also badly hit by this scourge, as the various ethnic groups fight for its heart, so as to advance above the others for progress and development. However, in an attempt to dismiss this sense of disunity and promote a sense of equality in the country, policies such as quota system and federal character principle were adopted by the Federal Government (Obielumani, 2008).

Since the application of these principles to university admission process (particularly public universities), appointment of university dons, and even, locating university facilities, Obielumani (2008) identifies serious academic imbalance in the system, resulting in the deprivation of university education to qualified candidates, in certain cases, the appointment of unqualified persons to leadership positions in the universities; the under-utilization and over-utilization of university facilities, respectively. Consolidating this claim is the report of the Somade Committee of 1970 (cited by Nduka, 1974), tasked to investigate the extent of the imbalance in the Nigerian educational system. The report reveals a wide gap between the North and South, which Obielumani (2008) argued to be as a result of the different history and religious experiences both regions had before independence.

5.1   Quota System and University Education in Nigeria

The colonial Lyttleton Constitution of 1954 introduced Nigeria to federalism which created a huge development gap between the Northern and Southern regions. This, however, necessitated the adoption of a quota system in 1958. The quota system was aimed at facilitating equal representation of the various ethnic groups in Nigeria's public service (Anyanwu, 2010: 5; Tonwe and Oghator, 2009: 235; cited in Gberevbie and Ibietan, 2013: 47).

The clamour for the adoption of a quota system in admission process of students in Nigerian universities, which was expected to close up the educational gap between the regions, could be traced to the 1950s, when Northerners solicited for a system that would help their indigenes secure admissions into the University College, Ibadan. But this solicitation was quickly rejected by the British colonial masters, who insisted on academic merit as a major criterion. At independence, this position of merit over quota in the education sector was maintained and was included in the 1962 Federal Government white paper on the Ashby Commission report (Anyanwu, 2010: 10-11).

This had further widened the gap and had fuelled distrust, suspicion, fear and conflict, until it spread like wild fire and consumed the entire structure of the Nigerian State in a civil war in 1969 (Anyanwu, 2010: 5). After the Civil War, Gen. Yakubu Gowon's regime began to take decisive steps to forge national unity by closing the gap in university education. Revelations by the then Federal Commissioner of Education, Chief A.Y. Eke, indicated the extent of imbalance in the system: "… for every student in a post-secondary institution in the North there are six in the South" (cited by Anyanwu, 2010: 5). Also, the statistics of undergraduate enrolment into the then six universities in existence (one university in the North, while the remaining five in the south) by the National Universities Commission reported that "out of 14,468 students enrolled in all the universities in 1970, students from the South, constituted more than 75.6 per cent of the total population" (Anyanwu, 2010: 5).

However, in a bid to closing this gap, and to address the backlog of university applications due to the disruption of education caused by the Civil War, Gowon implored the universities to assume a national outlook in their admission policies, and recommended a massive expansion of the institutions, in size and in number (Ibadan, 1970: 16-17). Furthermore, flagging off of the Second National Development Plan (NDP) in 1970 reflects Gowon's awareness of the pivot of university education on national development. According to Anyanwu (2010):

The Second NDP not only sought to restore facilities and services damaged or disrupted by the civil war, it also desired to develop and expand education at various levels in order to attain higher enrollment ratios while at the same time reducing the educational gap in the country (p. 7).

Expressing distrust and dissatisfaction toward government, Northern leaders continued to push for an approval that will allow states establish their own universities and also the use of quota system in admission process. However, this push has been condemned by many, arguing that it will render government's attempts for peace and unity of the country futile.

But being concerned about the ability of Northern states to ensure sustainable academic quality and stable financial commitment to the proposed universities, Gen. Yakubu Gowon expressed his opposition by amending the constitution in 1972 to relocate higher education to the exclusive list from the concurrent list. By this, sole right was given to the federal government to establish universities and to legislate over all it matters (Anyanwu, 2010).

As university admission got more cumbersome due to increase in population, among others, and the attempt to bridge the educational gap between the regions, the Gen. Olusegun Obasanjo led Federal Government established the Joint Admission and Matriculation Board (Jamb) and the School of Preliminary Studies in April 1977. The former was to determine matriculation requirements into the first degree programmes of all Nigerian universities, conduct a joint matriculation examination to test candidates into these universities, and determine suitable placing of qualified candidates within the universities, while the latter was to specifically assist Northern students gain access into northern universities (Adamu, n.d.).

