Covenant University



Calibrating the Standard Path Loss Model for Urban Environments using Field **Measurements** and **Geospatial Data**

S.I. Popoola A.A. Atayero N. Faruk C.T. Calafate E. Adetiba V.O. Matthews

Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria

Presented at

The 2017 International Conference of Wireless Networks World Congress on Engineering London, United Kingdom

7th July, 2017.

The susceptibility of the wireless channel to both signal fading and interference has made *efficient radio network planning* a vital part of the predeployment process in cellular systems [1].

The reliability of the radio network planning, toward optimal coverage, largely depends on the *accuracy of the path loss prediction models* that are employed [2].

Introduction

Introduction (Cont'd)

Findings from previous research [3-7] showed that the prediction results of widely used empirical path loss models do not match, in general, the field measurement data collected on the *local terrains* in Nigeria. Hence, the need for *model calibration* that will improve the accuracy of the models so as to truly represent the actual signal propagation behavior of the target local environments of Nigeria.

Research Aim

 This research work is aimed at improving the prediction accuracy of the Standard Propagation Model (SPM) to the specific case of Lagos, Nigeria, by adequately accounting for the effect of local geographic features within the urban propagation environments.

Materials and Method

• Extensive measurement campaigns were conducted in the *dense suburban* (Locations A and B) and dense **urban** (Locations C and D) areas of Lagos to collect **Received Signal Strength** (RSS) data over GSM networks operating at 1800 MHz.

Materials and Method (*Cont'd*)

 In order to reduce the prediction error, automatic model calibration was performed in ATOLL radio network planning tool based on the geospatial data: Clutter height; Clutter classes; Altitude; and Elevation of the area under study.

Materials and Method (Cont'd)

- Field measurements data collected at Locations A and C were used for model calibration while Locations B and D served as the model verification sites.
- The performance of the SPM and the calibrated SPM were compared using the following statistical performance metrics:
 - Mean Absolute Error (MAE);
 - Root Mean Square Error (RMSE);
 - Standard Deviation (SD).

Generally, the **RSS** decreased as the distance between the Base station and the mobile station increased, as expected.

The RSSL varies randomly between -43 dBm and -100 dBm. The calibration process significantly changed the model parameters, as shown in Table 1.

 In fact, the calibrated SPM accounted for additional path losses of 25 dB in the urban environments.

Results and Discussion

Parameter	Description	Before	After	
H _t Method	-	Abs Spot H _t	Slope at receiver	
Diffraction Method	-	Deygout	Deygout	
K ₁	-	22	51.4	
K ₂	log (d)	44.9	20	
K ₃	log (h _t)	5.83	20	
K ₄	Diffraction	1	0	
K ₅	log (d) .log (h _t)	-6.55	-4.97	
Additional Losses	Clutter Losses	0	25	

Table 1: Calibration Result of SPM for Urban Environments

Results & Discussion



Figure 1: Path Loss Predictions in Location A (Dense Suburban)



12



Figure 3: Path Loss Predictions in Location C (Dense Urban)



14

Table 2: Performance Evaluation of the Model Calibration for Dense Suburban Areas.

	Location A (Calibration Site)		Location B (Verification Site)	
	SPM	Calibrated SPM	SPM	Calibrated SPM
MAE (dB)	18.28	4.49	18.60	5.56
RMSE (dB)	21.16	6.17	21.81	7.03
SD (dB)	10.65	4.24	11.40	4.31

Table 3: Performance Evaluation of the Model Calibration for Dense Urban Areas.

	Location C (Calibration Site)		Location D (Verification Site)	
	SPM	Calibrated SPM	SPM	Calibrated SPM
MAE (dB)	18.15	5.49	18.26	6.07
RMSE (dB)	21.49	6.96	21.75	7.46
SD (dB)	11.51	4.29	11.83	4.33

Conclusion

- Our findings show that the calibrated SPM provides a significantly better fitness with the measured data collected.
- The average MAE, RMSE and SDE across all the routes are *5.40, 6.90* and *4.29*, respectively.
- These values are much lower when compared with default values obtained with SPM (18.32 dB, 21.55 dB and 11.34 dB, respectively) prediction results in the study area.

Conclusion

- In detail, we found that the calibrated SPM accounted for additional path losses of 25 *dB* in the urban environments.
- Thus, a generic and optimized model equation was provided for path loss as given by equation (1):

 $PL(dB) = 51.4 + 20log(d) + 20log(h_t) - [4.97log(d)xlog(h_t)]$ (1)

Selected References

- [1] J. D. Parsons, "The Mobile Radio Propagation Channel", Second Edition, John Wiley and Sons Ltd., 2000.
- [2] A. J. Mishra, 'Advanced Cellular Networks Planning and Optimization 2G/2.5G/3G ...Evolution to 4G, John Wiley and Sons Ltd ISBN 13 978-0-470-01471-4, 2007, pp 1-12
- [3] S. I. Popoola and O. F. Oseni. Performance Evaluation of Radio Propagation Models on GSM Network in Urban Area of Lagos, Nigeria. International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, vol. 5 (6), June, 2014, pp. 1212-1217.
- [4] S. I. Popoola and O. F. Oseni. Empirical Path Loss Models for GSM Network Deployment in Makurdi, Nigeria. International Refereed Journal of Engineering and Science, vol. 3 (6), June, 2014, pp. 85-94.
- [5] O. F. Oseni, S. I. Popoola, R. O. Abolade, O. A. Adegbola, "Comparative Analysis of Received Signal Strength Prediction Models for Radio Network Planning of GSM 900 MHz in Ilorin, Nigeria", International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (IJITEE), volume 4, issue 3, pp. 45-50.

[6] N. Faruk, A.A.Ayeni and Y.A Adediran, "Error Bounds of Empirical Path Loss Models at VHF/UHF Bands in Kwara State, Nigeria" in Proc. IEEE EUROCON conference, Croatia July 1st-4th, 2013, pp 602 – 607. [7] N. Faruk, Y.A. Adediran and A.A.Ayeni,"On the study of empirical path loss models for accurate
prediction of TV signal for secondary users" Progress in Electromagnetic
Research (PIER) B, USA, Vol. 49, pp 155- 176, 2013.

 [8] M. Hata "Empirical Formula for Propagation Loss in Land–Mobile Radio Services", IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 29, No 3, 1980, pp 317 – 325.

[9] COST 231, "Urban Transmission Loss Models for Mobile Radio in the 900 & 1800MHz band", COST 231 TD (90) 119 Rev 2, 1991. The Hague, Netherlands.

[10] Lagos, available on http://www.latlong.net/place/lagosnigeria-2286.html [11] Forsk, ATOLL 3.2.0 RadioPlanning & Optimization SoftwareUser Manual, France. Availableonline: www.forsk.com.

Selected References

Covenant University



Thank You