By 1979, northern students staged a violent protest which caused the short down of all northern universities after the government abolished the School of Preliminary Studies for admissions to be solely determined by JAMB. The northern students accused the Federal Government and the south of conspiring against them, and attempting to maintain the obvious gap between the regions (Adamu, n.d.). To resolve the crisis and to finally bridge the gap between them educationally, government introduced the long expected quota system into Nigeria's university system (Adamu, n.d.). This quota system provides a formula for admission into federal universities: "merit 40 percent, states quota 30 per cent, catchment zone 20 per cent and discretion 10 per cent" (Nwagwu, 1997: 92; Adamu, n.d.).

5.2   Federal Character and University Education in Nigeria

Shortly before Gen Olusegun Obasanjo handed the affairs of government over to a civilian elected president in 1979, he drafted a new constitution where the principle of federal character was introduced. This was designed to consolidate the gains of quota system (Ekeh, 1989: 19). Ekeh (1989: 38) explains that the "federal character principle sought to give opportunities in education and employment, usually at the point of entry, to disadvantaged groups and areas to enable them compete and catch up with more advanced areas and sectors of the nation" (cited in Gberevbie and Ibietan, 2013: 47).

Though scholars like Ekundayo and Adedokun (2009: 64) have confused quota system to be the same as federal character principle, others like Eke (1989) and Gberevbie and Ibietan (2013: 47) have attempted to draw a difference between them. To Ekeh (1989: 38), federal character do not just create opportunities for disadvantaged states or region like the quota system, it goes steps further to provide soft landings for them. That is, it relaxes the criteria for disadvantaged groups. The Federal Character Commission (FRN, 1996; cited in Okoroma, 2008: 9) describes it as "lowering of entry and promotion qualifications". Gberevbie and Ibietan (2013: 47) elucidated more that "federal character principle in a legal weapon put in place to regulate appointments, promotions, security of tenure and severance in every government department". Also, Okoroma (2008) documented that federal character means: that public authorities, semi-government agencies, institutions of learning and even the private sector should ensure fair and effective representation of states or local government in areas or ethnic groups in positions of power, authority, placement in enrollment in schools and so on (p. 9).

Gboyega (1989: 166) makes it a lot more direct, without mincing words. He states; "special consideration should be given to candidates from the Northern provinces and other areas where educational facilities were more backward than elsewhere".

Therefore, we can construe that though quota system and federal character seem alike; the latter covers wider scope than the former. To consolidate this claim is the address of Prof. Shuaibu Oba Adbulraheen, documented by Okoli (2012) and Nnabugwu (2012), to the university administrators during a workshop organised by the Federal Character Commission (FCC). He urged them to observe

the federal character principles in staff recruitment and appointments at both the management and senior officers' levels at their universities. The Commission expounds the purpose of the principle as to "ensure a strong, virile and indivisible nation based on fairness, equity, justice with a view to promoting national loyalty and fostering a sense of belonging among all Nigerians" (Okoli, 2012).

6   IMPACT OF ETHNICIZATION OF UNIVERSITY EDUCATION IN NIGERIA

From inception, the adoption of the federal character principle and quota system have generated mixed feelings as observed by Obielumani (2008), the supporters of these policies see them as a framework to enhance increased access to education for the "disadvantaged" states in the country, while the opponents of these policies perceive them as a fraud designed to stagnate the educational movements of the educationally "advantaged" states.

To their credit, however, these policies have largely addressed the matter of educational imbalance, at least, in terms of facilities within the Nigerian state. This imbalance was noted by the Northern States Chamber of commerce and Industry (1999; cited in Obielumani 2008) in a conference, where it was stated that: "there are fears that unless the Federal Government declares a state of emergency in education in the North, attempts to solve the imbalance, will remain futile". To this extent, therefore, these intervention policies have been responsible for the massive establishment of universities in the north. In 1999, only 10 public universities (all 10 were federal universities) were located in the Northern region, unlike the south that possessed 26 universities (15 federal and 11 states). Today, the northern region boasts of an additional 25 public universities (10 federal universities and 15 state universities) with 12 of them established between 2011 and 2013.

On the other hand, these policies have also placed the university education system in a quagmire. One of the major repercussions of the ethnicization of the university education is that it paved the way for mediocrity over merit and hard work. The implementation of quota system has watered down the public university admission process as admission is no longer given on the basis of performance. The quota system stipulates that only 40% of admissions should be based on merit. This implies that a staggering 60% of admission slots are based on other factors such as state of origin, catchment areas and discretion. Students admitted based on the catchment area and discretionary quota; usually don't meet the basic requisites for admission. This policy further creates room for the admission of intellectually deficient candidates ultimately resulting in the reduction of educational standards (Okoroma, 2008).

Consequently, the implication of this quota system is that a huge percentage of students in Nigerian public universities are not qualified to be there while those that are qualified are not given admission, thereby calling into question the quality of graduates that these universities release into the society. The quota system, therefore leads to the denial of many brilliant candidate's admission, while weak ones are given (Nwagwu, 1997). The result of this is the creation of a frustrated class of brilliant students who have lost faith in the system and have now turned to the private institutions or opted to further their education abroad, ultimately depriving the Nigerian state of intellectually sharp minds.

It is also pertinent to note that these policies have promoted discrimination, disunity, favouritism and corruption. This means that when quality is no longer the criterion for selection, the system becomes exposed to fraudulent practices. As Nwagwu (1997) noted:

Arising from a down-grading of merit as a basis for admission, there is much racketeering during the exercise. Bribery, corruption and nepotism become agents that ensure admission of weak candidates and, at times, even of the bright ones who have lost faith in merit, fair play and justice. As a result of this situation, mediocrity and economic power take precedence over academic standards (p. 92).

Similarly, the federal character principle has created serious challenges at the staffing and administrative level of universities. A number of scholars have recognized this fact. Forrest (1993; cited in Gberevbie and Ibietan, 2013: 55) argued that the implementation of the principle of federal character in the public service "not only led to poor appointments but also enhanced mediocrity rather than merit". Alao (2009: 149) argued that the principle promotes mediocrity at the expense of merit, particularly with the abuse that characterizes its application in civil service appointment and promotion (cited in Adeosun, 2011: 10). In the same vein, this situation is actually quite glaring to the extent that today certain positions must be reserved for the indigenes of the universities' host communities. Where that is not the case, the process of institutional administration becomes extremely difficult leading to administrative ineffectiveness. In the event that there are no qualified persons to fill in these vacancies, controversial promotions are then given to unqualified personnel. This point gives credence

to Gberevbie & Ibietan (2013: 56) who noted that "the principle and its application have brought about the unintended effect of creating situations of 'elimination by substitution' which makes it counter-productive. This it does through discrimination in appointment and promotion".

Indeed with such flawed admission and staffing processes, it becomes problematic for universities, as centres of excellence to set the pace for the large society in the efficient and effective management of human and material resources (Okoroma, 2008: 5).

7   CONCLUSION

It is very obvious that the inter-ethnic strives and competition in Nigeria and the response of the government to the ethnic question has not augured well for the development of the country. The fact is that these policies designed to accommodate the diverse groups and the manner in which they have been applied in Nigeria, has further divided the country rather than uniting it.

Those issues that alienate the masses from the state and create the environment of discord among the various peoples upon which certain elite could use to instigate a rebellion against the state must be eliminated. Most of these issues revolve around citizenship rights, access to power and economic resources and representation. Discriminatory policies that emphasise differences in Nigeria such as the state of origin clause as a basis for transaction with federal and state governments should be eliminated because it has failed to create a sense of belonging.

In a knowledge driven world of 21st century, Nigeria cannot afford to lag behind with these retrogressive policies and attitude that have stalled development. The leadership, at all levels of government in the country, must embrace a new vision of 'One Nigeria' and begin to inculcate such values into the greater society.

The policies of quota system and federal character can no longer be sustained and should be replaced with competition and merit as the sole determinant in the consideration for admission, appointments and promotion. Only then can the foundation for the take-off of the country is laid to become an industrialized society capable of creating more wealth that can percolate down the huge population of the country.

REFERENCES

[1]   Adamu, A.U. (n.d.). Educational Reforms in Nigeria, retrieved from http://www.kanoonline.com/publications/educational_reform_in_nigeria.htm, on the 13th January, 2014.

[2]   Addo, P.K. (2010). The Contribution Of Higher Education In National Development. International Journal of Educational Leadership 2(2), pp. 81-87.

[3]   Adejumobi, S. (2002). Ethnic Militia Group and the National Question in Nigeria, Social Science Research in Africa retrieved from http://www.claonet.org/ups/ads01, on the 13th January, 2014.

[4]   Adeosun, A.B. (2011). Federal Character Principle and National Integration: A Critical Appraisal. International Journal of Politics and Good Governance 2(2.4), pp. 1-10.

[5]   Agbese, P. (2001). Managing Ethnic Relations in a heterogeneous Society: The Case of Nigeria, in Mbaku J.M., Pita, O.A, and Mwangi S.K. (eds.), *Ethnicity and Governance in the third world (125-148).* Aldershot: Ashgate Publishing Company.

[6]   Aluko, S.A. (2007). Federal government reform agenda and the Nigerian economy 1999–2007: a critical assessment. *Opesays Nigeria Muse*. retrieved from http://www.nigerianmuse, on the 13th January, 2014.

[7]   Anyanwu, O. (2010). Experiment with Mass University Education in Post-Civil War Nigeria, 1970-1979. Journal of Nigeria Studies 1(1), pp. 1-36.

[8]   Bamidele, O. & Ikubaje, J. (2004). *Positions of Citizens' Forum for Constitutional Reform on the 1999 Constitution.* Lagos: CFCR.

[9]   Barth, F. (1969). *Ethnic Groups, and Boundaries*. Boston: Little, Brown.

[10]   Cashmore, E.E. (1992). *Dictionary of Race and Ethnic Relations*, 2nd Edition, London: Routledge.

[11] Cavalevu, J. (2014). The role of education in national development. retrieved from http://www.directions.usp.ac.fj/collect/direct/index/assoc/D769834.dir/doc.pdf, on January 13, 2014.

[12] Chafe, K.S. (1994). The Problematic of African Democracy: Experiences from the Political Transition in Nigeria, *African Zamani*, No. 2, July.

[13] Cohn, E. (1978). *The Economics of Education.* Cambridge, MA: Ballinger Publishing Company.

[14] Cordoba, J.C. & Ripoll, M. (2007). The Role of Education in Development. retrieved from http://www.econ.iastate.edu/~cordoba/red.pdf, on January 13, 2014.

[15] Debeauvais, M. (1981). Education and National Development. Oxford Review of Education 7(1), pp. 67-71.

[16] Dienye, V.U. (2011). Education and Societal Development: The Quality Imperative. African Journal of Education and Technology 1(3), pp. 15-24.

[17] Duruji, M.M. (2008). The Changing Context of Ethno-nationalism in Nigeria. UNILAG Journal of Politics 4 (Rainy Season), pp. 77-109.

[18] Duruji, M.M. (2010). *Ethnic Militias and Sub-nationalism in Nigeria: A Comparative Study of MASSOB and OPC.* Saarbrucken, Germany: VDM Verlag.

[19] Egwu, S.G. (1998). Agrarian Question and Rural Ethnic Conflicts in Nigeria, in Nnoli, O. (ed.), *Ethnic Conflicts in Africa*. Senegal: CODESRIA.

[20] Ekeh, P. (1996). Political Minorities and Historically Dominant Minorities in Nigerian History and Politics, in Oyediran, O. (ed.) *Governance and Development in Nigeria: Essays in Honour of Professor Billy J. Dudley (33-63).* Ibadan: Oyediran Consult International.

[21] Ekeh, P.P. (1989). The Structure and Meaning of Federal Character in the Nigerian Political System, in Ekeh, P.P. and Osaghae, E.E. (eds.), *Federal Character and Federalism in Nigeria (19-44)*. Ibadan: Heinemann Educational Books.

[22] Ekundayo, H.T. & Adedokun, M.O. (2009). The Unresolved Issue of University Autonomy and Academic Freedom in Nigeria University. Humanity and Social Science Journal 4(1), pp. 61-67.

[23] Fearon, J.D. and Laitin, D. D. (2006). *Nigeria: Random Narrative on Civil War Onset.* Stanford University.

[24] Forrest, T. (1993). *Politics and Economic Development in Nigeria*. Boulder, Colorado: West view Press.

[25] Gberevbie, D. and Ibietan, J. (2013). Federal Character Principle and Administrative Effectiveness in the Nigerian Public Service: Challenges and Prospects for Sustainable Development, 1999-2012, Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa 15(6), pp. 46-61.

[26] Gboyega, A. (1989). The Public Service and Federal Character, in Ekeh, P.P. and Osaghae, E.E. (eds.), *Federal Character and Federalism in Nigeria (164-185)*. Ibadan: Heinemann Educational Books.

[27] Ginifer, J. and Olawale, I. (2005). *Armed Violence and Poverty in Nigeria*. Bradford: Centre for International Cooperation and Security.

[28] Henry, P.C. (1977). *Towards a Redefinition of Development: Essays and Discussion on the Nature of Development in an International Perspective*, Oxford: Pergaman Press.

[29] Howard, J. (2004). *Political Development in Emerging Nations: Is there A third World?* Belmont: Wadsworth, Thomas Learning, Inc.

[30] Ibadan (July, 1970). State Universities and National Disunity, no. 28, p. 1.

[31] Ibadan. (July, 1970). "Address by his Excellency Major-General Yakubu Gowon, Head of the Federal Military Government and Visitor of the University during the 21st Anniversary of the University of Ibadan", No. 28, pp. 15-17.

[32] Joshua, S. (2007). Ethnic Militia and Nigeria's National Security, Unpublished M.Sc. Dissertation, Department of Political Science, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria.

[33] Joshua, S., Oni, S. & Agbude, G. (2012). Leadership, Democracy and Development in Nigeria: A Study of Oyo State (2003-2011), International Journal of Research in Arts and Social Sciences 4, pp. 161-174.

[34] Mare, A. (1993). *Ethnicity and Politics in South Africa.* London: Zedbook.

[35] Mbaku J.M., Agbese, P.O. & Kimenyi, M.S. (2002). General Introduction, in Mbaku J. M, Agbese P.O. and Kimenyi M.S. (eds.), *Ethnicity and Governance in the Third World*. Aldershot: Ashgate.

[36] Mustapha, A.R. (2007). Institutionalising Ethnic Representation: How Effective is the Federal Character Commission in Nigeria? *Centre for Research on Inequality, Human Security and Ethnicity (CRISE)*, Working Paper No. 43. retrieved from www.crise.ox.ac.uk/pubs/workingpaper43.pdf, on the 13th of January, 2014.

[37] Nagel, J. (1995). Resource Competition Theories. American Behavioral Scientist Volume 38(3), pp. 442-458.

[38] Nduka, O. (1974). Education and the Realisation of Social Justice and Human Rights in Nigeria. in Haavelsrud, M. (ed.), *Education for Peace: Reflection and Action*, U.K.: University of Keele.

[39] Nnabugwu, F. (July 26, 2012). FCC Tasks Varsities on Federal Character Principle. *Vanguard*, Retrieved from http://www.vanguardngr.com/2012/07/fcc-tasks-varsities-on-federal-character-principle/, on the 15th of January, 2014.

[40] Nnoli, O. (1978). *Ethnic Politics in Nigeria.* Enugu: Fourth Dimension.

[41] Nnoli,O. (1996). Ethnic and Regional Balancing in Nigeria Federalism, in Elaigwu, J.I. and Akindele, R.A. (eds.), *Foundations of Nigerian Federalism 1960-1995*, Foundation of Nigerian Federalism Series, Vol. 3, Abuja National Council and Intergovernmental Relations.

[42] Northern Illinois University (2005). The role of higher education in economic development, Higher Education Alliance for the Rock River Region. Northern Illinois University. May, 2005. Retrieved from http://www.hearrr.org/pdf/HEARRRWhitePaper.pdf, on January 13, 2014

[43] Nwagwu, C.C. (1997). The Environment of Crises in the Nigerian Education System. Comparative Education 33(1), pp. 87-95.

[44] Nwaorgu, O.C. & Ihedioha, E.C. (2007). Bureaucracy and National Development in Africa: The Nigerian Experience (1985-2005), Development Round Table (A Journal of Development) 3(1), pp. 1-32.

[45] Obielumani, I.O. (2008). Quota System and Educational Reforms in Nigeria. *Journal of Research in National Development*, 6(1), retrieved from http://transcampus.org/JORINDV6Jun2008/JournalsV6NO1June200823.html, on the 13th 0f January, 2014.

[46] Oghuvbu, E.P. (2007). Education, Poverty and Development in Nigeria: The Way Forward in the 21st Century. Journal of Social Science 14(1), pp. 19-24.

[47] Ojiambo, P.C.O. (2009). Quality of Education and its Role in National Development: A Case study of Kenya's Educational Reforms. Kenya Studies Review 1(1), pp. 133-149.

[48] Okoli, C. (2012). Prof. Abdulraheem Tasks Universities on Federal Character Formula. *Federal Character Commission*. Retrieved from https://www.federalcharacter.gov.ng/server.php/Prof-AbdulRaheem-tasks-Universities-on-Federal-Character-Formula, on the 15th January, 2014.

[49] Okoroma, N.S. (2008). Admission Policies and Quality of University Education in Nigeria. Educational Research Quarterly 31(3), pp. 3-24.

[50] Onu, G. (2001). Ethnicity and Conflict Management: A Case Study of MASSOB Movement in Nigeria, *UNESCO/ENA Africa at Crossroads. Complex Political Emergencies in the 21st Century.* Most Ethno – Net Africa Publication retrieved form http://www.ethnonet-africa.org/pubs/crossroadonu.htm, on 17th March, 2006.

[51] Orji, K.E. & Maekae, J. (2013). The Role Of Education In National Development: Nigerian Experience. European Scientific Journal 9*(28)*. Abstract retrieved from *http://eujournal.org/index.php/esj/article/view/1900,* on January 13, 2014.

[52]   Orobosa, U. (2010). Education and national development in Nigeria. retrieved from http://community.vanguardngr.com/profiles/blogs/education-and-national, on January 13, 2014.

[53]   Osaghae, E. (1988). *Federal Society and Federal Character: The Politics of Plural Accommodation in Nigeria since Independence;25 years*. Lagos: Infodata and Heinemann Educational Books.

[54]   Otite, O. (1989). *Ethnic Pluralism and Ethnicity in Nigeria*, Ibadan: Shanenson Limited.

[55]   Oyovbaire, S.E. (1983). Structural Change and Political Process in Nigeria. African Affairs 82(326), pp. 3–28.

[56]   Ploughshare. (2004). Armed Conflict Report: Nigeria (1990- First Combat death). Waterloo Ontario Project Ploughshare.

[57]   Saliu, H.A. (2006). Technological Education and National Development. A paper Presented at the First National Conference of the Institute of General Studies, Kwara State Polytecnic, Held on5th-8th September.

[58]   Sirleaf, E.J. (2012). The Indispensable Role of Tertiary Education in Liberia's Post Conflict Development. Address by her Excellency Madam Ellen Johnson Sirleaf, President of the Republic of Liberia and Visitor of the University of Liberia, at the 93th Commencement Convocation, Samuel Kanyan Doe Sports Complex, Paynesville, Liberia, Wednesday, December 19, 2012.

[59]   Suberu, R. (1996). *Ethnic Minority Conflict and Governance in Nigeria.* Ibadan: Spectrum.

[60]   Thomson, A. (2008). Exploring the Relationship between Higher Education and Development: A Review and Report. retrieved from http://www.ghfp.org/Portals/0/documents/Thomson_HEI_Role_Dev.pdf, on January 13, 2014.

[61]   Tonwe, D.A. & Oghator, E.O. (2009). The Federal Character Principle and Democratic Stability in Nigeria. in Ola, R.F. and Tonwe, D.A. (eds.), *Nigerian Public Administration (230-256)*. Lagos: Amfitop Books.

[62]   Uhunmwuangho, S.O. & Epelle, A. (2011). Challenges and Solutions to Ethno-religious Conflicts in Nigeria: Case Study of the Jos Crises. Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa 13(5), pp. 109-124.

[63]   UNESCO. (2012). UN System Task Team on the Post-2015 UN Development Agenda Education and Skills for Inclusive and Sustainable Development Beyond 2015 Thematic Think Piece. May, 2012. Retrieved from http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/pdf/Think%20Pieces/4_education.pdf, on the 13th January, 2014.

[64]   Uzoigwe, G.N. (1996). The Evolution of the Nigerian State: 1900-1914, in Elaigwu I.J. and Uzoigwe G.N. (eds.), Foundation of Nigeria Federalism 1899-1960 (1-3). Jos: Institute of Governance and Social Research (IGRS).

[65]   Vaaseh, G.A. (2013). Ethnicity, Conflicts, and the Rise of Militia Groups in Nigeria, in Ndlovu-Gatsheni, S.J. and Mhlanga, B. (eds.), Bondage of Boundarie and Identity Politics in Postcolonial Africa: The "Northern Problem" and Ethno-Futures (257-272). Pretoria: African Institute of South Africa.